

QUANTIFICATION OF THROMBUS BURDEN AND IDENTIFICATION OF ITS DETERMINANTS IN PATIENTS OF ST-SEGMENT ELEVATION MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION UNDERGOING PRIMARY PERCUTANEOUS INTERVENTION

KHAN AR¹, AQEEL M², AHMAD R², HAMID S³, ARSHAD A², ZAHOOR S^{*2}

¹Department of Emergency, Ghurki Trust Teaching Hospital, Lahore, Pakistan ²Department of Cardiology, Punjab Institute of Cardiology, Lahore, Pakistan ³Department of Medicine, University of Sharjah, United Arab Emirates *Corresponding author's email address: drsarmad@gmail.com



Abstract: Primary PCI is the gold standard treatment of STEMI. Thrombus Burden in coronary vessels is the main challenge for a good procedure prognosis. We aimed to analyze thrombus burden and to identify its determinants. **Objective:** To evaluate the extent of thrombus burden in coronary vessels and identify associated determinants in patients treated with primary PCI. **Methods:** A single center cross-sectional survey that included 470 patients was conducted at the Punjab Institute of Cardiology, Lahore from June 2023 to December 2023. We recorded demographics and risk factors in history. The angiographic findings about thrombus burden and TIMI flow were recorded before and after the procedure. All the collected data was analyzed using SPSS 23.00. **Results:** The mean age of the participants was 51.97±10.84 years. 410 (87.2%) were male patients and 60(12.8%) females were included in this study. 283 (60.2%) patients in our study had a high thrombus burden, whereas 187 (39.8%) patients had a low thrombus burden. There was a significant difference in TIMI flow before and after the procedure (p-value = 0.004). Four patients had no flow. All of them had high thrombus burden but were not found to be associated significantly (p-value>0.05). **Conclusion:** Most of the patients with STEMI had a high thrombus burden. Risk factors of IHD were not associated with high thrombus burden. 410 (87.2%) were male patients and 60(12.8%) females were included in this study.

Keywords: Percutaneous Coronary Intervention, Thrombus Burden, STEMI, TIMI Flow

Introduction

Acute coronary Syndrome (ACS), most commonly presenting as chest pain in the emergency department, is a group of conditions that involves myocardial infarction (ST-segment elevation (STEMI) and ST Segment depression (NSTEMI)) and unstable angina. (1) STEMI is one of the most challenging and lethal forms of ACS, with an average mortality of 16.8% in Pakistan after the presentation in the hospital. Mortality due to STEMI is on the verge of increasing in Pakistan (2, 3).

The best management of STEMI is Primary percutaneous intervention (PCI), irrespective of the presence of other pharmacological and surgical strategies adopted in a new era of advancing cardiology (4, 5). The improvement in interventional cardiology and the introduction of effective pharmacological therapy have improved the outcome of PCI (6). Intracoronary Thrombus remains a more significant challenge to deal with during the procedure. It leads to deadly complications of stent thrombosis, no-reflow, and distal embolization (7, 8).

There is a lack of literature concerning the thrombus burden and its causes. There is a need to explore the actual burden of thrombus, and more is needed to explore its pathophysiology. The underlying mechanism and its associated causative factors need to be explored. However, literature has shortened the causative agents related to complications of PCI secondary to high thrombus burden (9, 10). We aimed to analyze the thrombus burden due to STEMI in the Primary PCI setting and find the determinants of clot burden.

Methodology

We conducted a cross-sectional survey at the Punjab Institute of Cardiology from June 2023 to December 2023 after getting permission from the institution's ethical review board . We included 470 patients after having informed consent. The inclusion criteria were that the duration of pain should be less than 12 hours, and the patient has documented STEMI evident by 1mm in limb leads (2mm in chest leads) in two contiguous leads or a new onset left bundle branch block. Both genders were included in the study. All those patients with a history of anemia, recent blood transfusion, coagulation disorder, cancer, chemotherapy, and bedridden patients were excluded from the study. A detailed history was taken from the patients. Demographic variables like age, gender, and door-toballoon time were noted. The presence of risk factors like diabetes, hypertension, dyslipidemia, smoking, and family history of Ischemic heart disease (IHD) was recorded on a pre-formed Performa. The prior myocardial infarction (MI) and PCI history were also sorted. Primary PCI was performed by a consultant cardiologist every time, having more than five years of experience in the field. The angiographic findings related to pre and post-procedural thrombolysis in myocardial Infarction (TIMI) flow grade were recorded. TIMI flow grades were from 0 to 3. Zero TIMI flow was regarded as no flow (11). TIMI Thrombus Burden was classified into five grades based on criteria. 4 and 5 grades were considered as high thrombus burden, and the rest of the grades as low thrombus burden (8, 11).



All the collected data was analyzed using SPSS 23.0. Numerical Variables were described as percentages and frequencies. Quantitative variables were explained as mean and standard deviation. Stratified variables were compared using chi-square with thrombus burden. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

We included 470 patients in this study. The mean age of the participants was 51.97 ± 10.84 years, with a mean door-toballoon time of 93.86 minutes. 410 (87.2%) were male patients and 60(12.8%) females were included in this study. While considering comorbidities, we evaluated patients for diabetes and hypertension, smoking, dyslipidemia, family history of ischemic heart disease, and prior history of PCI based on past clinical history. The relative distribution of all these variables is presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Frequency distr	ibution of associated variables

Variables	Risk Stratification		
	Yes	No	
Diabetes Mellitus	159(33.8%)	311(66.2%)	
Dyslipidemia	16(3.4%)	454(96.6%)	
Smoking	236(50.2%)	234(49.8%)	
Hypertension	227(48.3%)	243(51.7%)	
Family History of IHD	186(39.6%)	284(60.4%)	
Prior PCI	16(3.4%)	454(96.6%)	
Prior MI	11(2.3%)	459(97.7%)	

Among the complications, no death was reported in our study. 4 (0.9%) patients had no flow. 283 (60.2%) patients in our study had a high thrombus burden, whereas 187 (39.8%) patients had a low thrombus burden. Only 8 (1.7) patients were found to have no thrombus. Grade 1, 2, and 3 thrombus were present in 30(6.4%), 50(10.6%), and 90(21.1%) patients, respectively. High thrombus of grade 4 was seen in 99 (20.6%) and of grade 5 in 186 (39.6%) patients. The TIMI flow between the two groups before and after the procedure was compared and is shown in Table 2. A significant p-value (<0.05) shows the success of the procedure in achieving improved flow to the vessels.

 Table 2: Comparison of TIMI flow before and after the procedure

TIMI Flow Grades	Pre- procedure TIMI flow	Post Procedure TIMI flow	P value
0	296 (62.9%)	4 (0.9%)	
Ι	76(16.2%)	10(2.1%)	
Π	67(14.3%)	50(10.6%)	
III	31 (6.6%)	406 (86.4%)	0.004

All the stratified variables were analyzed and compared with thrombus burden to identify any determinant associated with thrombus burden.

Table 3:	Association	of	Risk	Factors	with	Thrombus
Burden						

Gender Female Yes Diabetes No Smoking Yes 1 Dyslipidemia No Yes 2 Smoking No Yes 2 Smoking No 2 Yes 2 Smoking	10 50 59	Burd B 166 21 60	High 244 39	value 0.481
Gender Female 6 Yes 15 Diabetes No 3 Yes 1 Dyslipidemia No 4 Yes 2 Smoking No 2 Yes 22	50 59	166 21	244 39	0.481
Gender Female 6 Yes 15 Diabetes No 3 Yes 1 Dyslipidemia No 4 Yes 2 Smoking No 2 Yes 22	50 59	21	39	0.481
Female YesFemale 12No3Posibility1Dyslipidemia1No4Yes2Smoking1No2Yes22	59			
Yes 15 No 3 Yes 1 Dyslipidemia No 4 Yes 2 Smoking No 2 Yes 22	59	60	107	
Diabetes No 3 Yes 1 Dyslipidemia No 4 Yes 2 Smoking No 2 Yes 22		60	10-	
No3Yes1DyslipidemiaNo4Yes2SmokingNoYes2Yes2Yes2	11		127	0.551
Yes 1 Dyslipidemia No 4 Yes 2 Smoking No 2 Yes 22	11	127	184	
Dyslipidemia No 4 Yes 2 Smoking No 2 Yes 22				
No 4 Yes 2 Smoking No 2 Yes 22	.6	4	12	0.301
Yes 2 Smoking No 2 Yes 22		183	271	
Smoking No 2 Yes 22	54			
No 2 Yes 22	236	97	139	0.573
Yes 22		90	144	
	.34			
	27	90	137	1.00
Hypertension		97	146	
	43			~
	86	78	108	0.44
Family History of IH		108	175	
No 28	-			
Yes 10	6	4	12	0.301
Prior PCI	~ .	183	271	
	54	_		0.740
Yes 11	1	5	6	0.760
Prior MI	-0	182	277	
	59	0	4	0 1 5 5
Yes 4		0	4	0.155
	Flow	187	279	
during the procedure No 4				

Discussion

The thrombus burden of the coronary vessel is responsible for its occlusion. This is the main challenging and restricting factor in PCI's success, leading to many complications (12, 13). The thrombus restricts flow to the epicardium, compromising its flow and leading to deadly complications. However, recent therapies with specific antiplatelets have somewhat decreased the thrombus burden (14). We analyzed 470 STEMI patients to determine the thrombus burden and its determinants. 60.2% of patients had a high thrombus burden, signifying that STEMI has a high burden. It has been verified by many other studies (15, 16, 17). In our study, four patients had no flow during the procedure. All these patients had high clot burden of grade 5. However, a statistically significant relation (p-value> 0.05) was not found due to stratification of grades further to low and high thrombus burden. This significance of having high clot burden and no flow together has been documented by Rajesh et al. (18). They studied 747 patients and had a high thrombus burden in 68% of the patients, comparable to our study. No flow was associated with a high thrombus burden. Although the incidence of no flow was higher in this study,

contrary to our results (33% vs 0.9%), This drastic variation

can be explained by differences in the selected study sample, setting, and expertise (19).

We stratified all the variables and found an association with thrombus burden. We found that High thrombus was not associated with diabetes, hypertension, dyslipidemia, smoking, family history of IHD, or history of prior MI and PCI. All these variables were not found to predict thrombus burden grade since the p-value for all these variables was more significant than 0.05. This is in relation to recent literature (16, 18).

Pre- and post-procedure TIMI flow was analyzed and compared with each other. We found a strong association between the groups, with a p-value of 0.004. This signified the success and efficacy of PCI in achieving flow to coronary vessels, making it a gold standard. Most of the literature has also recommended this (4, 5, 17, and 18).

There are a few limitations to the study. It was a singlecentre study targeting a specific population with a limited sample size. Moreover, the thrombus burden was assessed with the naked eye, which has limited value compared to some other techniques (20).

Conclusion

In the STEMI setting, most patients had high thrombus burdens, which are essential determinants of lack of flow. However, no risk factor was found associated with high thrombus burden.

Declarations

Data Availability statement

All data generated or analyzed during the study are included in the manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Approved by the department concerned. (IRBEC-NMU-938/22)

Consent for publication Approved Funding Not applicable

Conflict of interest

The authors declared the absence of a conflict of interest.

Author Contribution

ABDUL REHMAN KHAN (Medical Officer)

Conception of Study, Final approval of manuscript. **MUHAMMAD AQEEL (Senior Registrar)** Coordination of collaborative efforts. **RASHEED AHMAD (Senior Registrar)** Study Design, Review of Literature. **SHAHID HAMID (Assistant Professor)** Data entry and data analysis, as well as drafting the article. **ALI ARSHAD (Post Graduate Resident)** Manuscript drafting. **SARMAD ZAHOOR (Post Graduate Resident)** Conception of Study, Development of Research Methodology Design, Study Design, manuscript Review, and final approval of manuscript. Coordination of collaborative efforts.

References

1. Hamilton B, Kwakyi E, Koyfman A, Foran M. Diagnosis and management of acute coronary syndrome: Diagnostic et prise en charge du syndrome coronarien aigu. African journal of emergency medicine. 2013 Sep 1;3(3):124-33.

2. Lashari NA, Lakho NI, Memon SA, Ahmed A, Waseem MF. ACUTE CORONARY SYNDROME; FREQUENCY, CONTRIBUTING FACTORS, AND TYPES IN PATIENTS WITH TYPICAL CHEST PAIN. The Professional Medical Journal. 2017 Mar 7;24(03):409-13.

3. Marangmei L, Singh SK, Devi KB, Raut SS, Chongtham DS, Singh KB. Profile of cardiac arrhythmia in acute myocardial infarction patients within 48 hours of admission: A hospital-based study at RIMS Imphal. Journal of Medical Society. 2014 Sep 1;28(3):175-9.

4. Ibanez B, James S, Agewall S, Antunes MJ, Bucciarelli-Ducci C, Bueno H, Caforio AL, Crea F, Goudevenos JA, Halvorsen S, Hindricks G. 2017 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation: The Task Force for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). European Heart Journal. 2018 Jan 7;39(2):119-77.

5. O'Gara PT, Kushner FG, Ascheim DD, Casey DE, Chung MK, De Lemos JA, Ettinger SM, Fang JC, Fesmire FM, Franklin BA, Granger CB. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2013 Jan 29;61(4):e78-140.

6. Lee CH, Cheng CL, Yang YH, Chao TH, Chen JY, Liu PY, Lin CC, Chan SH, Tsai LM, Chen JH, Lin LJ. Trends in the incidence and management of acute myocardial infarction from 1999 to 2008: get with the guidelines performance measures in Taiwan. Journal of the American Heart Association. 2014 Aug 11;3(4):e001066.

7. Sianos G, Papafaklis MI, Daemen J, Vaina S, van Mieghem CA, van Domburg RT, Michalis LK, Serruys PW. Angiographic stent thrombosis after routine use of drugeluting stents in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: the importance of thrombus burden. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2007 Aug 14;50(7):573-83.

8. Sianos G, Papafaklis MI, Serruys PW. Angiographic thrombus burden classification in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction treated with percutaneous coronary intervention. Journal of invasive cardiology. 2010 Oct 1;22(10):6B-14B.

9. Ashraf T, Khan MN, Afaque SM, Aamir KF, Kumar M, Saghir T, Rasool SI, Rizvi SN, Sial JA, Nadeem

A, Khan AA. Clinical and procedural predictors and shortterm survival of patients with no-reflow phenomenon after primary percutaneous coronary intervention. International journal of cardiology. 2019 Nov 1;294:27-31.

10. Kaul S. The "no-reflow" phenomenon following acute myocardial infarction: mechanisms and treatment options. Journal of Cardiology. 2014 Aug 1;64(2):77-85.

11. Topaz O, Topaz A, Owen K. Thrombus grading for coronary interventions: the role of contemporary classifications. Interventional Cardiology. 2011 Dec 1;3(6):705.

12. Davies MJ, Thomas A. Thrombosis and acute coronary-artery lesions in sudden cardiac ischemic death. New England Journal of Medicine. 1984 May 3;310(18):1137-40.

13. Singh M, Berger PB, Ting HH, Rihal CS, Wilson SH, Lennon RJ, Reeder GS, Bresnahan JF, Holmes Jr DR. Influence of coronary thrombus on the outcome of percutaneous coronary angioplasty in the current era (the Mayo Clinic experience). The American journal of cardiology. 2001 Nov 15;88(10):1091-6.

14. Tarsia G, De Michele M, Polosa D, Biondi-Zoccai G, Costantino F, Del Prete G, Osanna RA, Innelli P, Sisto F, Sheiban I, Lisanti P. Manual versus nonmanual thrombectomy in primary and rescue percutaneous coronary angioplasty. Heart and vessels. 2010 Jul;25:275-81.

15. Abdi S, Rafizadeh O, Peighambari M, Basiri H, Bakhshandeh H. Evaluation of the clinical and procedural predictive factors of no-reflow phenomenon following primary percutaneous coronary intervention. Research in cardiovascular medicine. 2015 Apr 1;4(2):1-6.

16. Tanboga IH, Topcu S, Aksakal E, Kalkan K, Sevimli S, Acikel M. Determinants of angiographic thrombus burden in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. Clinical and Applied Thrombosis/Hemostasis. 2014 Oct;20(7):716-22.

17. Fajar JK, Heriansyah T, Rohman MS. The predictors of the no-reflow phenomenon after percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction: A meta-analysis. Indian Heart Journal. 2018 Dec 1;70:S406-18.

18. Kumar R, Khan KA, Shah JA, Ammar A, Kumar D, Khowaja S, Sial JA, Kazmi S, Murtaza M, Karim M. Quantification Of Thrombus Burden As An Independent Predictor Of Intra-Procedural No-Reflow In Patients With St-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction Undergoing Primary Percutaneous Coronary Revascularization. Journal of Ayub Medical College Abbottabad-Pakistan. 2022 Apr 1;34(2).

19. Zhou H, He XY, Zhuang SW, Wang J, Lai Y, Qi WG, Yao YA, Liu XB. Clinical and procedural predictors of no-reflow in patients with acute myocardial infarction after primary percutaneous coronary intervention. World Journal of Emergency Medicine. 2014;5(2):96.

20. Feinstein AR, Cicchetti DV. High agreement but low kappa: I. The problems of two paradoxes. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology. 1990 Jan 1;43(6):543-9.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution, and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other thirdparty material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. Suppose the material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license, and your intended use is prohibited by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use. In that case, you must obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licen ses/by/4.0/. © The Author(s) 2024