Biological and Clinical Sciences Research Journal

eISSN: 2708-2261; pISSN: 2958-4728

www.bcsrj.com

DOI: https://doi.org/10.54112/bcsrj.v2024i1.926

Biol. Clin. Sci. Res. J., Volume, 2024: 926

Original research article



EFFICACY OF BREATHING TECHNIQUES FOR MANAGEMENT OF MATERNAL OUTCOMES DURING LABOR



SHAHEEN T1*, ZIA N1, MUI LG2, NORDIN R3

¹College of Nursing, DHQ Hospital Rajanpur, Pakistan ²College of Nursing, Mahsa University of Malaysia, Malaysia ³Faculty of Medicine, Mahsa University of Malaysia, Malaysia *Correspondence author email address: ushnahamna@gmail.com



(Received, 17th May 2024, Revised 20th June 2024, Published 22nd June 2024)

Abstract: Maternal anxiety during labor can adversely affect both the mother and fetus. Effective management strategies, including non-pharmacological interventions like breathing patterns, are crucial to improving labor outcomes and maternal well-being. Objective: To evaluate the efficacy of breathing patterns for the management of maternal anxiety during the dilation phase of labor. Methods: A prospective study was conducted in the Physiotherapy and Obstetrics and Gynecology Department of DHQ Hospital from April 2023 to April 2024. One hundred fifty pregnant women in active labor with gestational ages of 37-41 weeks were included. The patients were divided into the study group (70 women) and the control group (80 women). The control group followed standard labor procedures, while the study group was guided on breathing patterns according to a specified protocol. The primary endpoint was maternal anxiety, and secondary endpoints included pain, fatigue, satisfaction, labor duration, and delivery mode. The 5-minute Apgar score was also assessed for the fetus. Statistical analysis was performed to compare outcomes between the groups. Results: Baseline maternal outcomes, including pain, fatigue, anxiety, satisfaction, and labor duration, showed no significant differences between the study and control groups. The mean labor duration was 7.70 ± 3.36 hours for the study group and 8.00 ± 2.54 hours for the control group, with a mean difference of 0.30 hours (95% CI 1.35-0.78). Maternal outcomes did not differ between groups after two hours of protocol implementation and sensitivity analysis. The delivery mode was similar in both groups, with a relative risk of 0.10 (95% CI 0.78-1.09) and 0.10 (95% CI 0.77-1.10) for protocol and sensitivity analysis, respectively. The 5-minute Appar scores also showed no significant differences between the groups. Conclusion: Using breathing patterns during active labor did not significantly impact maternal outcomes, including pain, satisfaction, anxiety, and fatigue.

Keywords: Anxiety, Labor, Parturient, Pregnancy, Satisfaction

Introduction

Emotional stress and excessive pain during labor can have a detrimental effect on the physiological state of the mother, halt contractions, and hinder the progression of labor. Therefore, gynecologists and awareness programs for mothers during the prenatal period instruct regarding breathing techniques upon cervical dilation (1, 2). This instruction is provided based on observation and physiology to maintain optimum maternal and fetal oxygenation, resulting in relaxation and confidence of the mother and mitigating anxiety, discomfort, and pain during labor. (3). Breathing patterns in the first period of labor were introduced in the 1930s-40s when mothers were instructed to stay conscious and take slow and deep breaths to remain calm and reduce labor pain. (4). With the evolution of humanized birth and the resurfacing of the concept of women's involvement in the process, it was emphasized to breathe during labor to reduce stress and help women connect with their bodies. (5).

Studies have provided evidence that breathing patterns during labor reduce stress. (6). Stress disturbs the normal breathing rhythm and prolongs expiration. Hence, breathing patterns are essential to manage anxiety and stress disorders. However, despite the evidence that breathing patterns can reduce pain, discomfort, and anxiety clinically, limited clinical implications are reported that are scarce to make definitive and adequate breathing techniques during labor.

This study was conducted to evaluate the efficacy of breathing patterns for the management of maternal anxiety during the dilation phase of labor.

Methodology

A prospective study was conducted in the Physiotherapy and Obstetrics and Gynecology Department of DHQ Hospital Rajanpur from April 2023 to April 2024. A total of 150 pregnant women in active labor with gestation ages 37-41 weeks were included in the study by consecutive sampling. The Open Epi 3.0 program calculated the sample size by keeping a 30% estimated decline in anxiety in the study group, $0.05~\alpha$ error, $0.20~\beta$ error, and 20% predicted loss rate. Women with multiple pregnancies, stillbirth, analgesics, clinically unstable, and those with psychiatric disorders were excluded. All women provided their informed consent to become a part of the study. The ethical board of the hospital approved the study design.

The patients were divided into the study group (70 women) and the control group (80 women). Standard labor procedures were followed in the control group, and breathing patterns guided the study group following a protocol. The patterns were demonstrated based on the degree of dilation and were paused when the patient experienced discomfort or tachypnea. The patients inhaled slowly, counting from 1-5, and then exhaled by counting

backward. Inspiratory reserve volume was noted as lungs were not fully stimulated during inhalation. After exhaling, the patient was asked to take a deep breath and pause for 1-2 seconds. When contractions were muscular, the patient was asked to take a long exhalation to decelerate expiration. The primary endpoint was maternal anxiety, and the secondary endpoint was pain, fatigue, satisfaction, labor duration, and delivery mode. The secondary endpoint for the fetus was a 5-minute Apgar score. Every woman was assessed for demographic information and anxiety after two hours of selection. Anxiety was evaluated by a 20-item State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (translated) with a Likert scale from 1-4, 4 being the highest level of anxiety. Patients with STAI scores of 20-40 were considered to have low anxiety, 41-60 scores were regarded as medium anxiety, and 61-80 scores were considered high levels of anxiety.

Maternal pain and satisfaction were measured on a visual analog scale, where 10 indicated maximum discomfort and satisfaction and 0 indicated no pain and satisfaction. A modified Borg scale was used to evaluate fatigue, where 20 was considered an exhausting activity, and six was considered an easy task. The partogram measured the labor duration until birth.

All data was analyzed using SPSS version 20. The chisquared and Fisher's tests were used to compare categorical characteristics between the study and control groups. A Ttest was used for normally distributed data, and a Maan-Whitney test was used for data not normally distributed for continuous probability distribution. The association between breathing patterns (independent variable) and pain, satisfaction, anxiety, and fatigue (independent variables) was calculated by measuring average differences with 95% CI. The relative risk for delivery method and Apgar score (dichotomous variables) was also measured with 95% CI. The significance level was set at 5%. A sensitivity analysis of associations was done using variables with missing data. For the delivery method, the C-section was considered. For the 5-minute Apgar score, a score of <7 was considered—multiple imputation methods are applied using Stata software 12.1 for anxiety, satisfaction, fatigue, and pain.

Results

Out of 150 patients included, 22 patients (7 from the study group and 15 from the control group) were excluded from further analysis due to fetal distress and prolonged labor. The mean age of patients was 19 years $(20.10 \pm 4.08 \text{ and } 19.44 \pm 4.18$, respectively). Both groups did not differ significantly concerning demographic and anthropometric characteristics, as shown in Table I.

Regarding baseline maternal outcomes, i.e., pain, fatigue, anxiety, satisfaction, and duration of labor, no significant difference was recorded between both groups (Table II). No loss occurred during labor, so duration was recorded for the whole sample with a mean difference of 0.30 hours (95% CI 1.35-0.78) between both groups (study group: 7.70 ± 3.36 and control group: 8.00 ± 2.54). Similarly, maternal outcomes did not differ between groups after two hours of protocol and sensitivity analysis (Table III). The delivery mode in protocol and sensitivity analysis was similar in both groups, i.e., relative risk: 0.10, 95% CI: 0.78-1.09, and relative risk: 0.10, 95% CI: 0.77-1.10, respectively. The 5-minute Apgar score also did not differ in both groups.

Table I: Patients' demographics

| Characteristics | Study group (n=70) | Control group (n=80) | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age | 20.10 ± 4.08 | 19.44 ± 4.18 | | | |
| Gestational age | 38.15 ± 1.07 | 38.05 ± 1.0 | | | |
| Cervical dilation | | | | | |
| 4 cm | 28 (40%) | 34 (42.5%) | | | |
| 5-6 cm | 30 (42.8%) | 24 (30%) | | | |
| 7-8 cm | 12 (17.1%) | 22 (27.5%) | | | |
| BMI | | | | | |
| Underweight | 28 (40%) | 32 (40%) | | | |
| Normal | 30 (42.8%) | 28 (35%) | | | |
| Overweight | 9 (12.8%) | 15 (18.7%) | | | |
| Obese | 3 (4.2%) | 7 (8.75%) | | | |
| Education | | | | | |
| Primary | 49 (70%) | 48 (60%) | | | |
| Secondary and higher | 21 (30%) | 32 (40%) | | | |

Table II: Baseline maternal outcomes

| Tuble 11: Dabeline mater har outcomes | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Variables | Study group (n=70) | Control group (n=80) | | | | |
| Anxiety | 44.5 ± 9.55 | 44.43 ± 9.42 | | | | |
| Pain | 6.62 ± 2.15 | 6.84 ± 1.13 | | | | |
| Fatigue | 12.11 ± 4.52 | 10.51 ± 4.28 | | | | |
| Satisfaction | 6.90 + 2.61 | 6.37 + 2.84 | | | | |

Table III: Protocol and sensitivity analysis of maternal outcomes

| Protocol analysis | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------|--|--|
| Variables | Study group (n=63) | Control group (n=65) | Mean difference (95% CI) | P value | | |
| Anxiety | 49.2 ± 1.3 | 48.1 ± 1.2 | 1.1 (-2.9-6.0) | 0.580 | | |
| Pain | 7.4 ± 0.2 | 7.4 ± 0.2 | 0.0 (-0.7-0.9) | 0.848 | | |

| Fatigue | 7.0 ±0.2 | 6.0 ± 0.3 | 1.0 (-0.02 -1.9) | 0.060 | |
|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------|--|
| Satisfaction | 14.1 ± 0.4 | 13.6 ± 0.4 | 0.5 (-1.0-3.0) | 0.381 | |
| Sensitivity analysis | | | | | |
| Variables | Study group (n=70) | Control group (n=80) | Mean difference (95% CI) | P value | |
| Anxiety | 49.8 ± 1.2 | 49.3 ± 1.2 | 0.5 (3.9 -5.0) | 0.908 | |
| Pain | 7.5 ± 0.2 | 7.5 ± 0.2 | 0.0 (-0.5 - 0.4) | 0.952 | |
| Fatigue | 6.9 ± 0.2 | 6.0 ± 0.3 | 0.9 (-0.2-2.0) | 0.080 | |
| Satisfaction | 13.9 ± 0.4 | 13.5 ± 0.4 | -0.4 (-1.6 - 2.6) | 0.600 | |

Discussion

This study evaluated the efficacy of breathing patterns on maternal outcomes during labor. The results showed no significant difference between pain, fatigue, anxiety, and satisfaction between the study and control groups. A robust and innate association between maternal pain and anxiety was noted, with an increase in pain and anxiety also elevated. High anxiety can result in spasms in the pelvis and increased pain in labor. Therefore, breathing patterns have been reported to reduce pain and mitigate anxiety, but our study results are not consistent with these findings (7, 8). Published literature that was conducted to assess the effectiveness of slow and deep breathing during dilation and labor report contradictory results (9, 10). A study conducted on 36 women in labor aimed to assess the efficacy of breathing patterns and calmness on maternal pain and anxiety found that patients using these techniques and patients managed by conventional methods did not differ with regards to reduction in pain, similar to our study (11). However, this study found that a prolonged reduction in anxiety was noted in latent and active labor in patients exercising breathing techniques. Other studies have reported significant pain control in active labor when breathing techniques were used with muscle relaxation exercises and sacral massages (12).

This provides evidence that breathing patterns may not be effective alone in mitigating pain during labor, as literature has shown the management of pain by drug-free therapeutic procedures through various techniques (13, 14). Data supporting the absolute effectiveness of breathing techniques to improve maternal outcomes during labor is limited. A study conducted on 56 women in labor reported no difference in pain and fatigue levels in the study group and control group during active labor, as reported in our study (15). In latent labor, fatigue increased as complex breathing techniques were used, and the involvement of accessory breathing muscles may be difficult for the mother. In the present study, no difference between groups was noted in fatigue levels, negating the previous study's finding.

No studies have been conducted to evaluate the influence of breathing techniques on labor duration in the latent phase of labor. One study investigated the effect of breathing and relaxation on pain in 36 women in labor but reported no difference between the overall duration of labor and active labor in the study and control group (16). Our study showed the same results, but since all patients were in active labor, this may have impacted differences in groups.

Breathing techniques increased maternal satisfaction in the present study. Still, according to the literature, supportive OBGYN staff, quality of care, personal expectations, physical state of the patient, and active involvement in

decisions can alleviate patient satisfaction (17, 18). The lack of difference in maternal outcomes impacted the satisfaction levels. The delivery route and apgar score were also not influenced by breathing techniques in either group. No literature supports the association between breathing patterns and Apgar score.

There are some limitations of our study. Control group results may be contaminated by the involvement of doulas every week as they recommended non-traditional techniques. Women may have been distracted from breathing by physical and environmental factors. The questionnaire calculating maternal outcomes was not specific, which may have influenced the results.

Conclusion

The breathing patterns during active labor were not effective in managing maternal outcomes, i.e., pain, satisfaction, anxiety, and fatigue.

Declarations

Data Availability statement

All data generated or analysed during the study are included in the manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate.

Approved by the department Concerned. (IRB/22-9-12 latter no. 916)

Consent for publication

Approved

Funding

Not applicable

Conflict of interest

The authors declared an absence of conflict of interest.

Authors Contribution

Tahira Shaheen (Principal)
Final Approval of version
Neelam Zia (Nursing Instructor)
Revisiting Critically
Lim Gek Mui (HOD)
Data Analysis
Rusli Nordin (Dean)
Drafting & Concept & Design of Study

References

1. Oyarzabal EA, Seuferling B, Babbar S, Lawton-O'Boyle S, Babbar S. Mind-body techniques in pregnancy and

postpartum. Clinical obstetrics and gynecology. 2021;64(3):683-703.

- 2. Ghandali NY, Iravani M, Habibi A, Cheraghian B. The effectiveness of a Pilates exercise program during pregnancy on childbirth outcomes: a randomized controlled clinical trial. BMC pregnancy and childbirth. 2021;21:1-11.
- 3. Kamel R, Montaguti E, Nicolaides KH, Soliman M, Dodaro MG, Negm S, et al. Contraction of the levator ani muscle during Valsalva maneuver (coactivation) is associated with a longer active second stage of labor in nulliparous women undergoing induction of labor. American journal of obstetrics and gynecology. 2019;220(2):189. e1-. e8.
- 4. Mascarenhas VHA, Lima TR, Negreiros FdS, Santos JDM, Moura MÁP, Gouveia MTdO, et al. Scientific evidence on non-pharmacological methods for relief of labor pain. Acta Paulista de Enfermagem. 2019;32:350-7.
- 5. Pawale MP, Salunkhe JA. Effectiveness of back massage on pain relief during first stage of labor in primi mothers admitted at a Tertiary care center. Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care. 2020;9(12):5933-8.
- 6. Vural PI, Aslan E. Emotional freedom techniques and breathing awareness to reduce childbirth fear: A randomized controlled study. Complementary therapies in clinical practice. 2019;35:224-31.
- 7. Delgado A, Marinho G, Melo RS, Pinheiro F, Lemos A. Effectiveness of breathing exercises during first stage of labor: A systematic review and meta-analysis. European Journal of Integrative Medicine. 2024:102370.
- 8. Heim MA, Makuch MY. Breathing Techniques During Labor: A Multinational Narrative Review of Efficacy. The Journal of Perinatal Education. 2023;32(1):23-34.
- 9. Baljon KJ, Romli MH, Ismail AH, Khuan L, Chew BH. Effectiveness of breathing exercises, foot reflexology and back massage (BRM) on labour pain, anxiety, duration, satisfaction, stress hormones and newborn outcomes among primigravidae during the first stage of labour in Saudi Arabia: a study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. BMJ open. 2020;10(6):e033844.
- 10. Biana CB, Cecagno D, Porto AR, Cecagno S, Marques VdA, Soares MC. Non-pharmacological therapies applied in pregnancy and labor: an integrative review. Revista da Escola de Enfermagem da USP. 2021;55.
- 11. Başgöl Ş, Koç E. Non-Pharmacological techniques in labor pain management. Samsun Sağlık Bilimleri Dergisi. 2020;5(1):1-5.
- 12. Mohyadin E, Ghorashi Z, Molamomanaei Z. The effect of practicing yoga during pregnancy on labor stages length, anxiety and pain: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of Complementary and Integrative Medicine. 2021;18(2):413-7.
- 13. Haseli A, Ghiasi A, Hashemzadeh M. Do Breathing Techniques Enhance the effect of massage therapy in reducing the length of labor or not? a randomized clinical trial. Journal of caring sciences. 2019;8(4):257.
- 14. Wu C, Ge Y, Zhang X, Du Y, He S, Ji Z, et al. The combined effects of Lamaze breathing training and nursing intervention on the delivery in primipara: a PRISMA systematic review meta-analysis. Medicine. 2021;100(4):e23920.
- 15. Joseph AE, Moman RN, Barman RA, Kleppel DJ, Eberhart ND, Gerberi DJ, et al. Effects of slow deep breathing on acute clinical pain in adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Journal of Evidence-Based Integrative Medicine. 2022;27:2515690X221078006.
- 16. Zuarez-Easton S, Erez O, Zafran N, Carmeli J, Garmi G, Salim R. Pharmacological and Non-Pharmacological Options for Pain Relief During Labor: an Expert Review. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology. 2023.
- 17. Akin B, Saydam BK. The effect of labor dance on perceived labor pain, birth satisfaction, and neonatal outcomes. Explore. 2020;16(5):310-7.
- 18. Türkmen H, Oran NT. Massage and heat application on labor pain and comfort: A quasi-randomized controlled experimental study. Explore. 2021;17(5):438-45.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. © The Author(s) 202