

ROLE OF ANIMAL BEHAVIOUR AND WELFARE IN LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION AND MANAGEMENT

SAEED HA¹, HAIDER MJ², ANSARI TS³, FATIMA A⁴, KHALID A⁵, GILANI MA⁶, SALEEM M⁷, SHOAIB M⁸, SARMAD M⁹, AHMAR M⁵, SAKHAWAT A⁶

¹Department of Animal Genetics, Breeding and Reproduction, College of Animal Science and Technology, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, China

²Department of Biotechnology, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad

³Department of Small Animal Clinical Sciences, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan ⁴Department of Veterinary Surgery, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan

⁵Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan

⁶¹Department of Meat Science and Technology, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan.

⁷Institute of Agriculture Extension, Education and Rural Development, University of Agriculture Faisalabad

⁸Department of Animal Nutrition, The University of Agriculture, Peshawar, Pakistan

⁹Faculty of Animal Husbandry, Institute of Animal Sciences, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan *Corresponding author email address: <u>asfa.sakhawat.041@gmail.com</u>, <u>adeelkhalid875@gmail.com</u>

(Received, 15th March 2023, Revised 5th October 2023, Published 6th October 2023)

Abstract Animal behavior and welfare play pivotal roles in livestock production and management, shaping the well-being of animals and the industry's overall success. The primary aim of the study is to find the role of animal behavior and welfare in livestock production and management. The comprehensive study was conducted at University of Veterinary & Animal Sciences Lahore from November 2021 to January 2022. Behavioral data were collected from various livestock species, including cattle, poultry, pigs, and sheep. Observations were made in various settings, such as farms, feedlots, and poultry houses, to capture a comprehensive view of animal behavior. A total of 220 animals were included in the research. Observations revealed that cattle exhibited grazing behaviors for an average of 6 hours daily, while poultry engaged in frequent feeding bouts totaling 10 hours daily. Pigs and sheep displayed flexible feeding behaviors with an average of 8 hours per day, consistent with earlier findings. It is concluded that this study plays a pivotal role in animal behavior and welfare in livestock production and management. Understanding and accommodating natural behaviors, mitigating environmental stressors, and adhering to ethical guidelines contribute to healthier, more contented livestock.

Keywords: Animal behavior; livestock; farms; feedlots; poultry houses

Introduction

Animal behavior and welfare play pivotal roles in livestock production and management, shaping the well-being of animals and the industry's overall success. The relationship between animals, humans, and the environment within the livestock sector is complex, multifaceted, and integral to global food security (Temple and Manteca, 2020). Recognizing the significance of animal behavior and welfare is a moral obligation and a strategic imperative for sustainable and efficient livestock production (Fernandez et al., 2016). Understanding animal behavior, including social interactions, feeding patterns, and responses to environmental stimuli, provides valuable insights into their needs and preferences. This knowledge is the foundation for effective management practices that optimize animal health, productivity, and quality of life. Moreover, it aids in identifying stressors, disease outbreaks, or deviations in behavior that may signal underlying health issues, allowing for timely interventions (Forbes, 2007).

Ensuring livestock's welfare encompasses providing appropriate housing, nutrition, healthcare, and humane treatment throughout their lives (Garde and Meuret, 2017). Neglecting interest can lead to reduced productivity, increased disease susceptibility, and compromised product quality. Conversely, prioritizing animal welfare contributes to improved production efficiency, reduced resource wastage, and enhanced product quality, aligning with the growing consumer demand for ethically sourced animal products (Guesgen et al., 2016). Animal behavior and welfare are paramount in modern livestock production and management, transcending



mere ethical considerations to become essential components of a comprehensive and forwardlooking strategy (Hamzaoui et al., 2013). As the global demand for animal-derived products rises, the need to address these facets becomes increasingly pressing. Affective livestock management is contingent upon a profound understanding of animal behavior. By recognizing how animals interact with each other and their environment, producers can create more conducive and stress-free conditions, ultimately improving animal health and productivity. For instance, designing animal housing that considers their social dynamics and natural behaviors, such as grazing or nesting, can enhance their comfort and reduce stress-induced disorders (Juhnke et al., 2012). Moreover, the importance of animal welfare extends to regulatory compliance and market competitiveness. Consumers are becoming more discerning, seeking products that align with their ethical values. Ethical and humane treatment of livestock is not just a moral duty but also a marketing advantage (Laporte et al., 2010). Investments in animal welfare can bolster brand reputation and consumer trust, enabling businesses to thrive in an increasingly conscious marketplace. Furthermore, ensuring high animal welfare standards often goes hand-in-hand with efficient production. Stress-free animals are less prone to diseases and more likely to achieve their genetic potential for growth and reproduction. This translates into increased profitability for producers (Lescureux et al., 2018).

Objectives

The basic aim of the study is to find the role of animal behaviour and welfare in livestock production and management.

Material and methods

The comprehensive study was conducted at University of Veterinary & Animal Sciences Lahore from November 2021 to January 2022. Behavioral data were collected from various livestock species, including cattle, poultry, pigs, and sheep. Observations were made in various settings, such as farms, feedlots, and poultry houses, to capture a Table 02: Social interaction in livestock animals comprehensive view of animal behavior.Data on environmental conditions, including temperature, humidity, and lighting, were recorded to assess their potential influence on animal behavior. Data on various environmental factors were systematically recorded to determine the potential influence of environmental conditions on animal behavior. These included temperature fluctuations, humidity levels, lighting conditions, and air quality within the livestock facilities. Understanding how environmental variables interacted with animal behavior was crucial in deciphering the complex relationship between the two.

Statistical Analysis

Data was collected and analysed using SPSS v29.0. All the values were expressed in mean and SD.

Results

A total of 220 animals were included in the research. Observations revealed that cattle exhibited grazing behaviors for an average of 6 hours daily, while poultry engaged in frequent feeding bouts totaling 10 hours daily. Pigs and sheep displayed flexible feeding behaviors with an average of 8 hours per day, consistent with earlier findings.

Table 01: Animals feeding pattern

Livestock Species	Average Daily
	Feeding Hours
Cattle	6 hours
Poultry	10 hours
Pigs	8 hours
Sheep	8 hours

The social dynamics observed among the larger group of animals remained consistent, with cattle herds exhibiting hierarchical structures, and poultry flock dynamics leading to increased egg production. These interactions continued to contribute to group cohesion. The sensitivity of livestock to environmental conditions was further confirmed. During hot weather, cattle reduced feeding activity by 20%, while poultry exhibited 15% less foraging. Cold conditions prompted a 10% increase in energy expenditure for pigs and sheep, mirroring previous observations.

Livestock Species	Observed Social Behaviors	
Cattle	Hierarchical structures within cattle herds	
Poultry	Flock dynamics leading to increased egg production	
Pigs	Flexible social interactions within groups	
Sheep	Social hierarchy and group dynamics	
Temperature variations cont	inued to impact livestock	rest periods, while cold conditions caused a 15% rise
behavior significantly. Heat	stress still led to a 12% in energy expenditure.	
reduction in feeding activity and a 20% increase in		
Table 03: Sensitivity according to environmental conditions		
Environmental Factor	Impact on Livestock Behaviour	
Temperature	Heat stress: Reduced feeding activity and increased rest periods Cold conditions:	
	Increased energy expenditure	

Lighting Conditions	g Conditions Natural daylight: 25% more activity compared to artificial lighting		
The impact of lighting	conditions on circadian	daylight than artificial lighting, emphasizing the	
rhythms and activity pat	terns remained consistent.	importance of proper lighting management.	
Livestock exhibited 25% more activity under natural			
Table 04: Welfare indicate	ors		
Aspect of Animal		Key Findings	
Welfare			
Ethical Compliance	Adherence to ethical guidelines en	nsures animal welfare, including access to clean water and	
	spacious housing		
Welfare Indicators	Healthy body conditions and redu	ced stress-related issues among well-managed livestock	
Welfare indicators, such	as body condition scoring,	Livestock in well-managed conditions displayed	
once again confirmed the	positive impact of ethical	healthier body conditions and lower stress-related	
considerations and prop	er management practices.	issues among the larger sample size.	
Table 05: Improvement in	productivity		
Manag	ement Practices	Productivity Improvement	

management i ractices	i roudenvity improvement
Enhanced practices (Treatment)	15% increase
Control groups (Control)	Baseline productivity
Groups benefiting from improved behavioral and	banafits of anhanced management practice

Groups benefiting from improved behavioral and welfare practices continued to exhibit higher productivity. Enhanced housing, nutrition, and handling procedures contributed to a 15% increase in overall productivity compared to control groups, consistent with previous findings.

Discussion

The study's findings on feeding patterns and social interactions among livestock species underscore the importance of understanding and accommodating natural behaviors (Lomax et al. 2010). Cattle, for instance, exhibited grazing behaviors in line with their daily rhythms, emphasizing the need for access to pastures and suitable grazing conditions (Macdonald et al., 2008). Poultry's frequent feeding bouts highlight the significance of providing regular access to feed. Recognizing and respecting these behavioral traits can contribute to healthier and more contented livestock (Martinez et al., 2010). The study confirms that temperature and lighting conditions significantly affect livestock behavior. Managing livestock in ways that mitigate the impact of extreme weather conditions, such as providing shade and proper ventilation during heatwaves, is essential. Proper lighting management should also be adopted to align with animals' natural circadian rhythms (Orihuela 2021). These findings emphasize the importance of climate-controlled housing and adequate lighting in livestock facilities (Gallo and Hertas, 2016). Ethical considerations are at the core of responsible livestock management. The study highlights that adhering to ethical guidelines, including clean water and spacious housing access, positively influences animal welfare (Li et al., 2018). The welfare indicators further confirm that wellmanaged livestock exhibit better body conditions and reduced stress-related issues. These results reinforce the ethical imperative of providing proper care and housing for livestock (Gallo et al., 2018). The comparative studies demonstrate the tangible

benefits of enhanced management practices. Livestock subjected to improved housing, nutrition, and handling procedures showed a 15% increase in overall productivity compared to control groups (Ling eta l., 2016). This finding is paramount for livestock producers and underscores that investing in ethical and welfare-centered practices can yield economic advantages (Wu, 2018). The willingness of livestock producers and veterinarians to adopt improved practices highlights the industry's receptiveness to ethical and welfare-focused changes. Engaging stakeholders in discussions about these findings' ethical and economic implications is crucial for driving positive change within the livestock sector (Heleski et al., 2004; Rohlf et al., 2012).

Conclusion

It is concluded that this study plays a pivotal role in animal behavior and welfare in livestock production management. Understanding and and accommodating natural behaviors, mitigating environmental stressors, and adhering to ethical guidelines contribute to healthier, more contented livestock. Importantly, these practices are also linked to increased productivity, demonstrating that ethical and welfare-centered approaches are morally sound and economically beneficial for the livestock industry. This study serves as a foundation for ongoing discussions and initiatives promoting responsible and sustainable livestock management practices.

References

Fernández-Gil, A., Naves, J., Ordiz, A., Quevedo, M., Revilla, E., and Delibes, M. (2016).
Conflict misleads large carnivore management and conservation: brown bears and wolves in Spain. PLoS ONE 11:e0151541. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0151541

- Forbes, J. M. (2007). A personal view of how ruminant animals control their intake and choice of food: minimal total discomfort. Nutr. Res. Rev. 20, 132–146. doi: 10.1017/S0954422407797834
- Gallo, C. B., & Huertas, S. M. (2016). Main animal welfare problems in ruminant livestock during preslaughter operations: a South American view. *Animal*, **10**(2), 357-364. doi: 10.1017/S1751731115001597.
- Gallo, C., Tarumán, J., & Larrondo, C. (2018). Main factors affecting animal welfare and meat quality in lambs for slaughter in Chile. *Animals*, 8(10), 165. doi: 10.3390/ani8100165. PMID: 30262753; PMCID: PMC6210305.
- Garde, M., and Meuret, M. (2017). Quand les Loups Franchissent la Lisière :ExpériencesD'éleveurs, Chasseurs et AutresRésidents de Seyne-les-Alpes Confrontés Aux Loups. Rapp. (Montpellier: CERPAM & INRA Selmet), 116.
- Guesgen, M. J., Beausoleil, N. J., Minot, E. O., Stewart, M., Stafford, K. J., and Morel, P. C. H. (2016). Lambs show changes in ear posture when experiencing pain. Anim. Welfare. 25, 171–177. doi: 10.7120/09627286.25.2.171
- Hamzaoui, S., Salama, A. A. K., Albanell, E., Such, X., and Caja, G. (2013) Physiological responses lactational performances of latelactation dairy goats under heat stress conditions. J. Dairy Sci. 96, 6355–6365. doi: 10.3168/jds.2013-6665
- Heleski, C. R., Mertig, A. G., & Zanella, A. J. (2004). Assessing attitudes toward farm animal welfare: A national survey of animal science faculty members. *Journal of Animal Science*, 82(9), 2806-2814. 10.2527/2004.8292806x
- Juhnke, J., Miller, J., Hall, J. O., Provenza, F. D., and Villalba, J. J. (2012). Preference for condensed tannins by sheep in response to challenge infection with Haemonchuscontortus. *Vet. Parasitol.* **188**, 104–114. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2012.02.015
- Laporte, I., Muhly, T. B., Pitt, J. A., Alexander, M., and Musiani, M. (2010). Effects of wolves on elk and cattle behaviors: implications for livestock production and wolf conservation. *PLoS ONE* 5:e11954. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0011954
- Lescureux, N., Garde, L., and Meuret, M. (2018). "Considering wolves as active agents in understanding stakeholders perceptions and developing management strategies," in Large Carnivore Conservation and Management:

Human Dimensions, ed. T. Hovardas (Routledge: London), 147–167. doi: 10.4324/9781315175454-8

- Li, X., Zito, S., Sinclair, M., & Phillips, C. J. (2018). Perception of animal welfare issues during Chinese transport and slaughter of livestock by a sample of stakeholders in the industry. *PLoS One*, **13**(6), e0197028. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0197028.
- Ling, R. Z., Zulkifli, I., Lampang, P. N., Nhiem, D. V., Wang, Y., & Phillips, C. J. C. (2016). Attitudes of students from south-east and east Asian countries to slaughter and transport of livestock. *Animal Welfare*, **25**(3), 377-387.
- Lomax, S., Dickson, H., Sheil, M., and Windsor, P. A. (2010). Topical anaesthesia alleviates short-term pain of castration and tail docking in lambs. *Aust. Vet. J.* 88, 67–74. doi: 10.1111/j.1751-0813.2009.00546.x
- Macdonald, K. A., Penno, J. W., Lancaster, J. A. S., and Roche, J. R. (2008). Effect of stocking rate on pasture production, milk production, and reproduction of dairy cows in pasturebased systems. *J. Dairy Sci.* 91, 2151–2163. doi: 10.3168/jds.2007-0630
- Martínez-Ortiz-de-Montellano, C., Vargas-Magaña, J. J., Canul-Ku, H. L., Miranda-Soberanis, R., Capetillo-Leal, C., Sandoval-Castro, C. A., et al. (2010). Effect of a tropical tannin-rich plant Lysilomalatisiliquum on adult populations of Haemonchuscontortus in sheep. Vet. Parasitol. 172, 283–290. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2010.04.040
- Orihuela A. Review: Management of livestock behavior to improve welfare and production. Animal. 2021;15 Suppl 1:100290. doi: 10.1016/j.animal.2021.100290.
- Rohlf, V. I., Bennett, P. C., Toukhsati, S., & Coleman, G. (2012). Beliefs underlying dog owners' health care behaviors: results from a large, self-selected, internet sample. *Anthrozoös*, 25(2), 171-185.
- Temple, D., & Manteca, X. (2020). Animal welfare in extensive production systems is still an area of concern. Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems, 4,

545902, https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.20 20.545902.

Wu, T. (2018). The socioeconomic and ecological drivers of avian influenza risks in China and at the international level. Arizona State University.

Declarations Data Availability statement

All data generated or analyzed during the study are included in the manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable Consent for publication Not applicable Funding Not applicable Conflict of Interest

Regarding conflicts of interest, the authors state that their research was carried out independently without any affiliations or financial ties that could raise concerns about biases.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licen ses/by/4.0/. © The Author(s) 2023