

IMPACT OF COVID-19 ON RADIATION ONCOLOGY IN PAKISTAN

MASOOD A*1, REHMAN RMF1, QASIM U1, ARIF S2, WAKEFIELD DV3, SCHWARTZ DL4

 ¹Department of, Radiation Oncology, Shifa International Hospital Islamabad, Pakistan
²Department of, Radiation Oncology, Combined Military Hospital Rawalpindi, Pakistan
³Department of, Radiation Oncology, The University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, Tennessee / Harvard T.H Chan School of Public Health Boston, Massachusetts, UK
⁴Department of, Radiation Oncology, The University of Tennessee Health Science Center Houston, Texas, United States of America / The University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, Tennessee
*Correspondence author email address: drkhan1224@yahoo.com

(Received, 04th November 2022, Revised 05th March 2023, Published 05th May 2023)

Abstract: The survey study was conducted in the Radiology Departments of different centers in Pakistan from June 2020 to October 2020 to assess the impact of COVID-19 on radiation therapy in Pakistan. Data about courses in external beam radiography and attendees were extracted from the hospital record. The percentage change in radiotherapy activity for each month between June to October 2020 was calculated and individually compared from June 2019 to October 2019. Results showed that lockdown was significantly associated with a reduction in radiotherapy courses (P<.0001). The mean weekly number of radiotherapy courses decreased by 108 (-19.9%) in June 2020 from 543 ± 170 in June 2019. The largest reduction in radiotherapy courses after the lockdown was reported in non-melanoma skin cancer and prostate cancer. Data analysis showed that radiation therapy services fell significantly at the onset of COVID-19. Cancers whose treatment can be safely delayed were most affected. On the other hand, cancers in which radiotherapy offered an alternative to surgery had seen a rise in treatment courses.

Keywords: Radiation therapy, Oncology, COVID-19, Lockdown

Introduction

COVID-19 has increasingly alarming indirect consequences. In Pakistan, positive e cases increased rapidly, which called for urgent planning, action, and management. The Ministry of Health presented the "National Action Plan for Preparedness & Response to Corona Virus Disease (Covid-19) Pakistan". This plan aimed to control the spread of the virus and strengthen emergency preparedness to ensure COVID-19-associated efficient response to events(Waris et al., 2020). Globally, healthcare providers took similar steps in response to the pandemic. The impact of COVID-19 on cancer patients is particularly concerning, given the need for timely diagnosis and treatment. Radiotherapy is important in palliating symptoms and curative treatment, along with systematic anticancer therapy and surgery.

After the pandemic's onset, staff shortages and limited testing facilities constrained all three cancer treatment modalities. Surgical units were occupied due to the need for ventilation in acutely ill patients. In response to this situation, healthcare bodies worldwide issued revised guidelines for cancer care. These guidelines addressed concerns about the increased risk of infection in cancer patients and hospital infection transmission (Guidance, 2020). These guidelines included recommendations about treatment delay or omission, use of hypofractionated radiotherapy and use of radiotherapy or bridging or replacing surgery (Al-Quteimat and Amer, 2020). Rising in COVID-19 cases necessitated the evaluation of its indirect consequences.

Radiation oncology institutes in Latin America, the USA, and Europe conducted surveys to understand changes in radiotherapy practices (Martinez et al., 2020; Slotman et al., 2020; Wakefield et al., 2020). However, these studies had limited data about regime use and individual cancer. The lack of this data limits accurate modeling of the indirect consequences of the pandemic. Such information is also required to mitigate these indirect harms. Mitigation includes identifying patients whose treatment is modified and thus requires a tailored treatment plan. There is a lack of local data about the impact of the pandemic on cancer care and radiotherapy, due to which mitigation measures and long-term plans for cancer services are missing. Thus, this study aims to assess the impact of COVID-19 on radiation therapy in Pakistan.



Methodology

The survey study was conducted in the Radiology Departments of different centers in Pakistan from June 2020 to October 2020. All tumor sites and ages were included. Data about courses in external beam radiography and attendees were extracted from the hospital record. Data including age, sex, type of cancer, clinically appropriate group (for instance, head and neck cancer), treatment intent (curative (radical or adjunct) and palliative), planned dose (Gy), planned fractionation, starting date of the radiotherapy course and attendances dates were analyzed.

SPSS version 23.0 was used for data analysis. Radiotherapy activity was defined as attendance per month and meant weekly treatment courses. The percentage change in radiotherapy activity for each month between June to October 2020 was calculated and individually compared from June 2019 to October 2019 (the first case of COVID-19 in Pakistan was reported in 2020)(Hanif et al., 2020). The difference in attendance and courses was compared with data in 2019. Lockdown and ease of lockdown were considered binary variables. Weeks with public holidays were adjusted. Change in radiotherapy activity after the lockdown was assessed through interrupted time series (ITS) analysis using multivariate linear regression models. P value < 0.05was considered statistically significant.

Results

In 2019, there were 550 ± 247 mean weekly radiotherapy courses. This number decreased by 108 (-19.9%) in June 2020, from 543 ± 170 in June 2019. Results showed that treatments attendances were greatly reduced after lockdown: fall of 980 (-28%) from 3500 \pm 560 in June 1168 (-32%) from 3650 \pm 247in July and 1178 (32%) from 3680 \pm 250 in August 2019. According to ITS analyses, the lockdown significantly reduced radiotherapy courses (P<.0001). ITS model predicted 1050 fewer therapy courses and 4347 fewer attendances than would occur without the pandemic.

The effect of the pandemic on weekly curative radiotherapy courses and attendance in specific malignancies is shown in Table I. The largest reduction in radiotherapy courses after the lockdown was reported in non-melanoma skin cancer and prostate cancer. The number of attendances was reduced for palliative treatment, lymphoma, breast cancer, and rectal cancer.

Mean weekly treatment courses in patients above 70 years decreased by 170 (-34%) from 500 ± 94 in June 2019. In patients below 70 years, it decreased by 30 (-7.1%) from 420 in June 2019. This impact on age was most evident in patients with breast cancer (the number of mean weekly courses fell by 57 (31.6%) from 180±25 in June 2019.

	Radiothe	erapy Cou	rses			Attendances					
	June 2020	July 2020	August 2020	September 2020	October 2020	June 2020	July 2020	August 2020	September 2020	October 2020	
Anal Cancer											
Mean	15±6	20±11	22±6	11±3	25±3	275±19	270±15	219±10	180±9	305±8	
Percentage	-4.2%	21.5%	9.7%	2.1%	-42%	11.3%	8.6%	16.5%	12.2%	-26.5%	
change											
Bladder Cancer											
Mean	17±5	15±6	25±11	19±15	11±4	215±	221±	209±	213±	251±	
Percentage	-7.2%	-1.2%	65%	81%	17.1%	-28%	3.6%	37.8%	76%	49%	
change											
Brain Cancer											
Mean	43±4	45±4	36±7	30±10	25±4	550±10	425±9	505±4	500±4	430±6	
Percentage change	10.1%	-23.4%	-15.6%	-28.7%	-21.3%	10.5%	-21%	-26.5%	-25.2%	-32%	
Breast Cancer											
Mean	105±34	120±24	116±30	115±30	97 ±25	989±110	908±80	1005 ± 80	1104±105	995±100	
Percentage	1.2%	-4.2%	-4.2%	6.5%	-12.3%	2.7%	-5.3%	-32.2%	-38%	-46.5%	
change											
Cervical Cancer											
Mean	5±6	11±4	4±4	6±3	8±5	276±11	265±11	270±9	289±6	277±9	
Percentage	2%	4.1%	9.1%	-4.1%	-22.5%	-9.8%	-16.7%	12.3%	-19.2%	-37.6%	
change											
Head and neck Cancer											
Mean	55±5	53±3	42±6	30±10	25±5	515±55	607±43	600 ± 50	583±63	511±54	
Percentage	-2.3%	14%	-19.3%	-25.1%	-19.1%	-6.2%	-2.8%	5.2%	3.8%	-17%	
change											
Lung Cancer											
Mean	78±10	81±15	85±16	52±21	51±5	640±13	616±45	508±30	661±30	687±41	
Percentage	6.6%	8.2%	-1.2%	10.1%	-11.1%	8.1%	9.1%	-5.6%	1%	-21.3%	
Change											

Table I Mean weekly treatment courses and attendances in each group

Lymphoma										
Mean	21±9	24±4	15±5	21±3	10±2	230	247	309	250	287
Percentage	5.8%	-5.5%	-18.1%	22.1%	-1.5%	123%	-10.7%	-25.2%	-14.3%	-7.8%
change										
Prostate Cancer										
Mean	110±15	98±15	95±9	85±8	82±11	389±110	400±118	350±97	451±112	464±87
Percentage	-10%	-23%	-65%	-68.7%	-55.1%	-12.5%	-14.2%	-55.6%	-72.1%	-39.6%
change										
Rectal Cancer										
Mean	11±5	7±3	9±4	15±2	21±2	120±45	123±45	121±34	134±50	200±47
Percentage	-5.1%	-5.1%	15.1%	14.1%	-23%	9.6%	.2%	-8.7%	-29.4%	-55.7%
change										
Non melanoma skin Cancer										
Mean	33±5	21±2	19±6	21±3	25±5	206	198	145	207	220
Percentage	-12.5%	-28%	-71.5%	-65%	-31.2%	-8.6%	-24.6%	-52.6%	-56.8%	-28.7%
change										

Mean weekly courses and attendances, the percentage change in 2020 compared to the equivalent month in 2019.

Discussion

The current study showed that the number of radiotherapy courses fell significantly after the initiation of the lockdown. ITS model predicted 1050 fewer therapy courses and 4347 fewer attendances than would occur without the pandemic. Attendances were greatly reduced after the lockdown. The mean weekly treatment courses decreased by 108 (-19.9%) in June 2020, from 543 ± 170 in June 2019. The reduction of radiotherapy activity reported in our study aligns with previous studies conducted during the first wave of lockdown (Oncology, 2020; Spencer et al., 2021). Radiation oncology centers in Europe reported a 20% reduction in radiotherapy activity.

Contrastingly, Latin America had an 8% reduction(Martinez et al., 2020), far less than our study reported. The current study also analyzed the differential impact of age and diagnosis. Many global healthcare bodies issued a protocol for safe radiotherapy services at the start of the pandemic. A major concern was that hospitals became a reservoir of SARS-CoV-2(Yu et al., 2020), and cancer patients, particularly those aged 70 years or above, were at increased risk of infection(Williamson et al., 2020). Considering this risk, many guidelines suggested deferring treatment if potential risks outweighed the benefits. This study found that the drop in treatment courses was much more significant in patients aged 70 years or above than in those less than 70 years. It can partly be due to the deferral treatment in the high-risk group.

The highest number of course reductions were observed in non-melanoma skin cancer and prostate cancer. Randomized evidence showed that a radiotherapy course in prostate cancer could be delayed 6 months after diagnosis if low-risk patients are There is limited evidence which supports treatment deferral in non-melanoma skin cancer, though in small basal cell carcinoma treatment delay does not have much effect on prognosis(Xing et al., 2021). Additionally, the differential impact of age was most evident in breast cancer; it likely reflects an altered treatment plan based on risk assessment and following the guidelines of the PRIME-II trial(Kunkler et al., 2015). There was a rise in courses for rectal and bladder cancers during August and September 2020; in this cancer, disease biology does not allow major treatment delays. The rise in courses shows that radiotherapy was used as an alternative to invasive surgery. Studies show that the limitations of surgical services during COVID-19 are major causes of mortality in these patients(Lai et al., 2020; Sud et al., 2020). In rectal cancer, delay in surgery is mangled by radiotherapy, and in some, it may replace resection entirely. The current study showed that treatment attendance also fell significantly after the lockdown, which can be explained by guidelines advocating lesser hospital visits. As a result, hypo fractionated radiotherapy was widely used.

The limitation of this study is that it's a single center. A larger multi-centered survey is important to assess the general impact of the pandemic on radiation oncology and to formulate mitigation measures.

Conclusion

Radiation therapy services fell significantly at the onset of COVID-19. Cancers whose treatment can be safely delayed were most affected. On the other hand, cancers in which radiotherapy offered an alternative to surgery had seen a rise in treatment courses.

Conflict of interest

The authors declared an absence of conflict of interest.

References

Al-Quteimat, O. M., and Amer, A. M. (2020). The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on cancer patients. *American journal of clinical oncology*.

- Guidance, N. (2020). COVID-19 rapid guideline: delivery of radiotherapy.
- Hanif, M., Haider, M. A., Ali, M. J., Naz, S., and Sundas, F. (2020). Reinfection of COVID-19 in Pakistan: a first case report. *Cureus* 12.
- Kunkler, I. H., Williams, L. J., Jack, W. J., Cameron, D. A., and Dixon, J. M. (2015). Breastconserving surgery with or without irradiation in women aged 65 years or older with early breast cancer (PRIME II): a randomised controlled trial. *The lancet oncology* 16, 266-273.
- Lai, A. G., Pasea, L., Banerjee, A., Denaxas, S., Katsoulis, M., Chang, W. H., Williams, B., Pillay, D., Noursadeghi, M., and Linch, D. (2020). Estimating excess mortality in people with cancer and multimorbidity in the COVID-19 emergency. *MedRxiv*, 2020.05. 27.20083287.
- Martinez, D., Sarria, G. J., Wakefield, D., Flores, C., Malhotra, S., Li, B., Ehmann, M., Schwartz, D. L., and Sarria, G. R. (2020). COVID's impact on radiation oncology: a Latin American survey study. Vol. 108, pp. 374-378. Elsevier.
- Oncology, A. S. f. R. (2020). COVID-19' s impact on radiation oncology. Initial Results of a Nationwide Physician Survey. Available online: <u>https://www</u>. astro. org/ASTRO/media/ASTRO/News% 20and% 20Publications/PDFs/ASTROCOVID19Sur vey1-ExecSummary. pdf (accessed on 30 June 2021).
- Slotman, B. J., Lievens, Y., Poortmans, P., Cremades, V., Eichler, T., Wakefield, D. V., and Ricardi, U. (2020). Effect of COVID-19 pandemic on practice in European radiation oncology centers. *Radiotherapy and Oncology* **150**, 40-42.
- Spencer, K., Jones, C. M., Girdler, R., Roe, C., Sharpe, M., Lawton, S., Miller, L., Lewis, P., Evans, M., and Sebag-Montefiore, D. (2021). The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on radiotherapy services in England, UK: a population-based study. *The lancet oncology* 22, 309-320.
- Sud, A., Jones, M. E., Broggio, J., Loveday, C., Torr, B., Garrett, A., Nicol, D. L., Jhanji, S., Boyce, S. A., and Gronthoud, F. (2020). Collateral damage: the impact on outcomes from cancer surgery of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Annals of Oncology* **31**, 1065-1074.
- Wakefield, D. V., Sanders, T., Wilson, E., Hubler, A., DeWeese, T., Smith, B. D., Slotman, B. J., Sarria, G. R., Eichler, T., and Schwartz, D. L. (2020). Initial impact and operational

responses to the COVID-19 pandemic by American Radiation Oncology Practices. Vol. 108, pp. 356-361. Elsevier.

- Waris, A., Atta, U., Ali, M., Asmat, A., and Baset, A. (2020). COVID-19 outbreak: current scenario of Pakistan. *New microbes and new infections* 35, 100681.
- Williamson, E. J., Walker, A. J., Bhaskaran, K., Bacon, S., Bates, C., Morton, C. E., Curtis, H. J., Mehrkar, A., Evans, D., and Inglesby, P. (2020). Factors associated with COVID-19-related death using OpenSAFELY. *Nature* 584, 430-436.
- Xing, T., Hettige, S., Chee, L. Y. S., Nair, R., Hegde Sr, R., Xing, D., and Hegde, R. (2021). Curative radiotherapy for locally advanced scalp squamous cell carcinoma. *Cureus Journal of Medical Science* **13**.
- Yu, J., Ouyang, W., Chua, M. L., and Xie, C. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 transmission in patients with cancer at a tertiary care hospital in Wuhan, China. JAMA oncology 6, 1108-1110.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licen ses/by/4.0/. © The Author(s) 2023