

## EVALUATION OF MAIZE AND SORGHUM GENOTYPES UNDER DROUGHT, DRAINAGE AND BIOGAS WASTE WATER APPLICATIONS

RAFI R<sup>1</sup>, ROBINA K<sup>1</sup>, ZAHOOR MJ<sup>1</sup>, \*ABBAS HG<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, University of Lahore, Lahore Pakistan

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Cotton Research, Ayub Agriculture Research Institute Faisalabad, Pakistan

Corresponding author email address: [hafiz1893@gmail.com](mailto:hafiz1893@gmail.com)



(Received, 10<sup>th</sup> October 2021, Revised 20<sup>th</sup> May 2022, Published 23<sup>th</sup> May 2022)

**Abstract:** *Maize and Sorghum crops have been cultivated for thousands of years for overcoming the increasing demand for food, especially in Asia and Africa. Sorghum and maize are stress-sensitive crops because they can't tolerate drought or salinity stress. The aim of the study was to investigate the impact of different stress conditions on maize and sorghum varieties. The research study was carried out with the possible involvement of biogas wastewater and drainage wastewater for investigation of their impacts on plant growth and development. Seeds of maize and sorghum varieties were subjected to different osmotic levels in order to compare the effects of drought, wastewater, and biogas wastewater effects. The results showed that maize was more susceptible to drought stress than sorghum. The applications of biogas wastewater induce stress tolerance in maize and sorghum seedlings to improve seedling growth and development. It was concluded that the use of biogas wastewater in field crop conditions of maize and sorghum may be helpful to improve crop production.*

**Keywords:** sorghum, maize genotypes, salt, drought, stress conditions

### Introduction

80% demand for human food is fulfilled by crops, governed by 50% of cereal grains mostly. Maize and sorghum have emerged as important crops for world food supply (Ullah *et al.*, 2021). They support the ever increasing demand for growing population by directly meeting dietary needs as a consumptive food or indirectly as animal feed (Nawaz *et al.*, 2021). Additionally maize that is also called as corn in US acts as a sustainable substitute of fossil fuel which can be used for production of bio ethanol. Maize and sorghum are staple foods, their yield is highly dependent on climatic factors and due to the change in climate conditions they give low yield (Maqbool *et al.*, 2021). Among the several abiotic stresses, temperature extremes, drought, nutrient deficiencies, and salt concentration are recognized as the primary environmental variables reducing total maize and sorghum productivity (Ali *et al.*, 2016; Ali *et al.*, 2011). According to recent research, the two most significant climatic factors are temperature and precipitation, with radiation being another essential element influencing crop production. Indeed, the current climate of droughts, temperature extremes, and water logging has severely hampered maize growth and hence productivity (Ali *et al.*, 2013b; Ali *et al.*, 2014a; Maswada *et al.*, 2021). According to a survey on maize yield losses in India and China, 25–

35% and 35–45% of the crop is lost each year because of drought and water logging (Ali *et al.*, 2013a; Iqbal *et al.*, 2017). Anticipated reductions in total arable land and a growing global population are predicted to force us to feed an additional 2000 million people by 2050, so there is a need to grow those plants that can resist stressed environment for meeting higher demand for food supply (Adugna and Tirfessa, 2014). Thus, developing climate-smart germplasm is critical, and it is possible through a combination of traditional breeding methods and molecular approaches, including transgenic procedures (Achakzai, 2009; Mazhar *et al.*, 2020; Mustafa *et al.*, 2018).

Salt concentrations levels can cause both sooner (within a few hours to days) osmotic stress and later managed to accumulate ionic sodium ions stress, that also alter vegetation morphological characteristics and enzymatic pathways to varying degrees depending on the plant species, genetic markers, stage of growth, stress duration and intensity, and osmotic stress of the root tissues (Munns and Tester, 2008; Sahi *et al.*, 2006; Sarwar *et al.*, 2022; Sarwar *et al.*, 2021; Zubair *et al.*, 2016). Water deficiency is caused by osmotic imbalance, which reduces new leaf formation, stomata closure, reducing photosynthesis and shoot growth. Ionic stress causes early withering of mature leaves due to an overabundance of sodium ion buildup (Boomsma *et*

[Citation: Rafi, R., Robina, K., Zahoor, M.J., Abbas, H.G. (2022). Evaluation of maize and sorghum genotypes under drought, drainage and biogas wastewater applications. *Biol. Clin. Sci. Res. J.*, 2022: 94. doi: <https://doi.org/10.54112/bcsrj.v2022i1.94>]

al., 2009; Carlson et al., 2020). Roots are essential for anchoring plants in their development medium and absorbing water and nutrients from the soil. Due to a decrease in cell division and elongation, high salinity inhibits root growth, particularly the creation and development of lateral roots (West et al., 2004). Rooting depth, for example, may be adjusted to the environment to improve moisture and nutrients scavenging and reduce stress exposure (Fang et al., 2021; Farooq et al., 2011; Mendoza-Grimón et al., 2021). However, the modification of the root system design in response to salt stress is still incompletely understood. In several crops, including wheat, salt-tolerant cultivars have been identified by screening procedures under controlled settings (Ali et al., 2014b; Ali et al., 2014c; Cakir, 2004; Hoque et al., 2015). The goals of this study were to assess the growth responses of maize and sorghum crops to various levels of dryness, biogas, and wastewater stress, as well as to discover particular structural behavior(s) leading to their resistance. We anticipated that certain morphological characteristics of maize and sorghum, like root depth, were much more sensitive to salt stress and may be utilized as a criteria for choosing tolerant maize and sorghum genotypes at an early stage of growth.

**Materials and Methods**

A pot experiment was conducted for one season at the Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, University of Lahore, Lahore Pakistan. The soil was sandy; the average daily temperature was range from 30-50°C throughout the experimental season. Three genotypes of maize (EV-

1097Q, Raka-Poshi and Pak Afgio) and three genotypes of sorghum (Safaid, Shakar and Jambo) were selected as an experimental material. Biogas water and waste water was added in the sand along with control plat. The following sets of biogas water and waste water treatments were kept for study that are drought, Waste Water, Biogas wastewater, Biogas Water + Waste Water and Control. For these treatments different pots were designed and then in each pot the seeds were grown according to the treatment criteria. Seeds were grown in the pots that were marked properly. The data was recorded for shoot length (SL), leaf length (LL), Root Number (RN), Leaf Area (LA) and root length (RL). The data was statistically analyzed through analysis of variance techniques by using SPSS23.1 software.

**Results and Discussion**

The results given in table 1 suggested that significant differences were found among genotypes and applications of treatment while non-significant for interactions between treatments × genotypes. The CV (coefficient of variation) was found lower for all traits under different treatment indicated that there was consistency among the results which also cleared that the results were reliable for traits of maize and sorghum under different treatment applications of wastewater, biogas water and drought. Results showed that this maize could be used as a stress tolerant crop in future for better growth, yield and productivity by providing some kind of better conditions (Ali et al., 2017; Ali et al., 2013a; Song and Jin, 2020; Wang et al., 2018).

**Table 1 Analysis of variance for maize and sorghum under different treatments**

Source of variations	SL	LL	LA	RN	RL
Replications	0.00356	0.0029	0.7542	0.6889	0.5556
Genotypes	0.0029*	0.0202*	0.7219*	0.4222*	0.1556*
Treatments	0.0036*	0.0558*	0.3247*	2.700*	1.1667*
Genotypes × Treatments	0.0212*	0.0083*	0.3339*	3.7833*	2.1001*
Error	0.0164	0.0148	0.3062	1.1175	1.1746
Grand Mean	3.2089	7.2578	3.7827	6.3778	8.1341
CV	3.99	1.68	14.63	16.57	6.03

\* = Significant at 5% probability level, SL = Shoot length, RL = Root length, RN = Root numbers, LL = Leaf length, LA = Leaf area

**Table 2 Mean differences among maize genotypes under different treatment**

Genotypes	Treatments	SL	RL	RN	LL	LA
EV-1097Q	50% Drought	3.21	11.11	8	7.11	3.68
EV-1097Q	Biogas wastewater	3.22	11.45	4	7.48	4.38
EV-1097Q	Waste Water	3	11.33	8	7.43	4.93
EV-1097Q	Biogas wastewater +Waste Water	3.31	11.21	5	7.12	4.20
EV-1097Q	Control	3.42	11.32	4	7.12	4.20
Raka-Poshi	50% Drought	3.43	11.22	5	7.13	3.68
Raka-Poshi	Biogas wastewater	3.42	11.32	7	7.22	4.56
Raka-Poshi	Waste Water	3.48	11.36	9	7.42	3.29

[Citation: Rafi, R., Robina, K., Zahoor, M.J., Abbas, H.G. (2022). Evaluation of maize and sorghum genotypes under drought, drainage and biogas wastewater applications. *Biol. Clin. Sci. Res. J.*, 2022: 94. doi: <https://doi.org/10.54112/bcsrj.v2022i1.94>]

Raka-Poshi	Biogas wastewater +Waste Water	3.64	11.23	6	7.21	3.73
Raka-Poshi	Control	3.51	11.33	6	7.34	3.78
Pak-Afgoi	50% Drought	3	11.42	7	7.14	3.68
Pak-Afgoi	Biogas wastewater	3.21	11.32	6	7.35	3.78
Pak-Afgoi	Waste Water	3.22	11.12	6	7.54	3.89
Pak-Afgoi	Biogas wastewater +Waste Water	3.12	11.43	8	7.45	4.38
Pak-Afgoi	Control	3.31	11.13	5	7.26	3.73

SL = Shoot length, RL = Root length, RN = Root numbers, LL = Leaf length, LA = Leaf area

The results from table 2 indicated that the maize genotypes showed variations for studied traits under different treatments. The genotype Raka-Poshi showed better behavior for shoot length under all of the applied treatments 50% drought (3.43cm), biogas water (3.42cm), waste water (3.48cm), biogas water + wastewater (3.64cm) and control (3.51cm). The genotype EV-1097Q showed similar type of behavior like Pak-Afgoi 50% drought (3.21cm, 3cm), biogas water (3.22cm, 3.21), waste water (3cm, 3.22cm), biogas water + wastewater (3.31cm, 3.12cm) and control (3.42cm, 3.31cm) respectively. The root length was found higher for treatments biogas wastewater + waste water (11.43cm), 50% drought (11.42cm) for Pak-Afgoi, waste water (11.36cm) for Raka-Poshi while biogas wastewater (11.45cm) for EV-1097Q. The root number was found higher under 50% drought (8), wastewater (8) for EV-1097Q, waste water (9) for Raka-Poshi and biogas

wastewater (8) for Pak-Afgoi. The leaf length of maize was found higher under biogas wastewater (7.48cm), waste water (7.43cm) for EV-1097Q, waste water (7.42cm) for Raka-Poshi and waste water (7.54cm), biogas waste water + waste water (7.45cm) for Pak-Afgoi. The leaf area was found higher under biogas wastewater (4.38cm<sup>2</sup>), waste water (4.93cm<sup>2</sup>) for EV-1097Q, biogas wastewater (4.56cm<sup>2</sup>) for Raka-Poshi and biogas wastewater (4.38cm<sup>2</sup>) for Pak-Afgoi. The application of biogas wastewater showed to increase the seeding growth which indicated that the application of biogas wastewater provides nutrients to improve growth and development of maize seedlings under various stress conditions. The higher shoot length revealed that the genotypes may be used to develop drought stress varieties and hybrids (Ali et al., 2015; Ali et al., 2016; Daryanto et al., 2016; Farooq et al., 2011; Mi et al., 2021).

**Table 2 Mean differences among sorghum genotypes under different treatment**

Genotypes	Treatments	SL	RL	RN	LL	LA
Safaid	50% Drought	2.22	6.33	7	5.43	2.40
Safaid	Biogas wastewater	2.63	6.55	5	5.66	1.66
Safaid	Waste Water	2.24	6.43	4	5.34	1.96
Safaid	Biogas wastewater +Waste Water	2.55	6.66	6	5.77	2.76
Safaid	Control	2.43	6.75	5	5.64	1.24
Shakar	50% Drought	2.74	6.84	7	5.57	2.04
Shakar	Biogas wastewater	2.66	6.45	5	5.35	2.35
Shakar	Waste Water	2.43	6.54	4	5.47	1.60
Shakar	Biogas wastewater +Waste Water	2.64	6.36	3	5.56	1.22
Shakar	Control	2.75	6.48	5	5.76	2.95
Jambo	50% Drought	2.73	6.55	4	5.38	1.57
Jambo	Biogas wastewater	2.68	6.63	8	5.66	2.07
Jambo	Waste Water	2.66	6.46	6	5.34	2.35
Jambo	Biogas wastewater +Waste Water	2.45	6.74	5	5.55	2.85
Jambo	Control	2.77	6.35	3	5.46	1.20

SL = Shoot length, RL = Root length, RN = Root numbers, LL = Leaf length, LA = Leaf area

The results from table 3 indicated that the sorghum genotypes showed variations for studied traits under different treatments. The genotype safaid showed better behavior for shoot length under the applied treatments biogas wastewater (2.63cm), biogas water + wastewater (2.55cm). The genotype Shakar showed

similar type of behavior like Jambo 50% drought (2.74cm, 2.73cm), biogas wastewater (2.66cm, 2.68), control (2.75cm, 2.77cm) respectively. The root length was found higher for treatments biogas wastewater + waste water (6.66cm), control (6.75cm) for Safaid, waste water (6.63cm) for Jambo while

[Citation: Rafi, R., Robina, K., Zahoor, M.J., Abbas, H.G. (2022). Evaluation of maize and sorghum genotypes under drought, drainage and biogas wastewater applications. *Biol. Clin. Sci. Res. J.*, 2022: 94. doi: <https://doi.org/10.54112/bcsrj.v2022i1.94>]

50% drought (6.84cm) for Shakar. The root number was found higher under 50% drought (7), biogas wastewater (6) for Safaid, 50% drought (7) for Shakar and biogas wastewater (8) for Jambo. The leaf length of sorghum was found higher under biogas wastewater (5.66cm), biogas wastewater + waste water (5.77cm) for Safaid, 50% drought (5.57cm) for Shakar and biogas waste water (5.66cm), biogas waste water + waste water (5.55cm) for Jambo. The leaf area was found higher under biogas wastewater + wastewater (2.76cm<sup>2</sup>), 50% drought (2.40cm<sup>2</sup>) for Safaid, biogas wastewater (2.35cm<sup>2</sup>) for Shakar and biogas wastewater + waste water (2.85cm<sup>2</sup>) for Jambo. The application of biogas wastewater showed to increase the seeding growth which indicated that the application of biogas wastewater provides nutrients to improve growth and development of sorghum seedlings under various stress conditions (Aaliya et al., 2016; Mazhar et al., 2020; Munns and Tester, 2008; Mustafa et al., 2018; Quiroga et al., 2017; Reddy, 2019).

#### Conflict of interest

The authors declared absence of conflict of interest for manuscript.

#### References

Aaliya, K., Qamar, Z., Ahmad, N. I., Ali, Q., Munim, F. A., and Husnain, T. (2016). Transformation, evaluation of gtagene and multivariate genetic analysis for morpho-physiological and yield attributing traits in *Zea mays*. *Genetika* **48**, 423-433.

Achakzai, A. (2009). Effect of water stress on imbibition, germination and seedling growth of maize cultivars. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture* **25**, 165-172.

Adugna, A., and Tirfessa, A. (2014). Response of stay-green quantitative trait locus (QTL) introgression sorghum lines to post-anthesis drought stress. *African Journal of Biotechnology* **13**, 4492-4500.

Ali, F., Ahsan, M., Ali, Q., and Kanwal, N. (2017). Phenotypic stability of *Zea mays* grain yield and its attributing traits under drought stress. *Frontiers in plant science* **8**, 1397.

Ali, F., Kanwal, N., Ahsan, M., Ali, Q., Bibi, I., and Niazi, N. K. (2015). Multivariate analysis of grain yield and its attributing traits in different maize hybrids grown under heat and drought stress. *Scientifica* **2015**.

Ali, Q., Ahsan, M., and Ali, F. (2013a). Genetic advance, heritability, correlation, heterosis and heterobeltiosis for morphological traits of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Albanian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **12**, 689-698.

Ali, Q., Ahsan, M., Ali, F., Aslam, M., Khan, N. H., Munzoor, M., Mustafa, H. S. B., and Muhammad, S. (2013b). Heritability, heterosis and heterobeltiosis studies for morphological traits of maize (*Zea mays* L.) seedlings. *Advancements in Life sciences* **1**.

Ali, Q., Ahsan, M., Kanwal, N., Ali, F., Ali, A., Ahmed, W., Ishfaq, M., and Saleem, M. (2016). Screening for drought tolerance: comparison of maize hybrids under water deficit condition. *Advancements in Life Sciences* **3**, 51-58.

Ali, Q., Ahsan, M., Khan, N. H., Waseem, M., and Ali, F. (2014a). An overview of *Zea mays* for the improvement of yield and quality traits through conventional breeding. *Nat Sci* **12**, 71-84.

Ali, Q., Ali, A., Ahsan, M., Nasir, I. A., Abbas, H. G., and Ashraf, M. A. (2014b). Line × Tester analysis for morpho-physiological traits of *Zea mays* L seedlings. *Advancements in Life sciences* **1**, 242-253.

Ali, Q., Ali, A., Waseem, M., Muzaffar, A., Ahmad, S., Ali, S., Awan, M., Samiullah, T., Nasir, I., and Tayyab, H. (2014c). Correlation analysis for morpho-physiological traits of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Life Science Journal* **11**, 9-13.

Ali, Q., Elahi, M., Ahsan, M., Tahir, M. H. N., and Basra, S. M. A. (2011). Genetic evaluation of maize (*Zea mays* L.) genotypes at seedling stage under moisture stress. *International Journal for Agro Veterinary and Medical Sciences* **5**, 184-193.

Boomsma, C. R., Santini, J. B., Tollenaar, M., and Vyn, T. J. (2009). Maize morphophysiological responses to intense crowding and low nitrogen availability: An analysis and review. *Agronomy Journal* **101**, 1426-1452.

Cakir, R. (2004). Effect of water stress at different development stages on vegetative and reproductive growth of corn. *Field Crops Research* **89**, 1-16.

Carlson, R., Tugizimana, F., Steenkamp, P. A., Dubery, I. A., Hassen, A. I., and Labuschagne, N. (2020). Rhizobacteria-induced systemic tolerance against drought stress in Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench. *Microbiological Research* **232**, 126388.

Daryanto, S., Wang, L., and Jacinthe, P.-A. (2016). Global synthesis of drought effects on maize and wheat production. *PLoS one* **11**, e0156362.

Fang, Q., Wang, Y., Uwimpaye, F., Yan, Z., Li, L., Liu, X., and Shao, L. (2021). Pre-sowing soil water conditions and water conservation measures affecting the yield and water

[Citation: Rafi, R., Robina, K., Zahoor, M.J., Abbas, H.G. (2022). Evaluation of maize and sorghum genotypes under drought, drainage and biogas wastewater applications. *Biol. Clin. Sci. Res. J.*, 2022: 94. doi: <https://doi.org/10.54112/bcsrj.v2022i1.94>]

- productivity of summer maize. *Agricultural Water Management* **245**, 106628.
- Farooq, J., Khaliq, I., Kashif, M., Ali, Q., and Mahpara, S. (2011). Genetic analysis of relative cell injury percentage and some yield contributing traits in wheat under normal and heat stress conditions. *Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research* **71**, 511.
- Hoque, M. M. I., Jun, Z., and Guoying, W. (2015). Evaluation of salinity tolerance in maize (*Zea mays* L.) genotypes at seedling stage. *Journal of BioScience & Biotechnology* **4**, 39-49.
- Iqbal, M. S., Jabbar, B., Sharif, M. N., Ali, Q., Husnain, T., and Nasir, I. A. (2017). In silico MCMV silencing concludes potential host-derived miRNAs in maize. *Frontiers in plant science* **8**, 372.
- Maqbool, S., Amna, A., Mehmood, S., Suhaib, M., Sultan, T., and Munis, M. F. H. (2021). Interaction of acc deaminase and antioxidant enzymes to induce drought tolerance in enterobacter cloacae 2wc2 inoculated maize genotypes. *Pak J Bot* **53**.
- Maswada, H. F., El-Razek, A., Usama, A., El-Sheshtawy, A.-N. A., and Mazrou, Y. S. (2021). Effect of *Azolla filiculoides* on growth, physiological and yield attributes of maize grown under water and nitrogen deficiencies. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation* **40**, 558-573.
- Mazhar, T., Ali, Q., and Malik, M. (2020). Effects of salt and drought stress on growth traits of *Zea mays* seedlings. *Life Science Journal* **17**, 48-54.
- Mendoza-Grimón, V., Amorós, R., Fernández-Vera, J. R., Hernández-Moreno, J. M., and Palacios-Díaz, M. d. P. (2021). Effect of Different Water Quality on the Nutritive Value and Chemical Composition of Sorghum bicolor Payenne in Cape Verde. *Agronomy* **11**, 1091.
- Mi, N., Cai, F., Zhang, S., Zhang, Y., Ji, R., Chen, N., Ji, Y., and Wang, D. (2021). Thermal Time Requirements for Maize Growth in Northeast China and Their Effects on Yield and Water Supply under Climate Change Conditions. *Water* **13**, 2612.
- Munns, R., and Tester, M. (2008). Mechanisms of salinity tolerance. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **59**, 651-681.
- Mustafa, H. S. B., Mahmood, T., Hameed, A., and Ali, Q. (2018). Enhancing food security in arid areas of Pakistan through newly developed drought tolerant and short duration mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) Canola. *Genetika* **50**, 21-31.
- Nawaz, M., Wang, X., Saleem, M. H., Khan, M. H. U., Afzal, J., Fiaz, S., Ali, S., Ishaq, H., Khan, A. H., and Rehman, N. (2021). Deciphering plantago ovata forsk leaf extract mediated distinct germination, growth and physio-biochemical improvements under water stress in maize (*Zea mays* L.) at early growth stage. *Agronomy* **11**, 1404.
- Quiroga, G., Erice, G., Aroca, R., Chaumont, F., and Ruiz-Lozano, J. M. (2017). Enhanced drought stress tolerance by the arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis in a drought-sensitive maize cultivar is related to a broader and differential regulation of host plant aquaporins than in a drought-tolerant cultivar. *Frontiers in plant science* **8**, 1056.
- Reddy, P. S. (2019). Breeding for abiotic stress resistance in sorghum. In "Breeding sorghum for diverse end uses", pp. 325-340. Elsevier.
- Sahi, C., Singh, A., Blumwald, E., and Grover, A. (2006). Beyond osmolytes and transporters: novel plant salt-stress tolerance-related genes from transcriptional profiling data. *Physiologia Plantarum* **127**, 1-9.
- Sarwar, M., Anjum, S., Alam, M. W., Ali, Q., Ayyub, C., Haider, M. S., Ashraf, M. I., and Mahboob, W. (2022). Triacantanol regulates morphological traits and enzymatic activities of salinity affected hot pepper plants. *Scientific Reports* **12**, 1-8.
- Sarwar, M., Anjum, S., Ali, Q., Alam, M. W., Haider, M. S., and Mehboob, W. (2021). Triacantanol modulates salt stress tolerance in cucumber by altering the physiological and biochemical status of plant cells. *Scientific reports* **11**, 1-10.
- Song, L., and Jin, J. (2020). Improving CERES-Maize for simulating maize growth and yield under water stress conditions. *European Journal of Agronomy* **117**, 126072.
- Ullah, N., Ditta, A., Imtiaz, M., Li, X., Jan, A. U., Mehmood, S., Rizwan, M. S., and Rizwan, M. (2021). Appraisal for organic amendments and plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria to enhance crop productivity under drought stress: A review. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science* **207**, 783-802.
- Wang, L., Liao, S., Huang, S., Ming, B., Meng, Q., and Wang, P. (2018). Increasing concurrent drought and heat during the summer maize season in Huang-Huai-Hai Plain, China. *International Journal of Climatology* **38**, 3177-3190.
- West, G., Inzé, D., and Beemster, G. T. (2004). Cell cycle modulation in the response of the primary

[Citation: Rafi, R., Robina, K., Zahoor, M.J., Abbas, H.G. (2022). Evaluation of maize and sorghum genotypes under drought, drainage and biogas wastewater applications. *Biol. Clin. Sci. Res. J.*, 2022: 94. doi: <https://doi.org/10.54112/bcsrj.v2022i1.94>]

root of Arabidopsis to salt stress. *Plant physiology* **135**, 1050-1058.

Zubair, M., Shakir, M., Ali, Q., Rani, N., Fatima, N., Farooq, S., Shafiq, S., Kanwal, N., Ali, F., and Nasir, I. A. (2016). Rhizobacteria and phytoremediation of heavy metals. *Environmental Technology Reviews* **5**, 112-119.



**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2022