

PREVALENCE AND RISK PREDICTORS OF POST OPERATIVE ATRIAL FIBRILLATION AFTER HEART SURGERY UNDER CPB

ZAFAR H*, AHMED N, AJMAL N

Department of Cardiac Surgery, Faisalabad Institute of Cardiology Faisalabad, Pakistan *Correspondence author email address: <u>drmaria123@yahoo.com</u>

(Received, 5th August 2022, Revised 26th December 2022, Published 31th December 2022)

Abstract: The current analysis was designed to assess the prevalence and risk predictors of atrial fibrillation after heart surgery performed under cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB). A descriptive observational study was conducted in the Cardiology Department of Faisalabad Cardiology Hospital, Faisalabad. A total of 150 patients older than 18 years old undergoing CABG and valvular surgery performed by cardiopulmonary bypass were included in the study. The association of POAF and risk factors, including age, LVEF<40%, history of diabetes, myocardial infarction, beta-blocker therapy, COPD, aortic cross-clamp time, CPB time, inotropic, and ventilation support, was evaluated. The patients with no history of beta blockers showed less risk of developing AF (OR: 0.153); hence the use of beta-blockers is significantly associated with AF. Similarly, patients with LVEF less than 40% and inotropic support for 30 minutes also had less odds of AF (0.174, 0.127); hence these variables are also significantly associated with AF. A history of myocardial infarction increased the risk of AF to 6.27 times. Using a ventilator for> 24 hours increased the incidence of AF to 12.28 times. LVEF <40%, inotropic and ventilation support, myocardial infarction, and CPB time preoperatively are strong predictors of POAF after cardiac surgery.

Keywords: Cardiac surgery, postoperative atrial fibrillation, atrial fibrillation, cardiopulmonary bypass

Introduction

Atrial fibrillation is a common condition that occurs postoperatively after cardiac surgery in 10-65% of patients (Cole et al., 2020; Mansour and Ghaleb, 2017). This arrhythmia worsens the postoperative complications in patients like thromboembolic events, renal failure, stroke, and heart failure, which increase the hospital stay, treatment costs, and increase chances of readmission (Eikelboom et al., 2021). A decline in cognitive functions and delirium are also included in its postoperative complications. AF is usually caused by heart muscle ischemia and inadequate atrial cardioplegia (Yamashita et al., 2019). Postoperative atrial fibrillation mainly presents two to four days after surgery, is short-lived primarily, and does not require treatment. However, POAF doubles the one-month mortality rate and significantly increases morbidity and hospital stay (Gudbjartsson et al., 2020). Various therapies and surgical techniques have been proposed to prevent POAF, like administering oral beta-blockers after surgery, but none are significantly effective. More than half of the patients (80%) convert to sinus rhythm after 24 hours, and 98% of patients convert to SR 6 weeks after initial detection. This study

assessed the prevalence and risk predictors of atrial fibrillation after heart surgery performed under CPB.

Methodology

A descriptive observational study was conducted in the Cardiology Department of Faisalabad Cardiology Hospital, Faisalabad. A total of 150 patients older than 18 years old undergoing CABG and valvular surgery performed by cardiopulmonary bypass were included in the study. The study did not have patients with chronic atrial fibrillation and undergoing surgeries except for coronary artery bypass graft and valve replacement. The evaluation of preoperative predictors of POAF, including age older than 60 years, LVEF less than 40%, history of diabetes, myocardial infarction, beta-blocker therapy, and COPD, was conducted. The surgery type and time for cross clamping the aorta is less than 60 minutes, and the cardiopulmonary bypass time is less than 100 minutes. Inotropic support for less than 30 minutes and the use of a ventilator for less than 24 hours after surgery was also evaluated. AF was co-evaluated with the risk factors and was detected on lead II of



cardioscope to determine the outcome. The rate of in-hospital mortality and hospital stay was also noted. All the data were analyzed by IBM SPSS version 13. Logistic regression analysis was done to evaluate the relationship of AF with risk factors.

Results

The average age of patients was 45.6 ± 12.2 . POAF was detected in 61 patients (40.7%). The relationship between AF and predicted risk factors is shown in Table I-III. The patients with no history of beta blockers showed less risk of developing AF (OR:

0.153); hence the use of beta-blockers is significantly associated with AF. Similarly, patients with LVEF less than 40% and inotropic support for 30 minutes also had less odds of AF (0.174, 0.127); hence these variables are also significantly associated with AF.

On the other hand, a history of myocardial infarction increased the risk of AF to 6.27 times. Using a ventilator for> 24 hours increased the incidence of AF to 12.28 times. Tables IV and V show the timeline of AF diagnosis and its relationship with mortality and hospital stay.

Risk factor	N (%)
Younger than 60 years	46 (30.7)
LVEF less than 40%	46 (30.7)
Use of beta blockers	127 (84.7)
COPD	4 (2.7)
Diabetes mellitus	70 (47.3)
Myocardial infarction	90 (60)
Valve surgery	65 (43.3)
CABG	85 (56.7)
Aortic cross-clamp time of more than 60 minutes	45 (30)
CPB more than 100 minutes	50 (33.3)
On ventilator for more than 24 hours	15 (10)
Inotropic support of more than 6 hours	42 (28)

Table II: Incidence of POAF

Table I: Risk factors of AF

Risk factor	N (%)	Incidence of POAF	No POAF cases in case of risk factor
Younger than 60 years	46	9	19.56
LVEF less than 40%	46	14	19.7
Use of beta blockers	127	42	33.1
COPD	4	0	0
Diabetes mellitus	70	42	50.6
Myocardial infarction	90	38	50.7
Valve surgery	65	28	43.1
CABG	85	33	38.8
Aortic cross-clamp time of more than	45	30	66.7
60 minutes			
CPB more than 100 minutes	50	30	60
On ventilator for more than 24 hours	15	5	33.3
Inotropic support of more than 6	42	19	45.2
Inotropic support of more than 6 hours	42	19	45.2

Table III: Relationship between postoperative POAF and risk factors

Risk factor		Incidence of POAF n(%)	Odds ratio (95% CI)	Adjusted Odds ratio	Р
Age	<60	6 (13)	0.43 (0.16-1.12)	1	0.628

	>60	27 (26)		0.68 (0.14-3.26)	
Beta-blockers	Yes	24 (18.9)	0.36 (0.14-0.94)	1	0.004
	No	9 (39.1)		0.15 (0.04-0.54)	
LVEF<40%	Yes	11 (15.1)	0.44 (0.20-0.997)	1	0.044
	No	22 (28.6)		0.17 (0.03-0.95)	
MI	Yes	19 (26)	1.58 (0.73-3.46)	1	0.04
	No	14 (18.2)		6.27 (1.08-36.26)	
Diabetes	Yes	18 (21.7)	0.96 (0.44-2.09)	1	0.66
	No	15 (22.4)		0.77 (0.24-2.47)	
CABG	Yes	15 (17.9)	0.58 (0.27-1.26)	1	0.980
	No	18 (27.3)		1.02 (0.18-5.81)	
Valvular	Yes	18 (27.3)	1.73 (0.79-3.76)	1	0.980
surgeries	No	15 (17.9)		1.02 (0.18-5.81)	
CPB time	Yes	18 (27.3)	1.73 (0.79-3.76)	1	0.980
	No	15 (17.9)		1.02 (0.18-5.81)	
Aortic cross-	Yes	21 (46.7)	0.15 (0.06-0.34)	1	0.999
clamp time	No	12 (11.4)		0 (0-0)	
Inotropic	Yes	9 (20.9)	0.92 (0.39-2.17)	1	0.007
support	No	24 (22.4)		0.13 (0.03-0.58)	
Ventilator	Yes	5 (35.7)	2.14 (0.67-6.90)	1	0.023
support	No	28 (20.6)		12.28 (1.42-106.08)	
COPD	Yes	1 (3)	0.88 (0.095-8.18)	1	0.0819
	No	4 (3.4)		0.58 (0.005)-64.20)	

Table IV: Detection of POAF

Diagnosis of POAF	Yes	No
During surgery	22 (14.7)	126 (84)
1 st day after	26 (17.3)	122 (81.3)
surgery		
2 nd day after	41 (27.3)	107 (71.3)
surgery		
3 rd day after	31 (20.7)	116 (77.3)
surgery		

Table V: Relationship between POAF andhospital stay and mortality

	Postoperat fibrillation	Probability	
	Yes	No	
Increase in length of hospital			< 0.01
stay			
Yes	47 (31.3)	9 (6)	
No	12 (8)	79 (52.6)	
Rate of mortality			0.098
Yes	6 (4)	2 (1.3)	
No	54 (36)	87 (58)	

Discussion

We explored the relationship between POAF and its possible risk factors. It was found that AF was mainly detected in the first three days after the surgery, with most patients being diagnosed on the second day. The same results were reported by Gjerakaroska et al. (Gjerakaroska-Radovikj et al., 2020) and Goulden et al. (Goulden et al., 2022).

Associated factors such as autonomic imbalance before surgery, increase in catecholamines, pericardial inflammation, and changes in volume and pressure due to fluid shift contribute to POAF (Chang et al., 2019; Douketis et al., 2019).

AF is primarily common in elder patients, old age being the most common predictor. Ronsoni et al. (Ronsoni et al., 2019) reported that the risk of AF increased by 75% with every 10-year increase in age, with older patients being at higher risk of AF. But in our study, the incidence of POAF was higher in younger patients undergoing valvular surgery; therefore, the incidence of POAF was higher in younger patients.

Our results showed that myocardial infarction was significantly associated with POAF than diabetes. Omar et al. (Omar et al., 2021) also concluded that a history of myocardial infarction increased the risk of POAF after heart surgery. Ellam et al. (Ellam et al., 2020) Showed that diabetes was a strong predictor of POAF in patients undergoing invasive CABG. Since our study included fewer participants undergoing CABG, we did not find diabetes as a predictor of POAF.

Studies by El-Essawi et al. (El-Essawi et al., 2022) and Yi-Ting et al. (Tsai et al., 2015) reported a low prevalence of POAF in patients with a history of bet blocker use. In contrast to this, we observed that the

incidence of POAF was more in patients with no prior history of beta blockers

LVEF <40% was also a strong predictor of POAF. Similarly, CABG and valvular surgery also contribute to the risk of POAF. Although the occurrence of POAF was more in valve surgery patients, there was a significant difference between the occurrence of POAF in both patients. Almassi et al. reported a 27.5% incidence of POAF after CABG, 48.8% after MVR, and 32.9% after AVR (Almassi et al., 1997). The significant change can be due to structural and pathological abnormalities.

The patients with higher cross-clamp time and CPB time also had an increased occurrence of POAF. Similar results were noted in Helgadottir et al. (Helgadottir et al., 2012) and Hashemzadeh et al. (Hashemzadeh et al., 2013). In addition, AF had a high incidence in patients with >30 minutes of inotropic support than those who needed it for less than 30 minutes after CPB, although this difference was insignificant. Hashemzadeh et al. (Hashemzadeh et al., 2013) also noted that > 30 minutes of inotropic support was a significant risk factor for POAF. Similar to Arnaki et al (Aranki et al., 1996), ventilation support was also significantly associated with POAF.

Patients with AF also had a more extended hospital stay due to ventilation support, unstable hemodynamics, and correction of hypoxia. The same observations were reported by Aranki et al. (Aranki et al., 1996). The mortality rate was also higher in AF patients (4%) compared to other patients (1%). In Almassi et al. (Almassi et al., 1997), the mortality rate in POAF patients was 6% compared to 3% in other patients. Our study had some limitations. The sample size was just calculated depending on the number of patients in the hospital. Secondly, both valve surgery and CABG were selected. Lastly, we did not estimate the hospital costs for POAF patients.

Conclusion

LVEF <40%, inotropic and ventilation support, myocardial infarction, and CPB time preoperatively are strong predictors of POAF after cardiac surgery.

Conflict of interest

The authors declared absence of conflict of interest.

References

Almassi, G. H., Schowalter, T., Nicolosi, A. C., Aggarwal, A., Moritz, T. E., Henderson, W. G., Tarazi, R., Shroyer, A. L., Sethi, G. K., and Grover, F. L. (1997). Atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery: a major morbid event? *Annals of surgery* **226**, 501.

- Aranki, S. F., Shaw, D. P., Adams, D. H., Rizzo, R. J., Couper, G. S., VanderVliet, M., Collins, J. J., Cohn, L. H., and Burstin, H. R. (1996). Predictors of atrial fibrillation after coronary artery surgery: current trends and impact on hospital resources. *Circulation* 94, 390-397.
- Chang, T.-Y., Lip, G. Y., Chen, S.-A., and Chao, T.-F. (2019). Importance of risk reassessment in patients with atrial fibrillation in guidelines: assessing risk as a dynamic process. *Canadian Journal of Cardiology* **35**, 611-618.
- Cole, O. M., Tosif, S., Shaw, M., and Lip, G. Y. (2020). Acute kidney injury and postoperative atrial fibrillation in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. *Journal of Cardiothoracic and Vascular Anesthesia* **34**, 1783-1790.
- Douketis, J. D., Spyropoulos, A. C., Duncan, J., Carrier, M., Le Gal, G., Tafur, A. J., Vanassche, T., Verhamme, P., Shivakumar, S., and Gross, P. L. (2019). Perioperative management of patients with atrial fibrillation receiving a direct oral anticoagulant. JAMA internal medicine 179, 1469-1478.
- Eikelboom, R., Sanjanwala, R., Le, M.-L., Yamashita, M. H., and Arora, R. C. (2021). Postoperative atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *The Annals of Thoracic Surgery* **111**, 544-554.
- El-Essawi, A., Abdelhalim, A., Groeger, S., Breitenbach, I., Brouwer, R., Kück, F., and Harringer, W. (2022). Predictors of postoperative atrial fibrillation persisting beyond hospital discharge after coronary artery bypass grafting. *Perfusion* 37, 62-68.
- Ellam, S., Hartikainen, J., Korvenoja, P., Pitkänen, O., Tyrväinen, E., Valtola, A., and Halonen, J. (2020). Impact of minimal invasive extracorporeal circulation on atrial fibrillation after coronary artery bypass surgery. *Artificial Organs* 44, 1176-1183.
- Gjerakaroska-Radovikj, M., Srbinovska-Kostovska, E., Gjerakaroska-Savevska, C., and Jovev, S. (2020). Predictors of atrial fibrillation following coronary artery bypass surgery. *Archives of Public Health* **12**, 5-14.
- Goulden, C. J., Hagana, A., Ulucay, E., Zaman, S., Ahmed, A., and Harky, A. (2022). Optimising risk factors for atrial fibrillation post-cardiac surgery. *Perfusion* 37, 675-683.
- Gudbjartsson, T., Helgadottir, S., Sigurdsson, M. I., Taha, A., Jeppsson, A., Christensen, T. D., and Riber, L. P. S. (2020). New-onset postoperative atrial fibrillation after heart

surgery. Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica 64, 145-155.

- Hashemzadeh, K., Dehdilani, M., and Dehdilani, M. (2013). Postoperative atrial fibrillation following open cardiac surgery: predisposing factors and complications. *Journal of cardiovascular and thoracic research* 5, 101.
- Helgadottir, S., Sigurdsson, M. I., Ingvarsdottir, I. L., Arnar, D. O., and Gudbjartsson, T. (2012). Atrial fibrillation following cardiac surgery: risk analysis and long-term survival. *Journal* of cardiothoracic surgery 7, 1-7.
- Mansour, H., and Ghaleb, R. (2017). Atorvastatin for reduction of postoperative atrial fibrillation in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. *Atheroscler Suppl* **25**, e2.
- Omar, A., Elshihy, E. M., Singer, M., Zarif, D., and Dawoud, O. (2021). Perioperative risk factors predisposing to atrial fibrillation after CABG surgery. *In* "Heart Surg Forum", Vol. 24, pp. E402-406.
- Ronsoni, R. d. M., Leiria, T. L. L., Pires, L. M., Kruse, M. L., Pereira, E., Silva, R. G. d., and Lima, G. G. d. (2019). Internal Validation of a Risk Score for Prediction of Postoperative Atrial Fibrillation after Cardiac Surgery. *International Journal of Cardiovascular Sciences* 33, 158-166.
- Tsai, Y.-T., Lai, C.-H., Loh, S.-H., Lin, C.-Y., Lin, Y.-C., Lee, C.-Y., Ke, H.-Y., and Tsai, C.-S. (2015). Assessment of the risk factors and outcomes for postoperative atrial fibrillation patients undergoing isolated coronary artery bypass grafting. *Acta Cardiologica Sinica* 31, 436.
- Yamashita, K., Hu, N., Ranjan, R., Selzman, C. H., and Dosdall, D. J. (2019). Clinical risk factors for postoperative atrial fibrillation among patients after cardiac surgery. *The Thoracic* and cardiovascular surgeon 67, 107-116.

indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a of this licence. copy visit http://creativecommons.org/licen ses/by/4.0/. © The Author(s) 2022



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and