Biological and Clinical Sciences Research Journal
eISSN: 2708-2261; pISSN: 2958-4728
www.bcsrj.com
DOI: https://doi.org/10.54112/bcsrj.v2023i1.537
*Biol. Clin. Sci. Res. J., Volume, **2023**: 537*
Original Research Article

DIAGNOSTIC METHODS AND ADVANCEMENTS IN THE DETECTION OF VIRAL INFECTIONS IN ANIMALS

AZAM MN[1], KHURSHID T[2], GILL RJ[3], AHMAD M[4], HAIDER MJ[5], REHMAN A[6], FATIMA QUA[7], KHALID A[8], NAEEM M[9], ABID H[10]

[1]Department of Clinical Sciences, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore, Jhang Campus, Punjab, Pakistan.
[2]Department of Pathology, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore, Punjab, Pakistan.
[3]Department of Zoology, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore, Punjab, Pakistan.
[4]Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Punjab, Pakistan and Department of Livestock and Dairy Development, Punjab, Pakistan.
[5]Department of Biotechnology, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad.
[6]Department of Patho-biology, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Sub Campus Jhang, Punjab, Pakistan.
[7]Faculty of Animal Sciences, Lasbela University of Agriculture, Water and Marine Sciences, Uthal Baluchistan.
[8]Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Punjab, Pakistan.
[9]Poultry Research Institute, Rawalpindi, Pakistan.
[10]Faculty of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, PMAS Arid Agriculture University, Rawalpindi, Pakistan
**Corresponding author email address:* hamzaabid953@gmail.com, adeelkhalid875@gmail.com

(Received, 17th May 2023, Revised 13th November 2023, Published 15th November 2023)

Abstract *Detecting and diagnosing viral infections in animals play a pivotal role in ensuring the health and well-being of both domesticated and wild species. The study investigates diagnostic methods for detecting viral infections in a diverse animal population (n=300). Employing serological assays, molecular diagnostics, point-of-care testing, and advanced imaging technologies, the research explores sensitivities and specificities of each method. Molecular techniques, particularly PCR and NGS, exhibit consistent high performance, while point-of-care testing and imaging technologies offer practical on-site applications. Demographic considerations enrich the findings, emphasizing the importance of a multidisciplinary approach. This study contributes to refining veterinary diagnostic strategies and advocates for integrating artificial intelligence to address global disparities in diagnostic accessibility*.

Keywords: diagnosis, viral infections, Molecular techniques, NGS, wild species

Introduction

The detection and diagnosis of viral infections in animals play a pivotal role in ensuring the health and well-being of both domesticated and wild species. As our understanding of veterinary medicine continues to evolve, so do the diagnostic methods employed to identify and manage viral infections (Zhang et al., 2020). Viral diseases pose significant threats to animal populations, agriculture, and ecosystems, underscoring the importance of timely and accurate detection. This introduction will explore the latest advancements in diagnostic methods for detecting viral infections in animals, encompassing a range of technologies that contribute to the effective surveillance, prevention, and control of these infectious agents (Gahlawat, 2021). From traditional laboratory techniques to cutting-edge technologies such as molecular biology, genomics, and advanced imaging, the field of veterinary diagnostics is witnessing a transformative era, promising enhanced sensitivity, specificity, and speed in identifying viral pathogens (Luo and Gao, 2020).

Detection and diagnosis of viral infections in animals have become increasingly sophisticated due to the continuous evolution of diagnostic methods. As the nexus between human and animal health becomes more apparent, the importance of robust and efficient diagnostic tools in veterinary medicine cannot be overstated (Saminathan et a., 2020). This extended discussion will delve into the various diagnostic methods and advancements that are instrumental in the detection of viral infections in animals, emphasizing their critical role in preserving animal welfare, preventing disease spread, and supporting global health efforts (Saylam et al., 2019).

Historically, the identification of viral infections in animals heavily relied on traditional diagnostic methods, including serological assays, virus isolation, and histopathology. Serological assays, such as enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and virus neutralization tests, remain fundamental for detecting antibodies produced in response to viral infections (Sirvastava et al., 2020). These tests provide valuable information about the immune status of animals and can aid in vaccine development and epidemiological studies. Virus isolation involves growing the virus in cell cultures, allowing for direct identification and characterization. Histopathology, the microscopic examination of tissues, contributes to diagnosing viral infections by revealing characteristic cellular changes associated with specific pathogens (Narsollahzadeh et al., 2020).

In recent years, molecular diagnostic methods have revolutionized the field of veterinary virology. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) techniques enable the specific amplification and detection of viral nucleic acids. These methods offer unparalleled sensitivity and can identify viral infections even in the early stages when clinical signs may be absent. Additionally, quantitative PCR (qPCR) provides insights into viral load, aiding prognosis and treatment monitoring. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) represents a further advancement, offering a rapid and cost-effective alternative to PCR, particularly in resource-limited settings (Ganges et al., 2020).

The advent of NGS technologies has transformed our ability to analyze the genetic material of viruses. Whole-genome sequencing facilitates the precise identification of viral strains, elucidates their evolutionary patterns, and informs vaccine development. NGS also allows for metagenomic studies, enabling the detection of novel and emerging viruses in diverse animal species. This unbiased approach is particularly valuable in surveillance programs, helping to identify potential threats before they escalate (Chhikara et al., 2020).

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) and in situ hybridization (ISH) techniques provide visualization of viral antigens or nucleic acids directly within tissues. IHC employs specific antibodies to detect viral proteins, offering insights into the spatial distribution of the virus within the host. ISH, on the other hand, utilizes labeled nucleic acid probes to identify viral genetic material in situ. These techniques are crucial for understanding the pathogenesis of viral infections, especially in cases where histopathological changes may be subtle. Advancements in point-of-care testing (POCT) have significantly improved the speed and accessibility of diagnostic results in veterinary medicine (El-sayed and Kamel, 2020). Rapid tests, such as lateral flow assays and nucleic acid lateral flow assays (NALFAs), enable on-site detection of viral infections, reducing the turnaround time for results. POCT is particularly valuable in scenarios where immediate decisions regarding animal health management are required, such as in outbreak situations or during border inspections. In addition to laboratory-based methods, advanced imaging technologies contribute to the diagnosis of viral infections in animals. Techniques such as computed tomography (CT) scans and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) offer non-invasive means to visualize anatomical changes associated with certain viral diseases. These imaging modalities enhance our understanding of the impact of viral infections on organ systems and aid in treatment planning. Recent innovations in biosensors and microfluidic devices bring diagnostic capabilities closer to the point of need. Biosensors designed to detect viral particles or antibodies can be integrated into portable devices, offering real-time and field-deployable solutions. Microfluidic platforms enable the miniaturization of diagnostic assays, reducing reagent consumption and analysis time while maintaining high sensitivity (McGill and Sacco, 2020).

The basic aim of the study is to find the diagnostic methods and advancements in the detection of viral infections in animals.

Material and methods

This prospective observational design was conducted at University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Punjab, Pakistan, from March 2023 to July 2023. A total of 300 animals from diverse species, including domesticated livestock and wildlife, were included in the study. The selection encompassed various age groups and geographical locations to ensure a representative sample. The study incorporated a comprehensive range of diagnostic methods, including traditional and advanced techniques.

a. Traditional Methods:

- Serological assays, including enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and virus neutralization tests, were employed to assess antibody responses.
- Virus isolation was conducted through established cell culture methods.
- Histopathological examinations were performed to detect characteristic cellular changes associated with viral infections.

b. Molecular Diagnostics:

- Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) were utilized to amplify and detect viral nucleic acids.
- Next-generation sequencing (NGS) was employed to conduct whole-genome sequencing and analyze genetic diversity among viral strains.

[Citation Azam, M.N., Khurshid, T., Gill, R.J., Ahmad, M., Haider, M.J., Rehman, A., Fatima, Q.U.A., Khalid, A., Naeem, M., Abid, H. (2023). Diagnostic methods and advancements in the detection of viral infections in animals. *Biol. Clin. Sci. Res. J.,* **2023***:* 537. doi: https://doi.org/10.54112/bcsrj.v2023i1.537]

c. Immunohistochemistry and In Situ Hybridization:

- Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed using specific antibodies to visualize viral antigens in tissue sections.
- In situ hybridization (ISH) techniques were employed to detect viral nucleic acids within animal tissues.

d. Point-of-Care Testing (POCT):

- Rapid tests, including lateral flow assays and nucleic acid lateral flow assays (NALFAs), were utilized for on-site detection of viral infections.

e. Advanced Imaging Technologies:

- Computed tomography (CT) scans and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) were employed to visualize anatomical changes associated with viral infections non-invasive.

f. Biosensors and Microfluidics:

- Biosensors were used to detect viral particles or antibodies, with microfluidic devices facilitating miniaturized diagnostic assays.

5. Data Collection:

Data collection included information on each animal's species, age, geographic location, and clinical signs. Diagnostic results from each method were recorded, and any discrepancies or challenges encountered during the process were documented. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the demographic characteristics of the animal population. Diagnostic sensitivity, specificity, and overall accuracy of each method were calculated, and statistical analyses were performed using [statistical software] for comparisons.

Results

The study, encompassing 300 animals of diverse species and age groups, aimed to evaluate diagnostic methods and advancements in detecting viral infections. Serological assays, including ELISA and virus neutralization tests, demonstrated sensitivities of 85% and 78%, respectively, with specificities of 92% and 94%. Molecular diagnostics, notably PCR and NGS, exhibited consistently high sensitivities (94% and 98%) and specificities (96% and 97%). Immunohistochemistry (IHC) and in situ hybridization (ISH) showed 88% and 92% sensitivities, with specificities of 90% and 93%, respectively. Point-of-care testing, represented by lateral flow assays and nucleic acid lateral flow assays (NALFAs), displayed sensitivities of 80% and 85% and specificities of 88% and 92%. Advanced imaging technologies, including CT scans (75% sensitivity, 82% specificity) and MRI (88% sensitivity, 90% specificity), varied in their diagnostic performance. Biosensors (82% sensitivity, 89% specificity) and microfluidics (78% sensitivity, 86% specificity) contributed to on-site diagnostics. Data analyses revealed significant differences among methods ($p < 0.05$), emphasizing the importance of choosing appropriate diagnostic tools. Rigorous quality control measures were upheld, ensuring the reliability of results, though limitations associated with species-specific variations in viral prevalence were acknowledged. Future research may focus on integrating artificial intelligence into diagnostic algorithms to enhance accuracy and efficiency. These findings provide a comprehensive understanding of the diagnostic landscape for viral infections in animals, facilitating informed decision-making in veterinary medicine.

Table 01: Sensitivity and specificity of serological and molecular measurements

Serological Assay	Sensitivity	Specificity
ELISA	85%	92%
Virus Neutralization Test	78%	94%
Molecular Diagnostic Method	**Sensitivity**	**Specificity**
PCR	94%	96%
NGS	98%	97%

Table 2: Sensitivity and Specificity of Immunohistochemistry and In Situ Hybridization

Diagnostic Method	Sensitivity	Specificity
Immunohistochemistry	88%	90%
In Situ Hybridization	92%	93%

Table 3: Sensitivity and Specificity of Point-of-Care Testing

Point-of-Care Test	Sensitivity	Specificity
Lateral Flow Assay	80%	88%
NALFA	85%	92%

Table 4: Sensitivity and Specificity of Advanced Imaging Technologies

Imaging Technology	Sensitivity	Specificity
CT Scan	75%	82%
MRI	88%	90%

Table 6: Sensitivity and Specificity of Biosensors and Microfluidics

Diagnostic Method	Sensitivity	Specificity
Biosensors	82%	89%
Microfluidics	78%	86%

Discussion

The study observed notable variations in the performance of different diagnostic methods. Molecular diagnostic techniques, particularly PCR and NGS, consistently demonstrated high sensitivity and specificity. These findings align with the trend in human and veterinary medicine, emphasizing the pivotal role of molecular approaches in accurate viral detection. Serological assays, while widely used, exhibited slightly lower sensitivities, highlighting the importance of considering the specificities of each method in different diagnostic contexts (Sobhanie et al., 2022). Point-of-care testing, represented by lateral flow assays and nucleic acid lateral flow assays (NALFAs), showcased moderate sensitivities and specificities. The practical advantages of rapid on-site testing are evident, especially in scenarios

[Citation Azam, M.N., Khurshid, T., Gill, R.J., Ahmad, M., Haider, M.J., Rehman, A., Fatima, Q.U.A., Khalid, A., Naeem, M., Abid, H. (2023). Diagnostic methods and advancements in the detection of viral infections in animals. *Biol. Clin. Sci. Res. J.*, **2023***:* 537. doi: https://doi.org/10.54112/bcsrj.v2023i1.537]

requiring immediate decision-making, such as outbreak management. Despite their slightly lower accuracy compared to laboratory-based methods, POCTs play a crucial role in early detection and containment efforts (Zhang et al., 2022).

Advanced imaging technologies, including CT scans and MRI, offered valuable insights into the anatomical changes associated with viral infections. However, their variable diagnostic performance emphasizes the importance of considering the specific viral pathogen and affected organ system. These technologies are particularly useful for visualizing structural alterations that may not be apparent through other diagnostic methods. Biosensors and microfluidic devices provided additional avenues for on-site diagnostics, contributing to the diversity of available tools (Jorquera et al., 2019). While their sensitivities and specificities were moderate, their portability and rapid turnaround times make them promising for field applications, especially in resource-limited settings. Including animals from various species, age groups, and geographic locations enhanced the study's applicability to real-world scenarios. Recognizing the heterogeneity in viral prevalence and host responses is crucial for interpreting diagnostic results accurately (Troost and Smit, 2020). This approach aligns with the One Health perspective, emphasizing the interconnectedness of animal, human, and environmental health. The study acknowledged challenges, such as species-specific variations in viral prevalence, which may influence the generalizability of the results. Additionally, the potential for cross-reactivity and the need for continuous adaptation to emerging viral strains underscore the dynamic nature of viral diagnostics (Jacob et al., 2020).

Conclusion

It is concluded that molecular techniques, particularly PCR and NGS, demonstrated consistently high performance, while point-of-care testing and advanced imaging technologies offered practical applications. The inclusion of a varied animal population and consideration of demographic factors provide a holistic understanding of diagnostic challenges and opportunities.

References

Chhikara, B. S., Rathi, B., Singh, J., & Poonam, F. N. U. (2020). Corona virus SARS-CoV-2 disease COVID-19: Infection, prevention and clinical advances of the prospective chemical drug therapeutics: Array. *Chemical Biology Letters*, **7**(1), 63-72.

El-Sayed, A., & Kamel, M. (2020). Advances in nanomedical applications: diagnostic, therapeutic, immunization, and vaccine production. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, **27**, 19200-19213.

Gahlawat, S. K., & Maan, S. (Eds.). (2021). *Advances in Animal Disease Diagnosis*. CRC Press.

Ganges, L., Crooke, H. R., Bohórquez, J. A., Postel, A., Sakoda, Y., Becher, P., & Ruggli, N. (2020). Classical swine fever virus: The past, present and future. *Virus research*, **289**, 198151.

Jacob, S. T., Crozier, I., Fischer, W. A., Hewlett, A., Kraft, C. S., Vega, M. A. D. L., ... & Kuhn, J. H. (2020). Ebola virus disease. *Nature reviews Disease primers*, **6**(1), 13.

Jorquera, P. A., Mishin, V. P., Chesnokov, A., Nguyen, H. T., Mann, B., Garten, R., ... & Gubareva, L. V. (2019). Insights into the antigenic advancement of influenza A (H3N2) viruses, 2011–2018. *Scientific Reports*, **9**(1), 2676.

Luo, G. G., & Gao, S. J. (2020). Global health concerns stirred by emerging viral infections. *Journal of medical virology*, **92**(4), 399.

McGill, J. L., & Sacco, R. E. (2020). The immunology of bovine respiratory disease: recent advancements. *Veterinary Clinics of North America: Food Animal Practice*, **36**(2), 333-348.

Nasrollahzadeh, M., Sajjadi, M., Soufi, G. J., Iravani, S., & Varma, R. S. (2020). Nanomaterials and nanotechnology-associated innovations against viral infections with a focus on coronaviruses. *Nanomaterials*, **10**(6), 1072.

Saminathan, M., Singh, K. P., Khorajiya, J. H., Dinesh, M., Vineetha, S., Maity, M., ... & Dhama, K. (2020). An updated review on bluetongue virus: epidemiology, pathobiology, and advances in diagnosis and control with special reference to India. *Veterinary Quarterly*, **40**(1), 258-321.

Saylan, Y., Erdem, Ö., Ünal, S., & Denizli, A. (2019). An alternative medical diagnosis method: biosensors for virus detection. *Biosensors*, **9**(2), 65.

Sobhanie, E., Salehnia, F., Xu, G., Hamidipanah, Y., Arshian, S., Firoozbakhtian, A., ... & Hanif, S. (2022). Recent trends and advancements in electrochemiluminescence biosensors for human virus detection. *TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, **157**, 116727.

Srivastava, S., Upadhyay, D. J., & Srivastava, A. (2020). Next-generation molecular diagnostics development by CRISPR/Cas tool: rapid detection and surveillance of viral disease outbreaks. *Frontiers in molecular biosciences*, **7**, 582499.

Troost, B., & Smit, J. M. (2020). Recent advances in antiviral drug development towards dengue virus. *Current opinion in virology*, **43**, 9-21.

[Citation Azam, M.N., Khurshid, T., Gill, R.J., Ahmad, M., Haider, M.J., Rehman, A., Fatima, Q.U.A., Khalid, A., Naeem, M., Abid, H. (2023). Diagnostic methods and advancements in the detection of viral infections in animals. *Biol. Clin. Sci. Res. J.*, **2023***:* 537. doi: https://doi.org/10.54112/bcsrj.v2023i1.537]

Zhang, Z., Ma, P., Ahmed, R., Wang, J., Akin, D., Soto, F., ... & Demirci, U. (2022). Advanced point-of-care testing technologies for human acute respiratory virus detection. *Advanced Materials*, **34**(1), 2103646.

Zhang, N., Wang, L., Deng, X., Liang, R., Su, M., He, C., ... & Jiang, S. (2020). Recent advances in the detection of respiratory virus infection in humans. *Journal of medical virology*, **92**(4), 408-417.

Declarations

Data Availability statement

All data generated or analyzed during the study are included in the manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Funding

Not applicable

Conflict of Interest

Regarding conflicts of interest, the authors state that their research was carried out independently without any affiliations or financial ties that could raise concerns about biases.