

# DEVELOPMENT OF *BRASSICA NAPUS* L. LINES FOR HIGH YIELD AND ESSENTIAL FATTY ACIDS

# AWAN AR<sup>1</sup>, ABBAS M<sup>1</sup>, HASSAN A<sup>1</sup>, SARDAR S<sup>2</sup>, AKBAR S<sup>1</sup>, NAEEM A<sup>3</sup>, QADIR MS<sup>1</sup>, ALI W<sup>4</sup>, \*NAWAZ MS<sup>1</sup>, AQEEL M<sup>5</sup>, MAHMOOD N<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Vegetable Research Station Karor Layyah Punjab, Pakistan
 <sup>2</sup>Vegetable Research Station Sahiwal, Pakistan
 <sup>3</sup>PARC rice program Kala Shah Kaku Lahore, Pakistan
 <sup>4</sup>Center for Plant Science Innovation, University of Nebraska Lincoln, Nebraska, USA
 <sup>5</sup>Maize and Millets Research Institute, Yousafwala Sahiwal, Pakistan
 <sup>6</sup>Endowment Fund Secretariat, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan
 \*Correspondence author email address: shakeelnawaz221@gmail.com

(Received, 1<sup>st</sup> January 2023, Revised 15<sup>th</sup> May 2023, Published 21<sup>th</sup> May 2023)

**Abstract** Heterosis and combining ability were calculated for 13 different morphological, yield, and quality-related traits of 18 F1 Brassica napus hybrids developed through line × tester mating design using 6 lines and 3 testers along with their parents. Line × tester ANOVA revealed highly significant results among all characters except for primary branches per plant. ZM-R-2 (tester) was identified as a good general combiner for days to 50% flowering, days to 50% siliqua formation, linolenic acid (%), number of secondary branches, siliquae per plant, and seeds per siliqua, while ZM-R-6 for 100-seed weight, protein, and oleic acid percentage. ZM-R-11 × ZM-R-2 was identified as a good specific combiner for days to flowering, 50% flowering, and 50% siliqua, while ZM-M-6 × ZM-R-2, ZN-M-9 × ZM-R-6, ZN-R-8 × ZM-R-6, Shiralee × ZM-R-2 were good specific combiners for seeds per siliqua, 100-seed weight, oil, and protein percentage, respectively. Highly significant and desired mid-parent heterosis was observed for ZM-R-7 × ZM-R-2 for different morphological and quality traits like linolenic acid content and Shiralee × ZM-R-2 for oil and protein content. Positive mid parental heterosis for oleic acid, linoleic acid, and seed yield plant-1 were observed in ZM-R-7 × ZM-R-10 and ZN-M-9 × ZM-R-10. These hybrids can be utilized as genetic material for future breeding programs.

Keywords: Line × tester; mid parental heterosis; combining ability; quality traits; industrial development

#### Introduction

Edible oil is an important food commodity worldwide and a source of essential fatty acids. For the past few decades, Pakistan has been importing a huge amount of edible oil at the cost of precious foreign exchange. Only 20-25% of needs are met through domestic production, and the remaining 75% are satisfied through imports (Shehzad, Sadaqat, et al. 2015). Increasing human population day by day cause major increase in oil consumption, so there is a dire need to enhance edible oil production at a national level to meet the growing needs. In 2021 (July-March), 2.91 million tons of edible oil valued at 574.19 billion (US\$ 3.41 billion) was imported, while local production was recorded to be 0.37 million tons (Government of Pakistan 2021). There is a huge gap between the production and consumption of edible oil in Pakistan which is expected to go higher in the future until necessary action is taken to overcome this shortfall.

There is about a 3-4% increase in the import bill of edible oil each year (Khatri *et al.* 2005). This exhibits

the gap between production and consumption, which must be fulfilled by producing advanced local cultivars and lines with higher yield and quality traits. Rapeseed-mustard is an important group of oilseed crops belonging to the Brassicaceae family and has a vital position in world agriculture (Mayurakshee Mahanta and Purna Kanta Barua 2020). Brassica crops have gained high dietary importance as vegetables, condiments, and edible oil. They are cultivated in over 53 world countries and are considered one of the oldest and earliest crop species domesticated by men (Kumar et al. 2014). Brassica oil has genetic variability in its fatty acid composition. Pakistan produces a reasonable amount of rapeseedmustard oil. Still, the indigenous cultivar's oil cannot be used in manufacturing vegetable ghee and oil due to their high erucic acid and glucosinolates content (Ahmad et al. 2013). That's why breeding efforts are made to develop genetic stock with improved seed yield and oil quality compared to local cultivars. This goal can be achieved by utilizing the full genetic





potential of the respective crop, as the success of any breeding program depends on the availability of diverse genetic material with novel characteristics (Gul *et al.* 2018). Oil quality depends upon both nutritional and functional aspects, which are associated with the profile of the fatty acids present in it. Breeding efforts are being made to reduce antinutritive components and improve meal quality (Alrosan *et al.* 2022). The main objective of oilseed breeders is to develop *Brassica* cultivars with high seed oil content and superior fatty acid profile.

For the past few years, oil yield and its quality have become an important field of study and research worldwide (Ahmad *et al.* 2022). The oil content with desired fatty acid composition in oilseed *Brassicas* is a chief selection character to plant breeders. This study was carried out to develop the *Brassica napus* genotypes for high seed yield and better oil quality parameters, with more than 40% monounsaturated fatty acids (oleic acid) and 6-11% polyunsaturated fatty acids (Phuah *et al.* 2022). An ideal edible oil needs to meet our daily nutritional demands without causing any harm to human as well as animal health. **Materials and Methods** 

#### Genetic Material

The experimental material was obtained from the Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan. It consisted of 9 *Brassica napus* genotypes viz. ZM-R-7, ZN-M-9, ZM-M-6, ZN-R-8, Shiralee, ZN-M-11, ZM-R-6, ZM-R-2, and ZM-R-10. Among these nine genotypes, 6 were used as lines (ZM-R-7, ZN-M-9, ZM-M-6, ZN-R-8, Shiralee, and ZN-M-11) 3 lines as testers (ZM-R-6, ZM-R-2, and ZM-R-10) for line × tester mating design. These nine *Brassica napus* genotypes were crossed in line × tester mating design through controlled pollination. Their seeds were harvested and stored to grow in the next season for evaluation and traits analysis.

Stored  $F_0$  seeds were sown in the research area of the Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, the University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, and their parents in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. Data on morphological, phenological, seed yield, and quality parameters were collected.

#### **Observed Traits**

Data regarding 13 different yield and quality-related parameters were collected, which include plant Height (PH), primary and secondary branches (PB and SB), days to flowering (DF), days to 50% flowering (DF50%), days to 50% siliqua (DS50%), siliquae plant<sup>-1</sup> (SPP), seeds per siliqua (SPS), 100 seed weight (HSW), yield plant<sup>-1</sup> (YPP), oil content % (OC), protein content % (PC), and fatty acid profile which include major unsaturated and essential fatty acids like oleic acid content % (OA), linoleic acid content % were

measured using multipurpose analyzer (MPA) by using a random sample of 3-4 g seeds of *Brassica napus* through MPA (Multi-Purpose FT-NIR Analyser) it allows the analysis of liquids, solids powders, and tablets in transmittance as well as diffuse reflectance. The MPA spectrometer is mainly operated using a data system (PC, notebook, etc.) and setting the measurement parameters using the spectroscopy software OPUS.

# **Biometrical** Approaches

#### **Analysis of Variance**

All the collected data were subjected to an analysis of variance (ANOVA) given by (Steel *et al.* 1997) to determine the variability present within the genotypes Table 1.

Table 1. Mean square values from analysis of<br/>variance for various yield and related traits.

Sr.	Name of characters	MSS
number		
1	Days to flowering	207.32**
2	Days to 50 % flowering	242.04**
3	Days to 50% siliquae formation	230.05**
4	Number of primary branches	1.46
5	Number of secondary branches	7.63**
6	Plant height	349.49**
7	Number of siliquae	33554.69**
8	Number of seeds per siliqua	11.44*
9	Seed yield per plant	$9.28^{*}$
10	100-seed weight	0.0039**
11	Oil %	37.48**
12	Protein %	32.73**
13	Oleic acid %	$105.74^{**}$
14	Linolenic acid %	10.57**
15	Linoleic acid %	13.65**

\* = Significant at 0.05 probability level; \*\* =

Significant at 0.01 probability level; DF = Degree of freedom, MSS = Mean sum of square, Genotypes Df = 26, Error Df = 52, Replication Df = 2

#### **Results and Discussion**

Analysis of variance presented highly significant results for all studied traits except for the primary branches, which indicated non-significant differences among all the genotypes. (Nasim *et al.* 2014) also reported non-significant results for primary branches per plant. ANOVA for line  $\times$  tester revealed highly significant results for all the portioned variables except for primary branches per plant in which only female parents exhibited significant value. Nasim *et* 

al. (2013), Shrimali et al. (2018), and Rashmi et al. (2018) also reported non-significant results for the number of primary branches per plant (Table S1). GCA and SCA results of different phenological, morphological, and biochemical parameters are highlighted and mentioned in Tables S2, and S3.

#### **Combining Ability Effects**

Combining ability is an important statistical procedure through which parents and their desired combinations are selected for desired traits and their manipulation in future breeding programs to get hybrids and varieties with better economic values. The GCA and SCA effects help determine the role of additive and non-additive gene actions in the expression and inheritance of a particular trait and formulating a breeding procedure accordingly.

#### **Phenological Parameters**

Early flowering is a needed character that indicates early siliqua formation and, ultimately, maturity. Fewer days to flowering are required for early crop establishment (Huang and Laosuwan 2010). In this experiment, ZM-M-6 exhibited highly significant GCA effects for days to flower initiation in a negative direction (-2.04\*\*) similarly among testers highly significant GCA in a negative direction was found in ZM-R-2 (-4.04\*\*). For SCA effects crosses ZN-M-11 × ZM-R-2 (-5.19\*\*), ZN-M-9 × ZM-R-10 (-5.19\*\*), Shiralee  $\times$  ZM-R-2 (-4.96<sup>\*\*</sup>) and ZN-R-8  $\times$  ZM-R-6 (-4.19\*\*) exhibited highly significant results for days to flowering in a negative direction. Combining ability effects for this trait was also studied by (Huang and Laosuwan 2010, Gami and Chauhan 2013, Adhikari et al. 2017, Dahiya, Tufchi, et al. 2018), and their results were following our findings. Meanwhile, days to 50% flowering are one of the desirable phenological traits which highlight early seed setting. The early flowering genotypes ultimately have more grain filling duration, and the seed will be bold as well. Among lines ZN-R-8 (-2.46\*\*) sowed a highly significant GCA effect in a negative direction, followed by ZM-M-6 and ZM-R-7 (-1.91\*\*), and the only male parent ZM-R-2 (-3.52\*\*) showed highly significant GCA effects for days to 50% flowering in a negative direction. Among crosses, four hybrids ZN-M-11  $\times$  ZM-R-2 (-4.70\*\*), ZN-R-8  $\times$  ZM-R-6 (-3.93\*\*), ZM-M-6 × ZM-R-10 (-3.48\*\*) and ZN-M-11  $\times$  ZM-R-6 (-3.48<sup>\*\*</sup>) exhibited highly significant SCA effects in a negative direction indicating fewer days to 50% flowering. (Gupta et al. 2010, Gideon J. Synrem et al. 2015, Barupal 2016, Chaurasiya et al. 2018), (Gul et al. 2018) also studied the effects of GCA and SCA on this character. Similarly, fewer days to 50% siliqua formation lead to early maturing and protects the crop from later stages of insect attack and losses. Among lines ZN-R-8 (-2.74\*\*) had a highly significant GCA effect for days to 50% siliqua formation in the negative direction, followed by ZM-R-7 (-2.63\*\*). Among testers (male parents) ZM-R-2

(-3.07<sup>\*\*</sup>) sowed highly significant GCA in a negative direction. Highly significant SCA in a negative direction was noticed in ZN-M-11 × ZM-R-2 (-5.37<sup>\*\*</sup>), ZM-M-6  $\times$  ZM-R-10 (-3.93<sup>\*\*</sup>), and ZN-R-8  $\times$ ZM-R-6  $(-3.48^{**})$ . This is the character only studied in this experiment. These parental lines can be used in the breeding programs to transmit this character.

#### **Morphological Parameters**

There is a positive relationship between the number of primary branches and seed yield, while it has a nonsignificant relationship with 1000-seed weight During this study, only female parent ZM-R-7 (0.91<sup>\*\*</sup>) had a highly significant GCA effect. No, hybrid was found with significant results for this character, and line ZM-M-6 (-0.76\*) exhibited significant GCA in a negative direction. The rest of the female lines and male tester exhibited non-significant results for GCA effects. Non-significant SCA effects were observed for this trait among all hybrids. (Dahiya et al., 2018a; Khan and Hassan 2019: Shah et al. 2021: Ahmad et al. 2022) also studied the general and specific combining ability effects for primary branches per plant. There is a highly significant and positive relationship between the number of secondary branches with oleic acid and linolenic acid proportion and siliquae per plant ultimately increasing yield. Results exhibited that female parent ZM-R-7 (1.28\*\*) was found highly significant for the GCA effect in a positive direction. Among testers ZM-R-2 (1.44\*\*) showed highly significant GCA in a positive direction. Hybrid ZM-R-7  $\times$  ZM-R-2 (2.67<sup>\*\*</sup>) was found with highly significant GCA effects, while three hybrids ZN-M-11  $\times$  ZM-R10 (1.50<sup>\*</sup>), ZN-M-11  $\times$  ZM-R-2 (1.39<sup>\*</sup>) and Shiralee  $\times$  ZM-R-6 (1.39<sup>\*</sup>) exhibited significant SCA for several secondary branches per plant. The results are following the findings of (Ahsan et al. 2013, Singh et al. 2016, Chaurasiya et al. 2018, Khan and Hassan 2019).

#### **Yield Parameters**

Siliquae per plant has a positive impact on yield, more the number of siliquae will be the yield of the respective genotype. Therefore, a positive and significant GCA effect is required for this trait. Female parents ZM-R-7 (90.94\*\*) along with ZM-M-6 (42.39<sup>\*\*</sup>) and ZN-M-9 (38.83<sup>\*\*</sup>) have significant positive values. Among testers ZM-R-2 (41.56\*\*) exhibited highly significant GCA, followed by ZM-R-10 (36.33<sup>\*\*</sup>). Hybrids with highly significant SCA were noticed in ZM-M- $6 \times$  ZM-R-10 (124.78<sup>\*\*</sup>), ZN-M-9  $\times$  ZM-R-6 (114.89\*\*) and ZN-R-8  $\times$  ZM-R-2 (111.33<sup>\*\*</sup>), in a positive direction. The results were following the findings of (Gami and Chauhan 2013, Gautam and Chauhan 2016, Shah et al. 2021). Moreover, plant height is an important character related to Brassica species. It has a positive relation with siliquae per plant and is an important factor for increasing plant yield but yield losses have been observed due to logging. Therefore, a medium plant

height is required to minimize losses and robust plant stand against abiotic factors to maximize yield. Significant negative GCA was observed in female parents Shiralee (-10.89\*\*) and ZN-M-11 (-8.05\*\*) can be used as good general combiners for reduced plant height. Only ZM-R-10 (1.85\*\*) among testers indicated highly significant GCA for this trait while the rest of the male parents expressed non-significant results. In the case of specific combining ability ZM-R-7  $\times$  ZM-R-6, ZN-M-11  $\times$  ZM-R-2 and ZN-R-8  $\times$ ZM-R-10 exhibited highly significant SCA effects in a negative direction (-9.35\*\*, -4.97\*\* and -3.47\*\*) respectively. The remaining hybrids showed nonsignificant results. (Mohammed 2011, Synrem et al. 2014, Rameeh 2020) found similar results. Seeds per siliquae has a direct effect on plant yield a greater number of seeds in siliqua will ensure more yield it also has a positive relation with the number of secondary branches and primary branches per plant (Tarig et al., 2020). Among female parents, only ZM-M-6 exhibited highly significant GCA effects in a positive direction (1.70\*\*) and only 1 tester (ZM-R-2) positively exhibited significant GCA effect and indicated a good general combiner for seeds per siliqua. Regarding SCA effects (Table S3, S4), only 2 crosses ZN-M-9  $\times$  ZM-R-6 and ZM-M-6  $\times$  ZM-R-2 exhibited highly significant SCA effects (2.30\*\*) among 18 crosses. (V. Rameah 2003, Kumar et al. 2014, Muhammad et al. 2014, Synrem et al. 2015, Mahanta and Barua 2020) also investigated the SCA and GCA effects for this trait and found familiar results. A positive GCA effect in parental lines for 100-seed weight is desirable. ZN-M-9 line showed highly significant GCA in a positive direction  $(0.03^{**})$ for 100-seed weight. Among testers ZM-R-6 (0.02<sup>\*\*</sup>) demonstrated highly significant results for GCA in positive. Crosses ZN-M-9  $\times$  ZM-R-6 (0.05<sup>\*\*</sup>) showed a highly significant SCA effect in a positive direction. 3 crosses ZM-M-6  $\times$  ZM-R-2 (0.03<sup>\*</sup>), ZN-R-8  $\times$  ZM-R-6 (0.03<sup>\*</sup>), and Shiralee  $\times$  ZM-R-2 (0.03<sup>\*</sup>) exhibited significant positive SCA. Non-significant results were noticed in the rest of the crosses. (Gupta et al. 2010,

GCA and SCA effects for 100-seed weight. Seed yield per plant is an ultimate objective in any breeding program. The only female parent Shiralee  $(1.07^*)$  possesses a significant GCA effect in a positive direction while non-significant results were noticed among all the testers for yield per plant indicating that multiple genes control seed yield. Results indicated non-significant SCA effects in all hybrids except ZN-M-9 × ZM-R-2 (-1.87\*) and ZN-R-8 × ZM-R-6 (-2.14\*) which expressed significant SCA effects in a negative direction. The result of this trait is in conformity with the findings of the following authors. (Gul *et al.* 2018, Bharti *et al.* 2018, Dahiya *et al.* 2018, Saikia *et al.* 2019). **Quality Parameters** 

Nasim et al. 2014, Ullah et al. 2015) reported positive

Oil content is a trait governed by many genes. High oil content is a required parameter the combining ability effects indicate the parental lines have significant values and can be used to improve oil quality and quantity. In Table S2 highly significant positive GCA effects were observed in two females Shiralee  $(3.42^{**})$  and ZM-R-7  $(1.63^{**})$ . Among testers, non-significant results were noticed. In the case of SCA effects Table, S3 showed that only two crosses ZN-R-8  $\times$  ZM-R-6 (4.09<sup>\*\*</sup>), Shiralee  $\times$  ZM-R-2  $(2.57^{**})$  with highly significant positive SCA effects while ZM-R-7  $\times$  ZM-R-10 (2.45<sup>\*</sup>), Shiralee  $\times$  ZM-R10 (2.11<sup>\*</sup>) showed significant SCA effects and rest of the hybrids expressed non-significant SCA results. The result obtained through this experiment was strengthened by the findings of (Azizinia 2012, Meena et al. 2015, Mohan et al. 2017, Ahmad et al. 2022). Protein is also an essential biochemical for all living things and contributes to the growth and development of humans and animals. Results showed a highly significant difference in protein content among the following genotypes. Two lines ZN-R-8  $(0.98^{**})$  and ZM-M-9  $(0.86^{**})$  expressed highly significant GCA effects in a positive direction. Two out of three testers exhibited highly significant GCA effects in the positive direction of ZM-R-6 (0.68\*\*) and ZM-R-2 (0.53\*\*). On the other hand, 8 out of 18 crosses exhibited highly significant SCA effects for protein percentage in which two crosses Shiralee  $\times$ ZM-R-2 (6.73<sup>\*\*</sup>) and ZN-R-8  $\times$  ZM-R-2 (5.13<sup>\*\*</sup>) showed the highest SCA effects in a positive direction. The results of this experiment were in line with previous findings (Cuthbert et al. 2011, Akabari et al. 2017, Dahiya et al. 2018). Among essential fatty acids, oleic acid is also required in high proportion in edible oils. Genotypes with high oleic acid content are suitable to use in developing hybrids if the parents exhibit high additive gene action. Highly significant positive GCA effects were observed in two lines ZM-M-6 (3.66\*\*) and ZN-M-9 (0.86\*\*), respectively. Testers ZM-R-6 (2.03\*\*) and ZM-R-2 (1.58\*\*) exhibited highly significant GCA effects. Highly significant SCA was expressed in 6 out of 18 crosses and the highest value in the positive direction was observed in ZM-R-7 × ZM-R-10 (10.72\*\*) followed by Shiralee  $\times$  ZM-R-6 (6.35  $^{**}) and ZN-R-8 <math display="inline">\times$  ZM-R-6  $(5.27^{**})$  only one hybrid showed significant SCA ZN-M-11  $\times$  ZM-R-6 (0.91<sup>\*</sup>). (Turi *et al.* 2010, Shehzad et al. 2015, Sohail 2018) also reported results with partial and complete agreement. In contrast, linolenic acid is a polyunsaturated fatty acid. But its low content is desirable in edible oil because its high content disturbs oil stability while storage and frying. Female parent ZM-R-7 (1.21<sup>\*\*</sup>) exhibited a highly significant positive GCA effect. Two male parents depicted highly significant GCA effects ZM-R-2 (0.82\*\*) and ZM-R-6 (0.25\*\*). Regarding specific combining ability effects, 8 crosses exhibited highly

significant positive SCA. The highest values were observed in ZN-M-11 × ZM-R-10 (2.93\*\*) followed by ZM-M-6  $\times$  ZM-R-6 (2.89<sup>\*\*</sup>) and Shiralee  $\times$  ZM-R-2 (2.28\*\*). Significant positive GCA and SCA effects for linolenic acid content were studied by (Vaghela et al. 2011, Nasim and Farhatullah 2013, Ahmad et al. 2022). Whereas, higher linoleic acid contents are required in rapeseed to increase its usefulness as raw material for margarine manufacturing. The ratio between linolenic acid (18:3) and linoleic acid (18:2) which determined the nutrition value of rapeseed oil is (1:2). Female parents were noticed with highly significant GCA effects which included ZN-M-9 (1.29\*\*), ZM-R-7 (1.05\*\*) and ZM-M-6 (0.48\*\*). Among testers highly significant GCA was observed in male parent ZM-R-10 (0.48\*\*). Highest SCA value in positive direction were observed in three parental combinations ZM-M-6  $\times$  ZM-R-6 (3.11<sup>\*\*</sup>), Shiralee  $\times$ ZM-R-10 (2.31<sup>\*\*</sup>) and ZN-M-9  $\times$  ZM-R-2 (2.24<sup>\*\*</sup>). Significantly positive combining ability effects related to linoleic acid percentage was also studied by (Shrimali et al. 2018, Sohail 2018, Ahmad et al. 2022).

#### Mid-Parent and Better Parent Heterosis

Heterosis for mid and better parent was calculated for all the traits under study and its results are given in Table S4.

#### **Phenological Traits**

Heterosis and heterobeltiosis play an important role in the hybrid breeding program. Negative mid-parent heterosis for days to flowering ranged from -19.59\*\* to -6.36\*\*, and the highest BP heterosis was found from -26.40  $^{**}$  to -3.58  $^{**}$ . ZN-M-9  $\times$  ZM-R-10 expressed the highest, negative mid-parent heterosis  $(-19.59^{**})$  for days to flowering and ZM-M-6  $\times$  ZM-R-2 cross showed the lowest negative mid-parent heterosis (-6.36\*\*). Negative heterosis means fewer days taken to flower initiation. Whereas, all crosses showed negative better parent heterosis, which could be exploited for the development of early maturing varieties. ZM-R-7  $\times$  ZM-R-2 cross showed the highest negative better parent heterosis  $(-26.40^{**})$  and the Shiralee  $\times$  ZM-R-6 hybrid showed the lowest negative better parent heterosis (-3.58\*\*). (Bharti et al. n.d., Dar et al. 2011, Muhammad et al. 2014, Ali et al. 2015) ) also found similar results.

For days to 50% flowering ZM-R-7 × ZM-R-10 hybrid exhibited negative mid-parent heterosis (- $19.26^{**}$ ) and ZN-M-9 × ZM-R-6 (-2.07\*) exhibited the lowest negative value. ZM-R-7 × ZM-R-2 exhibited the highest negative better parent heterosis (-26.40\*\*) and Shiralee × ZM-R-6 c showed the lowest negative better parent heterosis of (-3.58\*\*) (Sabaghnia *et al.* 2010, Singh *et al.* 2012, Gautam and Chauhan 2016) results were following our findings. Similarly, fewer days to 50% flowering and days to 50% siliquae formation would lead to early maturity. High negative MP heterosis was observed from -18.96\*\* to -4.31\*\*. ZM-R-7  $\times$  ZM-R-10 showed the highest negative mid-parent heterosis (-18.96<sup>\*\*</sup>) and ZM-M-6  $\times$  ZM-R-2 cross showed the lowest negative mid-parent heterosis (-4.31<sup>\*\*</sup>). Similarly, higher negative BP heterosis was recorded in ZM-R-7  $\times$  ZM-R-2 (-26.74<sup>\*\*</sup>) and Shiralee  $\times$  ZM-R-6 showed the lowest negative value (-5.03<sup>\*\*</sup>).

#### **Morphological Parameters**

Positive heterosis for primary branches is required to get more seed yield. All crosses showed nonsignificant mid-parent heterosis for the number of primary branches except ZM-M- $6 \times$  ZM-R-6 which showed the highest mid-parent heterosis in a negative direction  $(-36.00^*)$ . For better parent heterosis except ZM-M- $6 \times$  ZM-R-6 exhibited negative better parent heterosis (-46.67\*\*) remaining crosses were nonsignificant. The results partially agreed with (Ahsan et al. 2013, Nasim et al. 2014, Saikia et al. 2019, Mahanta and Barua 2020). Meanwhile, Positive heterosis is desirable for secondary branches due to its direct effect on seed yield. Hybrid with positive significant MP heterosis for secondary branches per plant was ZM-R-7  $\times$  ZM-R-2 (143.48<sup>\*\*</sup>) and Shiralee  $\times$  ZM-R-6 exhibited the lowest value the results conform with (Gupta et al. 2010, Bharti et al. 2018, Chaurasiya et al. 2018). The highest better parent heterosis was observed in ZM-R-7 × ZM-R-2 (86.67<sup>\*\*</sup>) (Lal et al. 2018, Mahanta and Barua 2020); results aligned with the current study.

Plant height is a highly important trait, the study revealed the highest mid-parent heterosis in the negative direction from  $-6.24^{**}$  to  $-3.04^{**}$  while 4 out of 18 hybrids showed highly significant better parent heterosis in which ZM-R-7 × ZM-R-6 has the highest value of ( $-12.14^{**}$ ) and remaining 6 hybrids showed positive BP heterosis. Studies by Oghan *et al.* 2009, Azizinia 2012, Meena *et al.* 2015, Channa *et al.* 2018 supported our results.

#### Yield Parameters

Higher numbers of Siliquae are required for achieving desired yield targets. High heterosis either mid-parent or better parent is required in a positive direction. ZN- $M-9 \times ZM-R-6$  indicated the highest mid-parent heterosis (72.11<sup>\*\*</sup>) and ZN-R-8  $\times$  ZM-R-2 with the lowest positive mid-parent heterosis (38.80\*). ZN-M- $9 \times ZM$ -R-6 hybrid showed the highest positive better parent heterosis (64.55<sup>\*</sup>) and ZM-M-6  $\times$  ZM-R-10 presented the lowest positive better parent heterosis (37.93<sup>\*</sup>). Significant results over mid and better parent were also studied by (Singh et al. 2012) and (Synrem et al. 2015) on number of siliquae on main plant branch. (Muhammad Zahir Ahsan et al. 2013, Lal et al. 2018, Shrimali et al. 2018) also found significant heterosis over a mid and superior parent. Meanwhile, for seeds per siliqua, all 9 hybrids showed nonsignificant mid-parent heterosis except ZM-M-6  $\times$ ZM-R-2, Shiralee  $\times$  ZM-R-10, ZN-M-11  $\times$  ZM-R-6, and ZN-M-11  $\times$  ZM-R-10. ZM-M-6  $\times$  ZM-R-2

showed the highest positive mid-parent heterosis and ZN-M-11  $\times$  ZM-R-10 showed the lowest mid-parent heterosis. Most crosses expressed non-significant better parent heterosis. ZM-M-6  $\times$  ZM-R-2 cross showed the highest positive better parent heterosis among crosses and the remaining significant crosses showed negative better parent heterosis. Similar findings were given by (Dholu et al. 2014, Synrem et al. 2015, Rashmi et al. 2018). Estimating mid-parent heterosis and better parent heterosis for 100-seed weight showed the range of (-2.12 to 22.07) and (-0.00 to 15.91) respectively. ZN-M-9  $\times$  ZM-R-6 cross had the highest positive mid-parent heterosis (22.07\*\*) and ZM-M-6  $\times$  ZM-R-10 had the lowest positive midparent heterosis (5.97\*). ZN-M-9 × ZM-R-6 hybrid showed the highest positive better parent heterosis  $(15.91^{**})$  and the ZN-M-11 × ZM-R-10 cross reflected the lowest positive better parent heterosis. (Aher et al. 2009, Nasim et al. 2014, Ullah et al. 2015) also found results similar to our findings. High seed vield is the prime objective of any breeding program. High positive heterosis is required among crosses. ZN-M-9  $\times$  ZM-R-10 presented the highest positive mid-parent heterosis (79.81\*\*) and ZM-R-7  $\times$  ZM-R-6 cross showed the lowest mid-parent heterosis (26.28\*) for this parameter. Estimation of better parent heterosis indicated that ZN-M-9  $\times$  ZM-R-10 had a high potential for seed yield per plant as indicated by high positive better parent heterosis (69.14\*\*) among all crosses. ZN-M-11  $\times$  ZM-R-10 cross presented the lowest better parent heterosis (Ali et al., 2013; Ali etal., 2014; Ali et al., 2016; Vaghela et al. 2011, Lal et al. 2013, Chaurasiya et al. 2018, Dahiya et al. 2018, Surin et al. 2018) findings were in agreement with our results.

#### **Quality Parameters**

Shiralee  $\times$  ZM-R-10 cross showed the highest positive heterosis for oil content and ZN-R-8  $\times$  ZM-R-10 cross showed the lowest heterosis over mid-parent. Shiralee  $\times$  ZM-R-2 showed the highest heterosis over a better parent and ZM-R-7 × ZM-R-10 exhibited the lowest better parent heterosis. (Wang et al. 2009, Iqbal et al. 2014, Rashmi et al. 2018, Saikia et al. 2019) studied significant mid and superior parent heterosis against oil content. Higher seed yield and higher oil content is the prime objective of this study; therefore, crosses with positive heterosis were selected. In the same way, positive high heterosis is required for protein content. ZN-R-8  $\times$  ZM-R-2 cross showed the highest mid-parent heterosis (30.48\*\*) for protein content and ZN-M-9  $\times$  ZM-R-2 cross showed the lowest midparent heterosis (6.78\*\*) for protein content. ZN-R-8  $\times$  ZM-R-2 positively showed the highest better parent heterosis, and Shiralee × ZM-R-10 showed the lowest better parent heterosis (-21.37\*\*). ( Dholu et al. 2014, Ahmad et al. 2022) found significant outcomes for protein content over mid and better parent and were in line with our findings, resulting in highest mid and

better parent heterosis in ZN-R-8 × ZM-R-2. Likewise, oleic acid is one of the essential fatty acids in edible oil and its high content is desirable as it is monounsaturated fatty acid. ZM-R-7 × ZM-R-10 cross showed highest positive mid parent heterosis for oleic acid and Shiralee × ZM-R-6 cross showed lowest mid parent heterosis. ZM-R-7 × ZM-R-10 cross showed highest better parent heterosis and ZN-M-11 × ZM-R-2 cross showed lowest better parent heterosis. (Muhammad *et al.* 2014, Gami and Chauhan 2014, Shehzad *et al.* 2015, Ahmad *et al.* 2022) also investigated the significant mid and better parent heterotic effects similar to our results.

Linolenic acid is also required by the human body but in a lower amount therefore, its decreased content in edible oil is preferred. For this purpose, negative heterosis is desired. ZN-R-8  $\times$  ZM-R-6 showed the highest mid-parent heterosis and ZN-M-9 × ZM-R-6 with lowest heterotic effect. ZN-R-8  $\times$  ZM-R-6 was the hybrid manifesting the highest better parent heterosis and ZN-M-9  $\times$  ZM-R-2 with lowest better parent heterosis. The findings of (Vaghela et al. 2011, Ali et al. 2015, Surin et al. 2018, Shrimali et al. 2018) were following ours. Linoleic acid is also a polyunsaturated fatty acid its moderate quantity prevents the oil degradation process through rancidity. Among 18 hybrids ZN-M-9 × ZM-R-10 showed the highest mid-parent heterosis (35.39\*\*) and ZM-M-6  $\times$  ZM-R-6 with the lowest mid-parent heterosis (7.41<sup>\*\*</sup>) in a positive direction. ZN-M-9  $\times$ ZM-R-10 cross showed the highest better parent heterosis (23.10\*\*) and ZN-R-8 × ZM-R-10 showed the lowest better parent heterosis in a positive direction. (Khan et al. 2008, Vaghela et al. 2011, Igbal et al. 2014, Shehzad et al. 2015) studied significant positive mid and better parent heterosis for linoleic acid.

#### Conclusions

At the end of the experiment ZM-R-7 × ZM-R-2 was observed as a potential hybrid with highly significant Mid Parent heterosis for days to Flower Initiation, Days to 50% Flowering, and Days to 50% siliqua in a negative direction while positive Mid Parent heterosis for Secondary branches per plant, siliquae per plant and linolenic acid percentage. Shiralee × ZM-R-2 is recommended as a potential hybrid for oil (24.87<sup>\*\*</sup>) and protein (19.27<sup>\*\*</sup>) containing highly significant Mid Parent as well Better Parent heterosis. Hybrids ZM-R-7 × ZM-R-10 exhibited potential MP heterosis for oleic acid % (46.81<sup>\*\*</sup>) and ZN-M-9 × ZM-R-10 for linoleic acid and seed yield per plant (79.81<sup>\*\*</sup>). This material can be used in future breeding programs to get economical results.

#### **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

Adhikari, S., Pathak, S., Joshi, D., Pant, U., Singh, A., & Bhajan, R. (2017). Heterosis analysis for seed

yield and other component traits in Indian mustard [Brassica juncea (L.) Czern and Coss]. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci* **6**, 1157-1162.

- Adnan, N. (2013). Combining ability studies for biochemical traits in Brassica rapa (L.) ssp. dichotoma (Roxb.) Hanelt. *Pakistan Journal of Botany* 45, 2125-2130.
- Aher, C., Shelke, L., Chinchane, V., Borgaonkar, S., & Gaikwad, A. (2009). Heterosis for yield and yield components in Indian mustard [Brassica juncea (L.) Czern and Coss]. *International Journal of Plant Sciences (Muzaffarnagar)* 4, 30-32.
- Ahmad, H. B., Rauf, S., Saeed, S., Hussain, I., & Khaliq, A. (2022). Genetic exploration for quality aspects in *brassica campestris*. J. Agric. Res 60, 81-87.
- Ahsan, M., Khan, F., Kang, S., & Kashif, R. (2013). Combining ability and heteroses analysis for seed yield and yield components in Brassica napus L. Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare 3, 31-36.
- Akabari, V., Sasidharan, N., & Kapadiya, V. (2017). Combining ability and gene action study for grain yield and its attributing traits in Indian mustard. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding* 8, 226-235.
- Ali, N., Bakht, J., Naveed, K., Liaquat, M., Khan, S., Saeed, M., Ali, S., Hussain, I., Khan, S., & Salim, M. (2015). Heterosis studies for some fatty acids composition of Indian Mustard (Brassica juncea L.). *Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences* 25, 587-592.
- Ali, Q., Ahsan, M., Ali, F., Aslam, M., Khan, N. H., Munzoor, M., ... & Muhammad, S. (2013). Heritability, heterosis and heterobeltiosis studies for morphological traits of maize (Zea mays L.) seedlings. Advancements in Life sciences, 1(1).53-62
- Ali, Q., Ahsan, M., Kanwal, N., Ali, F., Ali, A., Ahmed, W., ... & Saleem, M. (2016). Screening for drought tolerance: comparison of maize hybrids under water deficit condition. Advancements in Life Sciences, 3(2), 51-58.
- Ali, Q., Ali, A., Ahsan, M., Nasir, I. A., Abbas, H. G., & Ashraf, M. A. (2014). Line× Tester analysis for morpho-physiological traits of Zea mays L seedlings. *Advancements in Life sciences*, 1(4), 242-253.
- Alrosan, M., Tan, T.-C., Koh, W. Y., Easa, A. M., Gammoh, S., & Alu'datt, M. H. (2022). Overview of fermentation process: structurefunction relationship on protein quality and nonnutritive compounds of plant-based proteins and carbohydrates. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 1-15.

- AMIRI, O. H., FOTOUKIAN, M., Javidfar, F., & Alizadeh, B. (2009). Genetic analysis of grain yield, days to flowering and maturity in oilseed rape (Brassica napus L.) using diallel crosses.
- Azizinia, S. (2012). Combining ability analysis of yield component parameters in winter rapeseed genotypes (Brassica napus L.). *Journal of Agricultural Science (Toronto)* 4, 87-94.
- Bashir, A., Sher, M., Iftikhar, A., & Javid, A. (2013). Studies of genetic variability, heritability and phenotypic correlations of some qualitative traits in advance mutant lines of winter rapeseed (Brassica napus L.). *American-Eurasian Journal* of Agricultural & Environmental Sciences 13, 531-538.
- Bharti, R., Gupta, S. K., Chaudhary, N., & Rai, S. K. international journal of engineering sciences & research technology estimate the relative heterosis and heterobeltiosis for yield components in indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* (L.) CZERN & COSS).
- Channa, S. A., Tian, H., Mohammed, M. I., Zhang, R., Faisal, S., Guo, Y., Klima, M., Stamm, M., & Hu, S. (2018). Heterosis and combining ability analysis in Chinese semi-winter× exotic accessions of rapeseed (Brassica napus L.). *Euphytica* 214, 1-19.
- Chaurasiya, J. P., Singh, M., Yadav, R., & Singh, L. (2018). Hetrosis and combining ability analysis in Indian mustard (Brassica juncea (L.) Czern and Coss.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* 7, 604-609.
- Cuthbert, R., Crow, G., & McVetty, P. (2011). Assessment of seed quality performance and heterosis for seed quality traits in hybrid high erucic acid rapeseed (HEAR). *Canadian Journal* of *Plant Science* **91**, 837-846.
- Dar, Z., Wani, S. A., & Wani, M. A. (2016). Heterosis and combining ability analysis for seed yield and its attributes in Brassica rapa ssp. brown sarson. *Journal of Oilseed Brassica* **1**, 21-28.
- El-Hendawy, S. E., Al-Suhaibani, N. A., Hassan, W. M., Dewir, Y. H., Elsayed, S., Al-Ashkar, I., Abdella, K. A., & Schmidhalter, U. (2019).
  Evaluation of wavelengths and spectral reflectance indices for high-throughput assessment of growth, water relations and ion contents of wheat irrigated with saline water. *Agricultural Water Management* 212, 358-377.
- Gami, R., & Chauhan, R. (2013). Heterosis and combining ability analysis for seed yield and its attributes in Indian mustard [Brassica juncea (L.) Czern & Coss.]. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research* 47, 535-539.
- Gami, R., & Chauhan, R. (2014). Genetic analysis for oil content and oil quality traits in Indian mustard [Brassica juncea (L.) Czern & Coss.].

<sup>[</sup>Citation Awan, A.R., Abbas, M., Hassan, A., Sardar, S., Akbar, S., Naeem, A., Qadir, M.S., Ali, W., Nawaz, M.S., Aqeel, M., Mahmood, N. (2023). Development of *brassica napus* l. lines for high yield and essential fatty acids. *Biol. Clin. Sci. Res. J.*, **2023**:329. doi: https://doi.org/10.54112/bcsrj.v2023i1.329]

- GAUTAM, S. C., & Chauhan, M. (2016). Combining Ability of Plant Height and Yield components in Indian Mustard (Brassica junceaL. Czern & Coss.) under Salt affected Soil using Line× Tester Analysis. *Journal of AgriSearch* 3, 93-100.
- Gul, S., Uddin, R., Khan, N., Arif, M., Goher, R., & Zakaria, M. (2018). Inheritance studies through combining ability for morphological and yield traits in F1 populations of Brassica napus L. J. Anim. Plant Sci 28, 1094-1102.
- Gupta, P., Chaudhary, H., & Lal, S. K. (2010). Heterosis and combining ability analysis for yield and its components in Indian mustard (Brassica juncea L. Czern & Coss). Frontiers of Agriculture in China 4, 299-307.
- Han-zhong, W., Gui-hua, L., Xin-fa, W., Jing, L., Qing, Y., & Wei, H. (2009). Heterosis and breeding of high oil content in rapeseed (Brassica napus L.). 16th Australian Research Assembly on Brassicas, Australian Oilseeds Federation, Ballarat, Victoria.
- Huang, Z., Laosuwan, P., Machikowa, T., & Chen, Z. (2010). COMBINING ABILITY FOR SEED YIELD AND OTHER CHARACTERS IN RAPESEED. Suranaree Journal of Science & Technology 17.
- Khan, S., Farhatullah, R., Khalil, I., Khan, M., & Ali, N. (2008). Genetic variability, heritability and correlation for some quality traits in F3: 4 Brassica populations. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture* 24, 223-231.
- Khatri, A., Khan, I. A., Siddiqui, M. A., Raza, S., & Nizamani, G. S. (2005). Evaluation of high yielding mutants of Brassica juncea cv. S-9 developed through gamma rays and EMS. *Pakistan Journal of Botany* 37, 279.
- Kumar, B., Pandey, A., & Singh, S. K. (2014). Combining ability and economic heterosis for yield and oil quality traits in Indian mustard (Brassica juncea L. Czern & Coss). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding* 5, 203-207.
- Lee, Y.-Y., Tang, T.-K., Phuah, E.-T., & Lai, O.-M. (2022). Recent Advances in Edible Fats and Oils Technology: Processing, Health Implications, Economic and Environmental Impact. Springer.
- Lohia, R. (2007). Heterosis and combining ability for seed yield in Indian mustard (Brassica juncea L. Czern coss). *Indian Journal of Crop Science* 2, 443-445.
- Mohammed, W. (2011). Combining ability and potential heterosis in Ethiopian Mustard (Brassica carinata A. Braun). *East African Journal of Sciences* **5**, 99-107.
- Muhammad, A., Raziuddin, M. A., Raza, H., Rahman, A. U., & Ali, I. (2014). Combining ability and

heritability studies for important traits in F2 of Brassica napus. Int. J. Basic Appl. Sci 14, 7-11.

- Nasim, A., Farhatullah, A., Khan, N. U., Afzal, M., Azam, S. M., Nasim, Z., & Amin, N. (2014). Combining ability and heterosis for yield and yield contributing traits in Brassica rapa (L.) ssp. Dichotoma (Roxb.) Hanelt. *Pak. J. Bot* 46, 2135-2142.
- Rashmi, N. D., Tufchi, M., Lohani, P., Bhajan, R., & Pant, U. (2018). Studies on heterosis and combining ability for yield and its contributing traits in CMS based hybrids of Brassica juncea L. *IJCS* 6, 3347-3351.
- Shehzad, A., Ashraf, M. F., Sultan, S., Ali, M., & Sadaqat, H. A. (2015). Heterosis studies for some morphological, seed yield and quality traits in rapeseed (Brassica napus L.). J Biol Agric Healthc 23, 39-47.
- Sidra, I., Adnan, N., Mahwish, K., & Laila, F. (2014). Heritability studies for seed quality traits in introgressed segregating populations of Brassica. *Pakistan Journal of Botany* 46, 239-243.
- Singh, D. K., Kumar, K., & Singh, P. (2016). Heterosis and heritability analysis for different crosses in Brassica juncea with inheritance of white rust resistance. *Journal of Oilseed Brassica* 1, 18-26.
- Sohail, A., Shah, S., Farhatullah, I. H. K., Burni, T., & Hadi, F. (2018). 49. Combining ability studies of biochemical traits in intra and interspecific crosses of brassica. *Pure and Applied Biology* (*PAB*) 7, 840-852.
- Steel RGD, Torrie JH and Dicky D (1997) Principles and procedures of statistics. Multiple comparisons. McGraw Hill Book Co., New York, USA.
- Surin, S., Kumar, A., Kumari, S., Kumar, Y., Tuti, A., & Suman, A. Heterosis for Yield and Its Component in Indian mustard (Brassica juncea (L.) Czern & Coss).
- Synrem, G. J., Rangare, N., Choudhari, A. K., Kumar, S., & Myrthong, I. (2015). Combining ability analysis for seed yield and component traits in Indian mustard [Brassica juncea (L.) Czern & Coss.]. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding* 6, 445-453.
- Tomar, A., & Singh, M. (2017). Selection of best germplasm & crosses based on heterotic response and combining ability parameters in Indian mustard (Brassica juncea L. Czern & Coss). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 6, 345-349.

<sup>[</sup>Citation Awan, A.R., Abbas, M., Hassan, A., Sardar, S., Akbar, S., Naeem, A., Qadir, M.S., Ali, W., Nawaz, M.S., Aqeel, M., Mahmood, N. (2023). Development of *brassica napus* l. lines for high yield and essential fatty acids. *Biol. Clin. Sci. Res. J.*, **2023**:329. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.54112/bcsrj.v2023i1.329</u>]

# Development of *Brassica napus* L. Lines for High Yield and Essential Fatty Acids Table S1. Line × tester ANOVA for various parameters in *Brassica napus* L.

\* = Significant at 0.05 probability level
 \*\* = Significant at 0.01 probability level
 DFI = Days to flower initiation, D50%F = Days taken to 50% flowering, OC = Oil Percentage, D50%S = Days to 50% siliqua formation, PB = Number of secondary
 branches per plant, PC = Protein Percentage, SB = Number of secondary branches per plant, S/P = Number of siliquae per plant, OA = Oleic acid Percentage, PH =
 Plant height, S/S = Number of seeds per siliqua, LA = Linolenic acid Percentage, Y/P = Seed yield per plant, HSW = 100-seed weight, LleicA = Linoleic acid Percentage

SOV	D.F	DFI	DFF	DFSF	NPB	NSB	PH	NSPP	NSPS	SYPP	HSW	OC	PC	OAC	LAC	Lleic.AC
Rep	2	4.98	2.82	1.35	0.51	0.44	0.90	0.82	5.13**	3.64*	0.98	1.18	0.43	3.37*	0.46	0.1608
Trt	26	90.47**	148.44* *	154.73**	1.42	7.48**	93.67**	7.40**	4.54*	4.67*	9.45**	20.23**	57.53**	163.71**	67.81**	800.88**
Parents	8	150.73**	257.11* *	251.17**	1.14	2.10	132.92**	4.28*	4.80*	2.43*	6.97**	24.22**	41.22**	167.07**	43.28**	666.78**
Crosses	17	30.31**	44.18**	48.29**	1.64	10.15**	67.94**	8.51**	4.27*	3.55*	9.37**	18.19**	68.02**	150.03**	81.20**	883.20**
P vs C	1	631.02**	1051.3 9**	1192.86**	0.006	5.08**	217.04**	13.56**	7.15**	41.81**	30.80**	23.11**	9.71**	369.33**	36.65**	474.18**
Lines	5	9.05*	40.21**	54.90**	2.90*	4.38*	184.37**	9.59**	4.14*	3.95*	7.11**	34.58**	10.95**	85.52**	24.90**	684.87**
Testers	2	101.46**	102.80* *	85.84**	1.09	27.80**	12.35**	18.10**	6.74**	3.70*	13.71**	1.28	34.92**	274.09**	107.87**	193.82**
L×T	10	26.71**	34.44**	37.47**	1.11	9.50**	20.84**	6.05**	3.84*	3.31*	9.63**	13.37**	103.17**	157.47**	104.01**	1120.24**

\* = Significant at 0.05 probability level; \*\* = Significant at 0.01 probability level; SOV = Source of variation; DF = Degree of freedom

## Table S2. Estimation of general combining ability effects of various traits in *Brassica napus* L.

\* = Significant at 0.05 probability level \*\* = Significant at 0.01 probability level

DFI = Days to flower initiation, D50%F = Days taken to 50% flowering, OC = Oil Percentage, D50%S = Days to 50% siliqua formation, PB = Number of secondary branches per plant, PC = Protein Percentage, SB = Number of secondary branches per plant, S/P = Number of siliquae per plant, OA = Oleic acid Percentage, PH = Plant height, S/S = Number of seeds per siliqua, LA = Linolenic acid Percentage, Y/P = Seed yield per plant, HSW = 100-seed weight, LleicA = Linoleic acid Percentage

Traits	DFI	D50%F	D50%S	PB	SB	S/P	РН	S/S	Y/P	HSW	OC	PC	OA	LA	LleicA
Lines															
ZM-R-7	0.19ns	-1.91**	-2.63**	0.91**	1.28**	90.94**	6.19**	-0.07ns	0.44ns	-0.03**	1.63**	0.19ns	-0.08ns	1.21**	1.05**
ZN-M-9	1.41**	3.65**	3.59**	-0.43ns	-0.28ns	38.83**	12.40**	-1.41**	0.38ns	0.03**	1.01ns	0.81**	0.86**	0.09ns	1.29**
ZM-M-6	-2.04**	-1.91**	-1.85**	-0.76*	-0.17ns	42.39**	2.02**	1.70**	-1.37**	-0.01ns	-4.22**	-0.16ns	3.66**	-0.68**	0.48**
ZN-R-8	-1.59**	-2.46**	-2.74**	0.13ns	-0.17ns	-58.06**	-1.66*	-0.07ns	-0.92ns	0.01ns	-1.52**	0.98**	-3.92**	0.03ns	-0.94**
Shiralee	0.41ns	-0.46ns	-0.19ns	-0.09ns	0.17ns	-20.39ns	-10.89**	0.59ns	1.07*	-0.00ns	3.42**	-0.64**	-0.97**	-0.31**	-0.30**
ZN-M-11	1.63**	3.09**	3.81**	0.24ns	-0.83*	-93.72**	-8.05**	-0.74ns	0.40ns	0.00ns	-0.33ns	-1.17**	0.44ns	-0.35**	-1.59**
Testers															
ZM-R-6	2.85**	1.93**	1.59**	-0.26ns	-0.83**	-77.89**	-0.99ns	-1.07**	0.10ns	0.02**	0.42ns	0.68**	2.03**	0.25**	-0.13**
ZM-R-2	-4.04**	-3.52**	-3.07**	0.24ns	1.44**	41.56**	-0.86ns	0.81*	0.58ns	-0.02**	-0.19ns	0.53**	1.58**	0.82**	-0.35**
ZM-R-10	1.19**	1.59**	1.48**	0.02ns	-0.61*	36.33**	1.85**	0.26ns	-0.68ns	0.00ns	-0.23ns	-1.21**	-3.61**	-1.07**	0.48**

# Table S3. Estimation of Specific combing ability effects of various Brassica napus L. traits

\* = Significant at 0.05 probability level, \*\* = Significant at 0.01 probability level, DFI = Days to flower initiation, D50%F = Days taken to 50% flowering, D50%S = Days to 50% siliqua formation, PB = Number of secondary branches per plant, SB = Number of secondary branches per plant, S/P = Number of siliquae per plant, S/S = Number of seeds per siliqua, Y/P = Seed yield per plant, HSW = 100-seed weight, , OC = Oil Percentage, PC = Protein Percentage, OA = Oleic acid Percentage, PH = Plant height, LA = Linolenic acid Percentage, LleicA = Linoleic acid Percentage

Crosses	DFI	D50%F	D50%S	PB	SB	PH	S/P	S/S	Y/P	HSW	OC	PC	OA	LA	LleicA
$ZM-R-7 \times$	-	$2.85^{**}$	$2.41^{**}$	-	-	-9.35**	30.11ns	0.96ns	0.03ns	0.02ns	-	2.34**	-7.80**	-	-1.32**
ZM-R-6	0.96ns			0.52ns	0.72ns						1.06ns			$1.16^{**}$	
$ZM-R-7 \times$	0.93ns	-1.37ns	-0.93ns	0.65ns	2.67**	3.33**	27.00ns	-	0.35ns	-0.04**	-	-4.65**	-2.92**	1.01**	1.33**
ZM-R-2								0.59ns			1.39ns				
$ZM-R-7 \times$	0.04ns	-1.48ns	-1.48*	-	-1.94**	6.02**	-57.11*	-	-	0.02ns	$2.45^{*}$	2.31**	10.72**	0.15ns	-
ZM-R-10				0.13ns				0.37ns	0.39ns						0.01ns

$ZN-M-9 \times$	-	0.63ns	-0.81ns	0.48ns	-	-	114.89**	2.30**	0.81ns	0.05**	0.84ns	3.33**	-1.78**	-	-2.93**
ZM-R-6	0.19ns				0.17ns	2.19ns								$1.00^{**}$	
$ZN-M-9 \times$	5.37**	$1.74^{*}$	1.19ns	-	-	$4.18^{**}$	-95.56**	0.07ns	-1.87*	-	1.38ns	-1.35**	3.62**	0.72**	2.24**
ZM-R-2				0.69ns	0.44ns					0.02ns					
$ZN-M-9 \times$	-5.19**	-2.37**	-0.37ns	0.20ns	0.61ns	-	-19.33ns	-2.37**	1.06ns	-	-2.22*	-1.97**	-1.84**	$0.28^{*}$	0.69**
ZM-R-10						1.99ns				0.02ns					
$ZM-M-6 \times$	-	0.52ns	0.63ns	-	-	0.69ns	-	-	1.52ns	-	-	1.46**	-2.99**	2.89**	3.11**
ZM-R-6	0.74ns			0.52ns	0.94ns		101.33**	1.15ns		0.02ns	0.21ns				
$ZM-M-6 \times$	3.81	2.96**	3.30**	-	-	0.16ns	-23.44ns	2.30**	-	0.03*	-	-2.14**	3.53**	-	-0.68**
ZM-R-2				0.02ns	0.22ns				0.08ns		1.35ns			3.53**	
$ZM-M-6 \times$	-3.07**	-3.48**	-3.93**	0.54ns	1.17ns	-	124.78**	-	-	-	1.56ns	0.68ns	-	0.64**	-2.43**
ZM-R-10						0.85ns		1.15ns	1.44ns	0.01ns			0.54ns		
$ZN-R-8 \times$	-4.19**	-3.93**	-3.48**	-	-	3.10*	-15.89ns	-	-2.14*	0.03*	4.09**	-4.85**	5.27**	1.44**	-1.59**
ZM-R-6				0.41ns	0.94ns			0.04ns							
$ZN-R-8 \times$	0.04ns	3.19**	3.19**	0.43ns	1.11ns	0.37ns	111.33**	-	0.97ns	-	-	5.13**	-3.05**	0.54**	-0.16*
ZM-R-2								0.59ns		0.01ns	1.10ns				
$ZN-R-8 \times$	4.15**	0.74ns	0.30ns	-	-	-3.47**	-95.44**	0.63ns	1.17ns	-	-3.00**	-	-2.22**	-	1.75**
ZM-R-10				0.02ns	0.17ns					0.02ns		0.28ns		1.99**	
Shiralee ×	$4.48^{**}$	3.41**	3.96**	0.81ns	1.39*	5.00**	-32.56ns	-	0.98ns	-0.04**	-4.67**	-3.68**	6.35**	-0.26*	0.69**
ZM-R-6								0.04ns							
Shiralee ×	-4.96**	-1.81*	-1.37ns	-	-	-3.06*	56.67*	-	0.34ns	0.03*	2.57**	6.73**	-2.87**	2.28**	-3.01**
ZM-R-2				0.02ns	0.22ns			1.26ns							
Shiralee ×	0.48ns	-1.59*	-2.59**	-	-	-	-24.11ns	1.30ns	-	0.02ns	$2.11^{*}$	-3.05**	-3.48**	-	2.31**
ZM-R-10				0.80ns	1.17ns	1.94ns			1.32ns					2.01**	
$ZN-M-11 \times$	1.59*	-3.48**	-2.70**	0.15ns	1.39*	$2.75^{*}$	4.78ns	-2.04*	-	-0.03*	1.01ns	1.41**	$0.95^{*}$	-	2.03**
ZM-R-6									1.20ns					1.91**	
$ZN-M-11 \times$	-5.19**	-4.70**	-5.37**	-	-2.89**	-4.97**	-76.00**	0.07ns	0.28ns	0.02ns	-	-3.72**	1.68**	-	0.28**
ZM-R-2				0.35ns							0.10ns			$1.02^{**}$	
$ZN-M-11 \times$	3.59**	8.19**	$8.07^{**}$	0.20ns	$1.50^{*}$	2.33ns	71.22**	1.96*	0.91ns	0.01ns	-	2.31**	-2.64**	2.93**	-2.31**
ZM-R-10											0.90ns				

Table S4. Estimation of Mid parent and Better parent Heterosis for various Brassica napus L. traits

\* = Significant at 0.05 probability level, \*\* = Significant at 0.01 probability level

DFI = Days to flower initiation, D50%F = Days taken to 50% flowering, D50%S = Days to 50% siliqua formation

**MPH** = Mid parent heterosis; **BPH** = Better parent heterosis

Traits	DFI		DFI D50%F		D50%S		Primary Branches		Secondary Branches		Plant Height		Siliques/Plant	
Crosses	MPH	BPH	MPH	BPH	MPH	BPH	MPH	BPH	MPH	BPH	MPH	BPH	MPH	BPH
$ZM-R-7 \times ZM-R-6$	-7.35**	-21.74**	-7.48**	-20.80**	-8.04**	-20.57**	4.00ns	-13.33ns	29.41ns	22.22ns	-6.24**	-12.14**	58.59*	53.42*

$ZM-R-7 \times ZM-R-2$	-13.97**	-26.40**	-17.03**	-28.53**	-16.79**	-26.74**	24.14ns	20.00ns	143.48**	86.67**	8.65**	-4.19**	56.65**	25.78ns
$ZM-R-7 \times ZM-R-10$	-18.03**	-22.36**	-19.26**	-24.53**	-18.96**	-23.65**	11.11ns	0.00ns	-23.81ns	-38.46*	2.99**	-0.83ns	25.10ns	0.38ns
$ZN-M-9 \times ZM-R-6$	-1.90ns	-15.13**	-2.07*	-14.72**	-3.34**	-15.20**	4.35ns	-7.69ns	-11.11ns	-11.11ns	11.51**	10.03**	72.11**	64.55*
$ZN-M-9 \times ZM-R-2$	-4.69**	-16.45	-6.81**	-18.33**	-7.60**	-17.33**	-25.93ns	-28.57ns	16.67ns	-6.67ns	24.13**	17.78**	-5.63ns	-23.52ns
$ZN-M-9 \times ZM-R-10$	-19.59**	-21.71**	-13.41**	-17.50**	-11.27**	-14.93**	-4.00ns	-7.69ns	-0.00ns	-15.38ns	10.38**	5.91**	18.96ns	-3.66ns
$ZM-M-6 \times ZM-R-6$	0.41ns	-8.21**	-0.17ns	-7.64**	-0.33ns	-7.55**	-36.00*	-46.67**	-24.41ns	-33.33ns	4.42**	4.15**	-32.22ns	-37.78ns
$ZM-M-6 \times ZM-R-2$	-3.82**	-10.82**	-3.93**	-10.51**	-4.31**	-9.37**	-24.14ns	-26.67 ns	30.43ns	0.00ns	11.13**	3.87**	16.66ns	-2.16ns
$ZM-M-6 \times ZM-R-10$	-15.83**	-18.75**	-13.44**	-15.03**	-13.48**	-15.12**	-11.11ns	-20.00ns	23.81ns	-0.00ns	2.32*	-0.29ns	64.59**	37.93*
$ZN-R-8 \times ZM-R-6$	-2.47ns	-10.23**	-4.01**	-10.13**	-4.28**	-10.46**	-0.00ns	-8.33ns	-42.86*	-50.00*	1.41ns	-0.86ns	-32.04ns	-33.06ns
$ZN-R-8 \times ZM-R-2$	-7.10**	-13.26**	-2.95**	-8.50**	-4.35**	-8.62**	15.38ns	7.14ns	40.74*	26.67ns	6.15**	-2.63*	38.80*	7.53ns
$ZN-R-8 \times ZM-R-10$	-6.88**	-10.76**	-8.86**	-11.66**	-9.72**	-12.21**	8.33ns	8.33ns	-28.00ns	-30.77ns	-3.96**	-4.52**	-38.51*	-52.39**
Shiralee $\times$ ZM-R-6	7.39**	-3.58**	2.89**	-5.90**	3.38**	-5.03**	27.27ns	-16.67	47.37*	40.00ns	3.01**	-1.42ns	-9.95ns	-22.59ns
Shiralee $\times$ ZM-R-2	-13.39**	-21.15**	-8.60**	-15.84**	-8.20**	-13.91**	-0.00ns	-7.14ns	28.00ns	6.67ns	3.96**	1.69ns	45.99*	2.73ns
Shiralee $\times$ ZM-R-10	-11.11**	-12.50**	-11.42**	-11.96**	-11.73**	-12.50**	-16.67ns	-16.67ns	-39.13*	-46.15*	-3.04**	-9.69**	11.35ns	-21.69ns
$ZN-M-11 \times ZM-R-6$	5.60**	-5.04**	0.17ns	-7.86**	1.95*	-5.44**	30.00ns	30.00ns	29.41ns	22.22ns	6.10**	-1.00ns	-26.94ns	-40.53ns
$ZN-M-11 \times ZM-R-2$	-12.03**	-19.78**	-7.30**	-14.15**	-7.18**	-12.08**	8.33ns	-7.14ns	-56.52**	-66.67**	7.57**	7.11**	-34.21ns	-55.41**
$ZN-M-11 \times ZM-R-$	-6.36**	-7.99**	1.55ns	0.31ns	2.22**	0.29ns	27.27ns	16.67ns	14.29ns	-7.69ns	4.53**	-4.99**	24.74ns	-15.49ns
10														

Seeds per siliqua Seed yield plant <sup>-1</sup>		100-seed weight		Oil content (%)		Protein content		Oleic acid content		Linolenic acid %		Linoleic acid %			
MPH	BPH	MPH	BPH	MPH	BPH	MPH	BPH	MPH	BPH	MPH	BPH	MPH	BPH	MPH	BPH
-3.03ns	-12.73	26.28*	15.86ns	9.50**	8.24*	-3.20ns	-5.03ns	2.33ns	0.09ns	-5.29**	-18.39**	25.48**	24.71**	-22.75**	-23.14**
1.03ns	-7.55ns	48.72**	25.45ns	-12.00**	-13.53**	1.93ns	-3.68ns	-16.22**	-18.53**	17.04**	10.34**	32.05**	16.19**	1.06ns	-8.52**
5.49ns	2.13ns	25.73ns	1.53ns	11.41**	7.69*	17.41**	5.94*	-0.30ns	-1.55ns	46.81**	46.58**	16.44**	9.25**	8.80**	-11.60**

5.49ns	-12.73ns	62.26**	48.96**	22.07**	15.91**	-0.55ns	-1.92ns	17.84**	5.07**	6.20**	-4.99**	6.35*	-1.99ns	-21.69**	-30.65**
5.62ns	-11.32ns	41.97*	40.85**	-2.12ns	-4.39ns	7.06**	0.66ns	6.78**	-0.32ns	30.17**	27.95**	11.26**	5.14*	24.98**	21.37**
-8.43ns	-19.15*	79.81**	69.14**	7.58*	-0.00ns	2.00ns	-8.41**	-3.54ns	-11.24**	10.96**	6.51**	-0.97ns	-2.26ns	35.39**	23.10**
-3.09ns	-14.55*	39.32**	34.10*	3.51ns	-0.64ns	-10.89**	-17.15**	-5.96**	-8.09**	10.83**	-1.94ns	24.54**	7.09**	7.41**	1.07ns
32.63**	18.87*	35.34*	27.87ns	3.18ns	1.92ns	-8.40**	-8.57**	-13.25**	-19.21**	38.37**	34.34**	-35.52**	-36.84**	-9.74**	-12.99**
14.61ns	8.15ns	-2.79ns	-12.69ns	5.97*	-0.45ns	4.77ns	-0.43ns	-11.13**	-16.02**	22.95**	19.47**	-12.35**	-19.73**	-5.99**	-19.35**
-7.22ns	-18.18*	-20.61ns	-27.92*	14.25**	7.14*	14.63**	-0.17ns	-10.60**	-19.79**	1.52ns	-0.63ns	44.34**	41.02**	-27.83**	-36.00**
3.16ns	-7.55ns	36.40*	14.00ns	-2.82ns	-6.28*	7.32*	0.15ns	30.48**	22.60**	-7.02**	-13.85**	20.36**	3.26ns	-10.42**	-12.88**
14.61ns	8.51ns	26.92ns	1.61ns	3.46ns	-5.00ns	6.95*	4.72ns	2.57ns	-5.01**	-11.97**	-22.62**	-13.23**	-20.78**	25.14**	13.63**
-9.62ns	-14.55*	47.52**	35.86*	5.04ns	3.14ns	-2.20ns	-9.45**	-19.26**	-21.19**	7.99**	7.12**	8.24**	-1.26ns	-9.83**	-18.32**
-3.92ns	-7.55ns	58.26**	33.95*	10.02**	4.99ns	24.87**	24.54**	19.27**	16.21**	-1.67ns	-10.02**	19.57**	14.20**	-28.90**	-29.20**
14.58*	12.24ns	21.89ns	-1.25ns	18.08**	17.57**	29.70**	23.79**	-20.52**	-21.37**	-9.51**	-21.38**	-25.89**	-27.65**	31.96**	17.30**
-23.71**	-32.73**	16.92ns	13.41ns	3.87ns	0.39ns	-3.63ns	-4.77ns	-1.97ns	-7.03**	1.41ns	-0.55ns	-11.49**	-21.90**	-12.51**	-18.04**
3.16ns	-7.55ns	57.63**	39.78*	2.88ns	2.34ns	-0.62ns	-6.73*	-14.92**	-15.24**	12.47**	4.02**	-11.07**	-11.91**	-17.26**	-19.87**
19.10*	12.77ns	55.03**	31.20*	12.89**	6.76*	1.73ns	-8.80**	-1.68ns	-3.55ns	-3.15*	-15.02**	12.83**	6.25*	-21.12**	-32.05**

\* = Significant at 0.05 probability level, \*\* = Significant at 0.01 probability level

**MPH** = Mid parent heterosis; **BPH** = Better parent heterosis



Fig. 1 Mean comparison of parents and F1 hybrids for days taken to flower initiation









[Citation Awan, A.R., Abbas, M., Hassan, A., Sardar, S., Akbar, S., Naeem, A., Qadir, M.S., Ali, W., Nawaz, M.S., Aqeel, M., Mahmood, N. (2023). Development of *brassica napus* l. lines for high yield and essential fatty acids. *Biol. Clin. Sci. Res. J.*, **2023**:329. doi: https://doi.org/10.54112/bcsrj.v2023i1.329]



# Fig. 4 Mean comparison of parents and F<sub>1</sub> hybrids for number of primary branches





Fig. 6 Mean comparison of parents and F<sub>1</sub> hybrids for plant height





Fig. 7 Mean comparison of parents and F<sub>1</sub> hybrids for siliquae per plant



Fig. 8 Mean comparison of parents and F1 hybrids for seed per siliqua







Fig. 10 Mean comparison of parents and F<sub>1</sub> hybrids for 100-seed weight per plant





Fig. 12 Mean comparison of parents and  $F_1$  hybrids for protein percentage



<sup>[</sup>Citation Awan, A.R., Abbas, M., Hassan, A., Sardar, S., Akbar, S., Naeem, A., Qadir, M.S., Ali, W., Nawaz, M.S., Ageel, M., Mahmood, N. (2023). Development of brassica napus l. lines for high yield and essential fatty acids. Biol. Clin. Sci. Res. J., 2023:329. doi: https://doi.org/10.54112/bcsrj.v2023i1.329]



Fig. 13 Mean comparison of parents and F<sub>1</sub> hybrids for oleic acid percentage



Fig. 15 Mean comparison of parents and  $F_1$  hybrids for linoleic acid percentage





Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licen ses/by/4.0/. © The Author(s) 2023