

FASTING BLOOD SUGAR (FBS) IN THE FIRST TRIMESTER: PREDICTIVE VALUE FOR GESTATIONAL DIABETES MELLITUS AND IMPACT ON PREGNANCY-RELATED OUTCOMES

MARYAM B¹, YASMEEN H1, MANAF A^{*2}, ASIF M³, ALAM F⁴, ALAM S⁵, SOHAIL A⁶, HUSSAIN Z⁷, KHAN M³, MUSTAFA A⁸, AHMED I³

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Center Karachi, Pakistan ²Sandeman Provincial Hospital Quetta, Pakistan ³Department of Cardiology, Armed Forces Institute of Cardiology Rawalpindi, Pakistan ⁴Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Bolan Medical Complex Hospital Quetta, Pakistan ⁵Department of Pediatric Medicine, Sandeman Provincial Hospital Quetta, Pakistan ⁶Department of Medicine, King Edward Medical University Lahore, Pakistan ⁷Department of Cardiology, National Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases Karachi, Pakistan ⁸Bolan University of Medical & Health Sciences Quetta, Pakistan *Corresponding author`s email address: manafkurd333@gmail.com

(Received, 04th July 2024, Revised 25th July 2024, Published 5th October 2024)

Abstract: Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is a significant health concern in pregnancy, affecting maternal and neonatal outcomes. Early detection of GDM through fasting blood glucose (FBS) screening may help in timely management to prevent complications. **Objective:** To determine the fasting blood glucose threshold that accurately predicts the onset of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) and its impact on pregnancy outcomes. Methods: A prospective cohort study was conducted at the Obstetrics and Gynecology Department of Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Centre (JPMC), Karachi, from August 2023 to May 2024, involving 405 pregnant women selected through systematic random sampling. Inclusion criteria included singleton pregnancies, excluding women with pregestational diabetes or baseline fasting glucose levels of 126 mg/dL or higher. Baseline data on age, BMI, gestational age, and family history of diabetes were collected, and FBS levels were measured at the initial visit. Oral Glucose Tolerance Tests (OGTT) were conducted between 24 to 28 weeks of gestation to diagnose GDM. Maternal and fetal outcomes were monitored until delivery. Statistical analyses, including logistic regression and ROC curve analysis, were performed using IBM SPSS version 20. **Results:** Among the 405 participants, with a mean age of 28 ± 6.49 years, the mean fasting blood sugar (FBS) was 89.5 mg/dL (±12.3). Impaired FBS (≥92 mg/dL) was noted in 24.70% of participants, and 18.27% were diagnosed with GDM following OGTT. A fasting glucose level of 127.4 mg/dL (±22.1) was observed among women diagnosed with GDM. Logistic regression showed a significant association between FBS ≥92 mg/dL and GDM risk (OR 1.08, CI 1.02-1.14, p=0.011). ROC analysis revealed an FBS cutoff of 92 mg/dL with 73% sensitivity and 68% specificity for predicting GDM. Gestational hypertension was observed in 8.64% of participants, and preeclampsia in 6.67%. Vaginal deliveries occurred in 72.1% of cases, while 27.9% required cesarean sections. Neonatal outcomes showed an 11% macrosomia rate, with 12% requiring NICU admission. Conclusion: Fasting blood glucose levels >92 mg/dL in early pregnancy are strongly predictive of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM). Early FBS screening allows for timely intervention, potentially improving maternal and neonatal outcomes. This study underscores the importance of incorporating FBS screening in routine antenatal care for better pregnancy management. Keywords: Gestational Diabetes Mellitus (GDM), Fasting Blood Sugar (FBS), First Trimester, Pregnancy, Maternal Outcomes, Neonatal Outcomes

Introduction

Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) represents a significant health issue, manifesting as hyperglycemia during pregnancy in women who previously showed no signs of diabetes (1). The impact of GDM on perinatal outcomes can be profound, underscoring the importance of early diagnosis and intervention to enhance both maternal and fetal prognosis (2). Although widespread screening for GDM might not be deemed economical in high-income nations, its application is particularly valuable in low- and middle-income countries where the burden of undiagnosed diabetes can be substantial (3).

When diagnosing gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), the gold standard is the 75g 2-hour oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT), which is usually given between weeks 24 and 28 of pregnancy (4). The World Health Organization (WHO),

the American Diabetes Association (ADA), and the International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups (IADPSG) have established guidelines that if followed, can diagnose GDM if fasting glucose levels are 92 mg/dl or higher if levels are 180 mg/dl or higher after one hour, and if levels are 153 mg/dl or higher after two hours. Despite these established guidelines, various other screening tools and criteria are also utilized in practice(5-7). In Pakistan, over the past twenty years, numerous studies have delved into the prevalence of GDM, employing different screening methods and diagnostic tools. These studies have reported a wide range of GDM prevalence, from as low as 4.41% to as high as 57.90%, reflecting the variability in diagnostic approaches. However, comprehensive, multi-centric studies and community





surveys providing pooled evidence on GDM prevalence are notably absent in the country (8-10).

In light of this, the purpose of this study is to close these gaps by examining Pakistan's both general and subgroups pooled estimations of GDM prevalence. We aim to scrutinize the methodological variations across existing studies to address any inconsistencies. This research is pivotal in formulating a pragmatic, feasible, convenient, and cost-effective strategy for GDM screening, especially tailored for low- and middle-income countries. By focusing on fasting blood sugar (FBS) levels in the first trimester, our goal is to establish an early screening method that can predict the onset of GDM, thereby enabling timely interventions to improve pregnancy outcomes.

To determine the fasting blood glucose (FBS) threshold that may be used to accurately predict when Gestational Diabetes Mellitus (GDM) will manifest and to outline its impact on pregnancy outcomes.

Methodology

This prospective cohort study was conducted at the Obstetrics and Gynecology Department of Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Centre (JPMC), Karachi, over 10 months from August 2023 to May 2024. A total of 405 pregnant women were determined using opine with a 99% confidence level. Systematic random sampling was employed. A sampling interval of three was used, selecting every third pregnant woman visiting the antenatal care clinic at JPMC, provided they met the inclusion criteria and provided consent. If a selected woman did not consent, the next eligible pregnant woman was approached. Singleton pregnancies and pregnant women attending antenatal care at JPMC, Karachi. Excluded from the study were women diagnosed with pregestational diabetes mellitus and those whose baseline fasting glucose level was 126 mg/dl or above. We conducted a comprehensive baseline assessment for all participants, collecting data on age, BMI, gestational age, family history of diabetes, previous pregnancies, and any history of pregnancy complications. Laboratory tests included measuring fasting blood sugar (FBS) levels at the initial visit to establish baseline glucose levels. Between 24 to 28 weeks of gestation, participants underwent the Oral Glucose Tolerance Test (OGTT) to diagnose gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) and to correlate the diagnosis with the FBS levels measured during the first antenatal visit. Participants were followed up until delivery to monitor maternal and fetal outcomes. Medical records were reviewed to collect data on pregnancy complications, mode of delivery, neonatal outcomes, and maternal outcomes. The participants' basic attributes were compiled using descriptive statistics. After accounting for any confounding variables, the relationship between initial FBS levels and the onset of GDM was examined using logistic regression analysis. Using a Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve analysis, the best FBS cutoff value for GDM prediction was found. IBM SPSS version 20 was utilised for the entire analysis process. The Institutional Ethical Board gave their approval to the study protocol. Before registration, all individuals provided their informed consent.

Results

The study included 405 pregnant women with a mean age of 28 \pm 6.49 years. Most were urban residents (59.01%), with a mean BMI of 24.5 kg/m² (\pm 3.2), and 57.53% had a normal weight. First-time pregnancies accounted for 37.04%. Educational levels varied: 9.9% had primary education, 19.8% had matriculation, 24.9% had intermediate, 29.9% had bachelor's, and 15.5% had master's degrees. The mean fasting blood sugar (FBS) was 89.5 mg/dL (\pm 12.3), with 75.30% showing normal FBS (\leq 92 mg/dL) and 24.70% impaired FBS (\geq 92 mg/dL). Table-1

OGTT performed between 24-28 weeks revealed that 18.27% of participants had gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), with a mean fasting glucose of 127.4 mg/dL (\pm 22.1). Table-2

Gestational hypertension was observed in 8.64% of women, and 6.67% developed preeclampsia. Delivery mode included 72.1% vaginal births and 27.9% cesarean sections. Table-3

Neonatal outcomes showed an average birth weight of $3,150 \pm 410$ grams, with 11% of babies being macrosomic and 12% requiring NICU care, mainly due to preterm birth (7.41%). Table-4

Logistic regression showed FBS \geq 92 mg/dL increased the risk of GDM (OR 1.08, CI 1.02-1.14, p = 0.011). ROC analysis indicated FBS \geq 92 mg/dL had a sensitivity of 73% and specificity of 68% for diagnosing GDM. Figure-1 below.

Characteristic		Value	
Total Participants, n		405	
Mean Age (years), Mean ± SD		28 ±6.49	
Urban Residents, n (%)		239 (59.01%)	
Rural Residents, n (%)		166 (40.98%)	
Mean BMI (kg/m ²), Mean \pm SD		24.5 ±3.2	
Normal Weight, n (%)		233 (57.53%)	
First pregnancy, n (%)		150 (37.04%)	
Education Level, n (%)	Primary School	40 (9.9%)	
	Matriculation	80 (19.8%)	
	Intermediate	101 (24.9%)	
	Bachelor	121 (29.9%)	
	Masters	63 (15.5%)	
Mean Fasting Blood Sugar level89.5 ±12.3(mg/dL), Mean ± SD			
Baseline	<92 (Normal)	305 (75.30%)	
Fasting Blood Sugar level (mg/dL)	≥92 (Impaired)	100 (24.70)	

Table 1: Patient characteristics

Table 2: Gestational Diabetes Diagnosis Statistics CDM Diagnosis Fragmany n (%) /

GDWI Diagilosis	Mean ± SD
Diagnosed with GDM	74 (18.27%)
Mean Fasting Glucose (in GDM patients)	127.4 ±22.1 mg/dl

Table 3: Maternal Outcomes

Outcome	Frequency, n (%)
Gestational Hypertension	35 (8.64%)
Preeclampsia	27 (6.67%)
Vaginal Delivery	292 (72.1%)
Cesarean Section	113 (27.9%)

Table 4: Neonatal Outcomes

Outcome	Frequency, n (%) / Mean ± SD
Mean Birth Weight	3,150 ±410 grams
Macrosomic (>4,000 grams)	43 (10.62%)
NICU Admission (%)	49 (12.09%)
- Due to Prematurity	30 (7.41%)



□ ROC Curve analysis: Sensitivity 73%, Specificity 68%, FBS cutoff 92 mg/dl Figure-1: ROC Curve for FBS threshold to diagnose GDM

Discussion

In our study involving 405 pregnant women, we delved into how early pregnancy fasting blood sugar (FBS) levels can forecast the likelihood of developing gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM). The participants, averaging 28 years of age with varying educational backgrounds, showed a mean FBS of 89.5 mg/dL. Notably, about a quarter of them exhibited higher FBS levels, marking them at risk for GDM.

Our findings divulged a significant link between elevated first-trimester FBS and increased GDM risk. Specifically, an FBS level of 92 mg/dL or above correlated with higher odds of developing GDM, reinforcing similar observations in studies by Riskin et al. and Min Hao et al (11-12). These studies, like ours, emphasized how early FBS screening can serve as a crucial predictor, albeit with varying degrees of sensitivity and specificity depending on the population studied.

Moreover, our ROC analysis revealed that using an FBS cutoff of 92 mg/dL achieved moderate sensitivity (73%) and specificity (68%) in diagnosing GDM. This diagnostic capability aligns with trends observed by Li et al. and Aravind et al., highlighting the utility of FBS in initial screening for GDM (13-14).

Beyond diagnosis, our study illuminated the broader implications of elevated FBS levels on pregnancy outcomes. We observed heightened incidences of macrosomia and NICU admissions, primarily due to prematurity, among women with elevated FBS. These findings mirror previous research by Riskin et al. and Hénoch et al., emphasizing the critical role of early metabolic assessment in identifying at-risk pregnancies and guiding timely interventions to enhance maternal and neonatal health (11-15) For GDM and associated. Complications. This approach could potentially mitigate adverse outcomes through early intervention strategies tailored to individual metabolic profiles.

Looking forward, future research should refine FBS cutoff thresholds tailored to specific demographic and clinical contexts. By further exploring personalized management approaches based on early pregnancy metabolic profiles, we can optimize maternal-fetal health strategies and improve outcomes for both mothers and babies.

In conclusion, our study contributes valuable insights into the predictive power of first-trimester FBS levels in anticipating GDM and its impact on pregnancy outcomes. By synthesizing our findings with existing literature, we advocate for enhanced metabolic screening practices to support maternal and neonatal well-being during pregnancy. Potential limitations included the follow-up compliance and single-centre nature of the study, which may limit generalizability to other settings. Therefore, future research with a larger population size and multi-centred study design around the country may lead to more favourable and generalized results.

Conclusion

This study demonstrates that gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) C is predicted by elevated fasting blood sugar levels in the early stages of pregnancy, particularly \geq 92 mg/dL. This emphasises how crucial early screening is for identifying pregnancies at risk and starting interventions on time to promote the health of the mother and the newborn. To maximize prenatal care practices, future research should concentrate on improving screening criteria and tailored management approaches.

Declarations

Data Availability statement

All data generated or analyzed during the study are included in the manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Approved by the department concerned. (IRBEC-JPDHKHR-091/22) Consent for publication

Approved Funding

Not applicable

Conflict of interest

The authors declared the absence of a conflict of interest.

Author Contribution

BIBI MARYAM (FCPS Trainee) Coordination of collaborative efforts. Study Design, Review of Literature. HALEEMA YASMEEN (Professor) Conception of Study, Development of Research Methodology Design, Study Design, Review of manuscript, final approval of manuscript. Conception of Study, Final approval of manuscript. ABDUL MANAF (Medical Officer) Manuscript revisions, critical input. Coordination of collaborative efforts. MUHAMMAD ASIF (Interventional cardiology fellow) Data acquisition, and analysis. Manuscript drafting. FAIZA ALAM (Resident) Data entry and Data analysis, drafting article. SAQIBA ALAM (Pediatric Resident) Data acquisition, and analysis. Coordination of collaborative efforts. AMIR SOHAIL Manuscript revisions, critical input. Coordination of collaborative efforts. ZAHID HUSSAIN (Interventional Cardiology Fellow) Coordination of collaborative efforts. Manuscript drafting. MASOOD KHAN Coordination of collaborative efforts. Study Design, Review of Literature. ATTIYA MUSTAFA (BDS Student), IFTIKHAR AHMED (Cardiology resident)

Conception of Study, Final approval of manuscript. Data entry and Data analysis, drafting article.

References

1. Nakshine VS, Jogdand SD. A Comprehensive Review of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus: Impacts on Maternal Health, Fetal Development, Childhood Outcomes, and Long-Term Treatment Strategies. Cureus. 2023 Oct 23;15(10):e47500. doi: 10.7759/cureus.47500. PMID: 38021940; PMCID: PMC10663705. 2. Ray GW, Zeng Q, Kusi P, Zhang H, Shao T, Yang T, et al. Genetic and inflammatory factors underlying gestational diabetes mellitus: a review. 2024;15.

3. Li KT, Naik S, Alexander M, Mathad JS. Screening and diagnosis of gestational diabetes in India: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Acta Diabetol. 2018 Jun;55(6):613-625. doi: 10.1007/s00592-018-1131-1. Epub 2018 Mar 26. PMID: 29582160; PMCID: PMC5999405.

4. Rani PR, Begum J. Screening and Diagnosis of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus, Where Do We Stand. J Clin Diagn Res. 2016 Apr;10(4):QE01-4. Doi 10.7860/JCDR/2016/17588.7689. Epub 2016 Apr 1. PMID: 27190902; PMCID: PMC4866200.

5. International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups Consensus Panel; Metzger BE, Gabbe SG, Persson B, Buchanan TA, Catalano PA, Damm P, Dyer AR, Leiva Ad, Hod M, Kitzmiler JL, Lowe LP, McIntyre HD, Oats JJ, Omori Y, Schmidt MI. International Association of diabetes and Pregnancy Study groups recommendations on the diagnosis and classification of hyperglycemia in pregnancy. Diabetes Care. 2010 Mar;33(3):676-82. doi: 10.2337/dc09-1848. PMID: 20190296; PMCID: PMC2827530.

6. World Health Organization. Diagnostic criteria and classification of hyperglycaemia first detected in pregnancy. WHO/NMH/MND/13.2. Geneva: WHO; 2013. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/85975/1/WHO_N MH MND_13.2_eng.pdf

7. American Diabetes Association. Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care 2014;37(Suppl. 1):S81–S90

8. Adnan M, Aasim M. Prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus in Pakistan: a systematic review and metaanalysis. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2024 Feb 3;24(1):108. doi: 10.1186/s12884-024-06290-9. PMID: 38310244; PMCID: PMC10837918.

9. Al Subhi SK, Al Kindi RM, Al Rawahi A, Al Seyabi IS, Al Mukhaini A. Prevalence of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus Using the Latest World Health Organization Diagnostic Criteria among Omani Women in Muscat, Oman. Oman Med J. 2021 Jan 10;36(1):e215. doi: 10.5001/omj.2021.08. PMID: 33437538; PMCID: PMC7797029.

10. Mantri, N., Goel, A.D., Patel, M. et al. National and regional prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus in India: a systematic review and Meta-analysis. BMC Public Health 24, 527 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-024-18024-9

11. Riskin-Mashiah S, Damti A, Younes G, Auslender R. First trimester fasting hyperglycemia as a predictor for the development of gestational diabetes mellitus. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2010;152:163-167. doi: 10.1016/j. job.2010.05.036

12. Hao M, Lin L. Fasting plasma glucose and body mass index during the first trimester of pregnancy as predictors of gestational diabetes mellitus in a Chinese population. Endocr J. 2017;64:561-569. doi: 10.1507/endocrj.EJ16-0359

13. Li P, Lin S, Li L, Cui J, Zhou S, Fan J. Firsttrimester fasting plasma glucose as a predictor of gestational diabetes mellitus and the association with adverse

pregnancy outcomes. Pak J Med Sci. 2019;35(1):95-100. doi: https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.35.1.216

14. Aravind, R. S., Maheshwari, L., & Chander, A. (2016). Evaluation of first trimester fasting blood glucose as a predictor of gestational diabetes mellitus. Indian Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology Research, 4(1), 66–70. http://www.indianjournals.com/ijor.aspx?target=ijor:ijogr &volume=4&issue=1&article=014

15. Kabala Tshasuma Hénoch, Cham Lubamba Chamy, Nsambi Bulanda Joseph, Mpoy Wembonyama Charles. Early prediction of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus: Evaluation of fasting blood sugar in the first trimester of pregnancy in Likasi in The Democratic Republic of the Congo. Fortune Journal of Health Sciences. 7 (2024): 360-363.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other thirdparty material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licen ses/by/4.0/. © The Author(s) 2024